action of our great business organizations may be usefully employed hereafter for other public objects.

## British and American Legislation Contrasted.

Mr. Simon Sterne delivered a very interesting address before the legislative committee of the Real Estate Exchange recently, which deserved to be very widely published in the press, but, the latter made no mention of it. Our newspapers do not seem to care for reporting any matter of real public moment. James R. Keene's rough and tumble with a conductor. the bout between Sullivan and Ryan, or a discussion about the office cat of our "esteemed contemporary", seems to consume the energies of our journals; hence they can find no place for reporting discourses which are full of valuable information and weighty suggestions.
Mr. Sterne's address was on the superior methods of British as compared with American legislation. In our Congress and Legislatures immense numbers of bills are offered, some with public and others with private objects in view; but in the struggle for life it is the enactments which have most backing, and these are often of a malign character, which are finally passed. Governor Hill is authority for the statement that over fifty of the bills passed by the last Legislature were so crudely drawn and so inconsistent with previous legislation that they all should have been vetoed, although many of them had worthy objects in view. So thoroughly bad is our legislation that the annual meeting of the State Assembly and Senate is regarded with alarm and their final adjournment is always hailed with pleasure.
In the British Parliament matters are managed very much better. The system of ministerial responsibility puts all the bills having a public character in the hands of the existing Cabinet. This cuts off the consideration of swarms of projects which in this country consume the time of the committees and the legislatures. Then as regards private bills, such as those for railroads, canals, bridges, public improvements, the organization of industrial enterprises and the like, they are put through a severe ordeal before they are considered at all. Notice of these bills must be filed sixty days before Parliament meets, and they must be passed upon by what is really a Parliamentary Court before they can be placed upon the docket of the House of Commons. Every private bill has to stand upon its own merits and the authorities must be satisfied that it injures no vested interest and is otherwise unobjectionable before it is submitted to Parliament. Experts examine these private bills and parliamenta!y lawyers are employed to discuss them pro and con. These parliamentary lawyers by the way, are respectable practitioners and must not be confounded with our lobby, though they do some of the same work; but the final result is that nine-tenths of the proposed bills are killed and only the fittest survive. These last are resubmitted to committees of the House itself but not until after experienced experts have got them into proper shape. The work done by the ministry in accepting or rejecting public measures and by the preliminary parliamentary court in selecting unobjectionable private bills reduces the work of Parliament to a minimum ; and hence legislation in England is well considered and wise, while in this country our laws are a hap-hazard jumble because Congress and the Legislatures undertake to do too much and employs wrong methods in dealing with matters brought before them.
There is one peculiarity which distinguishes American legislators from British members of parliament which Mr. Sterne did not touch upon. The British parliament has been called the "first club in England." It represents the great interests of the British Empire. The landlord class is the most powerful and much of the evil legislation of the empire is due to the influence of the great landlords especially in the House of Lords. The manufacturers are very largely represented in membership; also the merchants and bankers. A very influential section of English legislators are men of wealth, education and oratorical ability who make imperial politics a profession. They are not politicans in the questionable sense with which we regard that class in this country, but public spirited gentlemen who have a laudable ambition to shine in the affairs of state. Of lawsers proper there are very few in the Commons. They find their place in the parliamentary court and represent the interests of private bill promoters in what we would call the lobby.
The composition of our legislatures is, of course, entirely different. We hand over all legislation to the legal fraternity. Nearly every president, cabinet minister, governor, members of Congress and of the State legislatures is a lawyer. Every other profession and pursuit is unrepresented except in the most casual way and the result is before us in the deplorable chaos so ably described by Mr. Simon Sterne. There is no instance in history of any one class ruling all others wisely. Insensibly lawyer legislators, lawyer govenors and lawyer judges will do what they can to promote litigation. This is the legacy of lawyer rule continued through a generation.

There does not seem to be any means to cure this evil. Our wealthy and educated classes are unpopular with the average voter. The lawyers are trained to public business. They cultivate the art
usages. They are preferred for every office over representatives of all other classes. Their only rivals in popularity are the keepers of liquor saloons whom our city voters as a general thing prefer for members of the Board of Aldermen and other local offices. The only improvement that seems to be possible in our government is in giving greater authority to the heads of departments, mayors, governors, presidents and all executive officers and abate as far as possible the nuisance of lawyer infested legislative bodies. This will give us at least responsible government. The powers conferred upon the mayors of Brooklyn and New York show the tendency of things.

## Land Transfer Reform.

By invitation, several members of the press and a committee from the Real Estate Exchange were invited to be present at a meeting of the Land Transfer Reform Commission last week, to hear what recommendations on the subject they were about to make to the Legislature. A report of President Southmayd's remarks, which were very interesting, will be found elsewhere. Every real estate owner and dealer and all lawyers interested in real estate cannot afford to be without this full repor ${ }^{\iota}$. The remarks of the chairman and others show the monstrousinjustice of the laws affecting realty in.this State. There is a great deal of intelligent work to be done to correct the iniquities sanctioned by existing enactments.
The commission, it seems, have agreed upon all points save one. Unfortunately the dispute is on a vital matter. Mr. Southmayd and three of the committee have decided to recommend an index of conveyances and mortgages by lot, while Mr. Dwight H . Olmstead is of the opinion that indexing by block is the most practical method. What was said on both sides is given in the report.

We do not propose to take part in this controversy, but we fear it will delay the consideration of this vital matter of land transfer reform by the Legislature. The commissioners ought to have made up their minds to agree or to disagree before the Legislature met and have had its report or reports ready before the beginning of the session; but as yet they have not put pen to paper, and it will probably be some time before the report and the proper bills are prepared. The recommendations which the majority of the commission will make on other points are all of them wise and to the point, and it is to be hoped that prompt action will be taken, even if the matter of indexing should be postponed for another year.
There are powerful monied influences which will oppose any change in the present costly and burdensome system of conveying real estate. There is one city official who receives $\$ 11$ for every search made. The sum total amounts, as Mr. Southmayd points out, to three times the annual salary of the President of the United States. There are other officials and many lawyers who will lose money by any cheapening or expediting in the transfers of real property. The Legislature in session has already achieved a dubious reputation. The composition of its committees is very bad, and it will be hard work to fight through any reform when powerful monied interests are opposed to it.

## Pelham Park.

A committee of the Real Estate Exchange and Auction Room-Limited-has, during the past week, been investigating the subject of the proposed Pelham Park. There is, it seems, no objection in any quarter to the other parks proposed by the Commission. These last are all situated in the Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth Wards, but Pelham Park is on the Sound outside of the city limits. It is alleged, this location is such that it would be unavailable for park purposes for many long years, while the cost and maintenance, and the construction of the parkway from Bronx Park to Pelham Bay Park, would all prove very costly to the present generation of taxpayers. Pelham Park is six miles from the Harlem River, is near no railway, and its only approach for the public would be by way of the East River and the Sound. Then, it is alleged, that much of the land to be purchased is a swamp, and that its sale to the city would involve a job of very great magnitude.

Still another objection is in the grevious burdens which taxpayers must assume if all these lands for parks are condemned right away. If the legal fiction of a debt of $\$ 125,000,000$ instead of our real debt which is $\$ 92,000,000$ is sustained by the courts, there can be no issue of bonds to pay for the condemned lands. The law is so framed that upon the authorization of the Supreme Court condemned park lands pass into the possession of the city, and the former owners of the land can enter a judgment against our tax authorities and force the payment at once. In other words the city tax levy would be swollen ten, fifteen or perhaps twenty million dollars in one year, which would be an exasperating burden upon owners of New York realty. If bonds could be issued running 25 or 30 years, there would not be so much opposition, for the payment would then be gradual, but the newly adopted constitational amendment prohibits the issue of bonds increasing our debt to more than 10 per cent. of the real estate valuation of the city. The
city's real estate debt, as we have said, is $\$ 92,000$. (1884). not reach our limit until the debt of $\$ 120,000,000$ v(1878). here comes in the preposterous sinking fund whic ${ }^{1884 .)}$. Mayors and our present Corporation Counsel declari) $\ddot{\text { Vi }}$ counted as a part of the permanent debt of the city.

There is one way out of this tangle. It is for the Legislature to get rid of this fictitious debt. Its existence is a constant temptation to peculation. Are we certain that all the bonds credited to the sinking fund are really in the possession of the commissioners? May not the representatives of the party machines have made away with some of them? It is folly unspeakable for our citizens to permit the existence of a great mass of negotiable securities to remain uncancelled in the possession of city officials. The existence of the sinking fund is not only a peril in itself, but necessitates the employment of unnecessary officials. The Legislature should end it this year, and then there will be no difficulty about the new parks or paying the bills for the construction of the new aqueduct.

## Our Prophetic Department.

ObSERVE-The market is a puzzle to most people. Even the oldest heads cannot understand why prices should be so well maintained in the Stock Exchange when the skies in the railway world look so cloudy. All the pools and combinations have broken to pieces. The Pennsylvania Central, the most conservative and best managed of our corporations, will have nothing more to do with combinations, and even refuses to enter the coal syndicate. This would seem to mean general demoralization. Then the Vanderbilts are bears, and general business certainly is not active enough to give the roads anything like their former business. The West Shore is still fighting, the Lackawanna, and New York Central have lost their profits, the Erie is accumulating a heavy floating debt, the outside public are not buying stocks, the Pittsburgh and Cisco failure occur, and yet prices remain strong. All this is very remarkable.

Sir Oracle-Superficially considered it is, but those who have read these conversations are aware that I have steadily held that prices would be better in January, due primarily to the large crops, especially the corn crop. There were $\$ 4,000,000,000$ of agricultural products produced in the year 1884, and these have to be marketed, and despite the wars and cuttings it will be found that railroad earnings, especially in the corn regions, will show an increase for the next two months over last year. Then, there is a better feeling in business circles, as I have frequently pointed out. People cannot remain depressed always, and they are disposed just now to indulge in hopefulness. Commodore Tooker, who has forgotten more about theatrical matters than most people know, and who now runs a large theatrical printing office, tells me that since the 1st of January an entire change has come over the theatre-going public. Local and traveling companies are all doing well. Look at the theatres in this city, for instance. The Metropolitan Opera House is thronged nightly ; Booth and Barrett are drawing immense houses; Wallack's, Daly's, and the other really good theatres are prosperous. In speaking of failures which might occur, I said in a conversation which appeared in the RECORD AND GUIDE of January 10 :
If several prominent persons failed, of course, it would affect prices, but the higher values for stocks, which I am predicting, would come later. I think it very likely that capitalists with extended plans, requiring large means, may have been crippled in their:operations; but failures now would not be as hurtful to prices as they were, say last May, or if they had been developed after an apparently Fr ssperous season. It is the unexpected, such as the Garrison collapse and the Grant \& Ward fiasco, which startles the street. Nothing short of the bankruptecy of a Jay Gould or a Vanderbilt would have any lasting effect.
The failure of the great Pittsburgh iron firm, and of Cisco, which was announced during the following week, confirmed the judgment I expressed above. Of course, there may be a catastrophe which would upset the "street," but the strength of the market in face of all kinds of bearish news and rumors, shows that for the present, at least, capitalists and investors have discounted any ordinary bad news, and are disposed to take a hopeful view of the future.

Observer-Are you then converted to the bull side of the market?

SIR O.-I believe in stocks for the present, but I look for serious set-backs further along. I think there is danger of a crisis in the dry goods trade in February. I have said this repeatedly during last fall, and I certainly am not a bull on real estate values or on rents.

Observer-How about grain and cotton?
Sir O.-The speculative fraternity have, as usual, got the better of the agriculturalists. It is estimated that 75 per cent. of the wheat, and rather more of the cotton, produced in 1884 was sold to the speculators at its lowest price between harvest and the beginning of the present year. The great operators in Chicago have resold this wheat at a very handsome advance to the outside miscellaneous operators. Cotton, I think, has also generally got into the hands of the less conservative speculators, The advance in price came too

American people deliberately set aside such of their leading Senators as are aspirants for the Presidency. To be elected chief magistrate of the nation a candidate must be a successful general or a third-rate lawyer. Speaking or literary ability is fatal to presidential aspirations. Many of the views held by Mr. Evarts are not those advocated in this publication, but he will make a Senator of unusual ability, and will bring to the discussion of national and international topics a ripe experience, sound judgment and an earnest desire to serve the highest interests of the nation.

The report of the proceedings before the Land Transfer Reform State Commission is so full that we are reluctantly forced to omit this week Mr. Geo. W. Van Siclen's admirable paper giving instructions to buyers and sellers of real estate so that they may avoid legal pit falls. It will be given next week.

The Philadelphia journals are felicitating the inhabitants of the Quaker city over the opening of the first cable line. Reference is made to the wonderful changes wrought in Philadelphia by the horse cars, the first of which was propelled on the 20th of January, 1858. Previous to that date all public Itravel was by cumbrous omnibuses, but the horse cars, it is alleged, in five years made changes greater in city transportation than did the hundred years previously. Philadelphia has no " $L$ " roads to bring the ends of the city together as in New York, but it is thankful for the cable roads which it is hoped will give much swifter travel than the horse car lines. Undoubtedly, means of rapid inter-communication is of immense benefit to any great centre of population. No city is so blessed in this particular as New York, yet from the way the journals and our courts regard the Manhattan Company one would suppose that it was an intolerable nuisance which ought to be abated.

The work of the State Legislature has begun early as will be seen by reference to our Albany letters of this and of last week. Of course we do not pretend to keep the run of legislation only so far as it affects our city government and realty interests, but it will be seen that a swarm of bills of vital moment to the taxpayers have already been introduced. There is no publication which gives this news in anything like its fullness and thoroughness save alone The Record and Guide.

David Dudley Field made a remarkable speech at the sixteenth anniversary of the Ladies' Sorosis Club, held at Delmonico's last Thursday even ing. He declared himself in favor of woman's rights, including suffrage and all that is demanded by the most advanced woman's rights advocates. Within the last thirty years, he said, there had been a revolution in the law respecting the status of women as regards property rights. When he commenced the work of codifying the laws of the State woman had no right to her own personal property. The husband and wife were one, and that one was the husband. He could see no reason why the women should not vote and hold office just the same as men. As to the objection that women would not look well at a crowded poll be believed that the time was coming when some machinery would be devised by which the invalid and the aged, as well as women, could do their votivg in their homes. Citizens should not be disfranchised because of physical disability. Mr. Field was very emphatic in the position he took, and was listened to and liberally applauded by the brilliant assembly of ladies and gentlemen who filled Delmonico's dining hall. Among the notabilities present were Judge Noah Davis, Judge Fancher, Rev. Dr. Collyer, Courtland Palmer, Dr. Morris Henry, Manager A. M. Palmer, Mrs. Martha J. Lamb, Mrs. Erminie Smith, Mr. Charles Congdon, W. Jennings Demarest, Charles F. Wingate, H. M. Balliss and others equally noted.

The National Mining Board and Petroleum Exchange have wisely decided not to spend $\$ 1,200,000$ in erecting a new office building with only $\$ 200,000$ in its treasury. The office building business has been overdone, temporarily at least. There is no doubt but all in existence will eventually be required, but in the slang of the day we must wait until the growth of the down town business "catches on " before putting upany more of these great costly office structures. We will be delighted to see such evidences of immediate prosperity as would call for many more of such edifices, but it is unwise to encourage the spending of money in splendid buildings in advance of the demand for them It is a real injury to the best real estate interests of New York when investments are made which prove unproductive. It would add, of course, to the appearance of the city, and would be temporarily profitable to architects, builders and others interested, if capitalists and corporations should continue to put their money into great office and apartment buildings, but the community suffers finally when money is sunk in this way. The investors whose means are already tied up in these great structures should have a chance to get some return from their investments before new rivals enter the field. The swarms of brokers who now throng the various exchanges below Wall street should remember that an ebb tide has set in, and that literally thousands of them must get into some productive business before three years are over. There are hard times ahead for the speculative fraternity. The Mining Exchange should keep its $\$ 200,000$; it will need all its assets before many years pass by.

The widespread complaints expressed against the contractors for the work on the Real Estate Exchange on Liberty Street has evidently borne fruit. The work is now progressing very rapidly and it is expected that
the interior show that the Exchange will be one of the finest in the country. In addition to the marble flooring and wainscoting, the walls and ceilings are to be handsomely decorated and frescoed by W. V. D. Ford.

## Home Decorative Notes.

-Have plenty of flowers upon your table, but mass them low.
-Tile finished walls are now made in many shades; they begin above the base with a color almost matching the floor and gradually become darker and change to another color, the first appearing in the frieze.
-Ropes serye various ends; a novel picture frame is of antique oak, with three cords as thick as the little finger stretched over the wood, interlacing at the corners into a pretty ornament; the ropes are both silvered and gilded.
-Table cloths are worked in the French pattern, that is the cloth is folded in three triangular folds and so ironed: the creases are in diamond pattern, and the effect is very pretty.
-Brass hanging-clocks should be mounted on a plush-covered board.
-There are some new Royal Worcester vases in which the ground is veiled with gold and the color beneath is of a tint varying from the deepest to the palest tea-rose; upon this surface are rose branches, delicately shaded, and birds so carefully executed that one may almost count the feathers.
-Violets tied with yellow ribbons are the favorite favors at ladies' lunch parties.
-Prints which have grown yellow with age can be given a rich effect by being framed in white mats, the contrast of the white mats will give the print much of the beauty of an impression on India paper.
-A very elegant fire screen is in the form of a brass peacock with a spread tail of natural feathers.
-Butter forks instead of knives are used for rolled butter balls.
-A bunch of dark grapes is a favorite ornament for panels or baskets, they are quite effective when fastened on to a large cream satin bow.
-Small cut glass vinagrettes hanging on silver chains have superseded charity boxes.
-A bright colored Japanese parasol opened wide and fastened to the back of a bamboo chair adds a bit of bright color to the dark corner of a room.
-A number of little and big pug dogs in a graduated row is one of the novelties in paper weights.
-Ancient kinds of embroidery are gaining favor each day and everything antique is hunted up with the greatest avidity.
-Cameo glass cologne bottles make a sumptuous furnishing for the dress ing table.
-A rather unique but useful toilet device is nponed $f$
hooks screwed upon it for hanging buttuend rolling pin with small brass d other small necessaries; stly $a^{\text {nd }}$.tton hooks, scissors, whisk brooms av real espainted in oil son- che rolling pin may be covered with plush, satin, or painted turquoise blue and decorated with the lovely delicate arbutus flowers, and suspended by means of blue satin ribbon.
-Griffins and other fanciful monsters are among choice mounting for fire irons; also circular forms of bands of metal representing beaten work.
-No style that was ever invented offers a more common-sense solution of the problem how to unite elegance with comfort in furniture than the style called by the name of Louis XV. The sofas, fanteuils and arm-chairs, with arms in which one can recline with ease, along with the woodwork and panelling, dispels an air as if made expressly for comfort; many beautiful pieces of this period are displayed at the warerooms of Herter Brothers, Fifth avenue and Twenty-first street.
-Flower-pots and jardinieres are in polished and burnished brass. i
-Colored Japanese lanterns distributed about a room shed a bright and pleasing light.
-Mexican feather work is used on dinner cards.
-Scarfs for five o'clock tea tables are of Canton silks which are washable.
-A copper hot water urn is more fashionable than one of brass.
-Admirers and lovers of quaint Japanese goods will find a most perfect museum of art treasures at H. C. Parke's, No. 186 Front street.
-An exquisite little cigar case is in the shape of three cigars, gold lined, with outside of oxidized silver irregularly hammered; this holds three cigars and when closed has the semblance of being fastened with a gold tape strap.

## -Brass fire irons are decorated with dragon's heads.

-The low court chairs with square backs are in favor, but they are embroidered instead of being cushioned in solid colors.
-Substitutes for stained glass known by many names have come into extensive demand; they consist of designs specially prepared for the purpose on thin porous paper felt attached by cement and then varnished; considerable brilliancy is attained, and the effects produced are all the more pleasing from the simplicity of the means; there is no more pleasing phase of furnishing than that which admits the presence of stained glass and the cost has been an obstacle to many who would gladly indulge in it; for many decorative purposes there should be no hesitancy to indulge in the imitation; for instance there are many windows so situated as to make it undesirable to remove the glass, and for such an imitation may be justly advised, and to meet these requirements may attractive designs may be procured of C. L. Steib, of 853 Broadway, who offers a variety of pleasing styles.
-Ice cream forks are a soit of trident in shape, partaking thereof both the nature of a fork and spoon.

## State GuaranteesTitles.

## Editor Record and Guide:

My letter published in your paper of Janizth, set out the important part which insurance of title by the governnilays in the Prussian system of the transfers of titles, and that that $\delta_{J}$ would not have been adopted without such guaranty. A similar pro is fo und in mestaustralian or "Torrens" systems. A tax is levied on all layd $=$-hen first registered, which forms an insurance fund, to indemnify persons injured by errors of the registers, and the consolidated revenues of the country are declared liable for any deficiency in this fund.
Allow me to cite the eminent author of that system, Sir R. R. Torrens, on the importance which he attributed to this insurance feature of the system. In a paper read before the Dublin "Society for Promoting the Amendment of the Law," he says, in comparing the Australian law with the English statute ( 25 and 26 Victoria, C. 53):
"The first anomaly that strikes us on perusal of the act in question, is the absence of any provision for compensating parties who may be deprived of land by the indefeasible title granted under it....the striking out of the provisions of the bill, as it originally stood, framed in contemplation of this contingency, will sooner or later be found to occasion hardships and injustice to individuals." And again on p. 21, referring to the Irish acts (12 and 13 Vict., C. 77 and 21 and 22 Vict., C. 72 ): "Another point of divergence between these measures sufficiently important to require special notice is this: that as, whatever may be the precautionary provisions prescribedhowever high may be the qualifications of the functionaries to whom the carrying out of these provisions is entrusted-error will ever remain a possibility. The Australian Act provides an 'Assurance Fund,' out of which rightful owners, deprived by any such contingency, may be compensated; whereas the Irish acts, in common with the English act of which we have been treating, contains no provision of this sort, and the consequence is that grievous injury and injustice have in some instances been inflicted."
The object of my two letters has been to show that neither the Prussian or Australian law can be cited in favor of an improved system of indexing, without an insurance of the title by the government, and that this view was held by the promoters of those systems; and since all admit that there is no present prospect of introducing such a government guaranty in this country. It is in vain for New York real estate dealers and owners to expect practical and substantial relief from any change in our system of indexing, and consequently it would seem to be a mistake on their part to fail to support heartly an institution, which offers to give them the best which is now to be had, and whose practical, successful working in insuring titles, after a careful examination, is now to be seen in the neighboring city of Philadelphia, where the principal financial institutions loan money without a new examinatign on presentation of the guaranty of the title, by the Litle Company.

REMARKS.
Our correspondent's object is, of course to say, a good word for a tiute guarantee company, which we are willing he should do in view of the intrinsic interest of the matter to real estate owners and dealers. But anyone who peruses the remarks made at the conference between the Land Transfer Reform Commission and the members of the Real Estate Exchange will be struck with the fact that great advantages will result, not only from proper indexing, but from getting rid of the burdensome charges on real estate and obstructions to its transfer. The truth may as well be told. These title guarantee companies have been very successful in Baltimore, Philadelphia and Boston, because they saved dealers and purchasers a great deal of anxiety and possible loss in view of the general "cussedness" of the laws relating to real estate. But the guarantee of these companies involves a heavy tax upon real estate purchasers. The lawyers of this State who would not move to correct any of the defects of our land laws are now, it is said, willing to get rid of many of the incumbrances on conveying real estate so as to head off the guarantee title companies, which have monopolized the title searching business in Baltimore, Philadelphia and Boston to the serious loss of the lawyers. If our laws can be amended there will be less need of guarantee companies, and the real estate lawyers will retain their clients. The dilemma they are in involves the acceptance of a half a loaf or no bread at all, in other words to lose their real estate business or to consent to such amendments as would simplify and cheapen the transfer of titles. Neither the lawyers nor the title companies want the State to go into the guarantee business, but this is what must eventually be done to assimilate real and personal property in ease and cheapness of transfer.

## New Rochelle Doing Well.

There seems to be something of a real estate revival in the old town of New Rochelle. Mr. E. Roosevelt, who is interested in New Rochelle real estate, says there have been a great many building improvements in that town during the past year, and a number of new residences and stores are projected. Through the efforts of Mr. Iselin, who owns some 300 acres of land in and near the town, New Rochelle is to be supplied with pure water. This will be a great advantage to the town, and will put an end to all the talk about malaria. A horse-car scheme has been projected. The property holders on the north side of the railroad talk of organizing a company to run cars to the beautiful and attractive plateau known as Huguenot Park. If this is done Colonel Richard Lathers intends to build quite a number of houses on his finely located property in and near Huguenot Park. Mr. Roosevelt did not think that after the experience of the annexed district that New Rochelle, during the present generation at least, would become a part of New York. Taxation will always be lower in Westchester County than in the great city to the squth of it. Jew Rochelle had been kept back by rumors of malaria by want of railroad facilities and by the unenterprising character of the old inhabitants, especially those of French descent. The malaria myth was long ago discredited, and the introduction of pure fresh water will set it at rest forever. The old French families are dying out and property is getting into more enterprising bands; while the Portchester
branch of the New Haven Road has shortened the distance to New York, and when the Second Avenue Bridge is built over the Harlem River there will be steam communication from the Battery to New Rochelle, which would yearly pour thousands of new settlers into this most beautiful suburb of New York. Mr. Roosevelt regarded the proposed Pelham Bay Park as a very bad thing for the New York taxpayers, but would be a great help if made into a pleasure ground to New Rochelle. It would keep vacant large area of ground, and so invite settlement in New Rochelle. Pelham Park, in his opinion, would be profitable to the property holders near by, as the city would be forced to buy at a high price marshy and useless land. The proposed parkway leading to it would be a very costly work. The scheme was popular with the contractors, but in his view the best interests of New York should oppose the Pelham Bay project. New Rochelle, however, has started on a new career of prosperity to which it has long been a stranger.

## Realty at Albany.

## [From our own Correspondent.]

Albany, January 22.
The numerous measures that are talked about and being prepared indicate that there is to be more than the usual amount of legislation, or, at least, attempts at legislation, which directly or indirectly affects realty interests in the city of New York. The measures broached cover all varieties of subjects touching the interests of property holders.
To meet the question raised since the adoption of the amendment to the Constitution, limiting the bonded debt to 10 per cent. of the assessed valuation in any municipality in the State-whether the bonds held by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund should be included in the computation of the city debt in order to determine whether the 10 per cent. limit had been reached-Mr. Van Allen to-day introduced a bill to improve the condition and operations of the Sinking Fund in the city of New York. The first section provides that no bonds or stocks of said city hereafter issued shall be made payable from the Sinking Fund or be a charge upon the same. The law of 1878 , requiring that they should be, is repealed by the bill.

The act also directs the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund to cancel all bonds and stocks of said city which may hereafter be purchased by them out of the surplus income of said Sinking Fund for the redemption of the city debt, or in which said surplus income or any portion thereof may be hereafter invested.

The Commissioners are also directed to cancel immediately stocks and bonds of said city now held as an investment by said Commissioners of the Sinking Fund, to an amount equal to the excess of the amount of said fund, over the amount of all the stocks and bonds of said city, not held by the Sinking Fund Commissioners and now a charge on said fund. It is probable that this will be further extended before its final passage.

The decision of the Court of Appeals that the Department of Public Works had no power under the law to allow or authorize the extension of the approach to the Brooklyn Bridge over Chatham str eet, has been followed by the introduction of a bill in the Senate authorizing that extension on posts over that street. This is to permit a connection of the bridge with the elevated road at that point.

A resolution is pending in the Assembly providing for the appointment of a commission by the Governor of seven persons skilled in the construction of buildings, two to be master masons, two master carpenters, two master architects and one master in iron construction, all engaged in active work in their respective lines in the construction of buildings in New York and Brooklyn, for the purpose of preparing and reporting to the present Legislature proper laws for the construction, regulation and inspection of buildings in the two cities.

The appointment of such a commission is not generally considered necessary by the members, in view of the fact that a bill is already before the Legislature which embodies most of the matter necessary for the regulation of the construction of buildings in New York, also in view of the fact that the tenement house commission appointed last year, is preparing measures touching the construction and regulation of tenement houses, as indicated by their preliminary report already made.

The amendment to Section 2,231, of the Code, relative to landlords obtaining possession of demised premises, given in my letter of last week, has passed the Senate and awaiting its final reading in the Assembly. None of
the other bills affecting realty, referred to last week, have made any progress.
an made by thoduced in both houses to-day a bill to change the map or avenue and One Hundred and Sixty-second street it it ward, at Morris that portion of Morris avenue, which lies between One Hundred and Sixtysecond street and Overlook avenue, and that part of One Hundred and Sixty-fifth street, which lies between Winfield place and Sheridan avenue, as laid down on the map of the Commissioners of the Department of Parks, are hereby stricken from the map and discontinued. The Commissioners of
Parks are authorized and directed to alter and revise, as may appear to Parks are authorized and directed to alter and revise, as may appear to
them best for the general good, the plans heretofore made for laying out them best for the general good, the plans heretofore made for laying out that portion of the city, between Railroad avenue West, Webster avenue,
Sheridan avenue, and One Hundred and Sixty-second and One Hundred and Sheridan avenue, and One Hundred and Sixty-second and One Hundred and
Sixty-seventh streets and Overlook avenue, and file a new map showing the sixty-sev.

Those who are unfortunate enough to have their property sold for taxes, and the purchasers of such property at tax sales, will be interested in the following bill introduced by Mr. Shea, the representative of the wards north of the Harlem River.
fore sold or leased, or hereafter sold or leased for non-payment of taretofore sold or leased, or hereafter sold or leased for non-payment of taxes or
assessments, whether a deed or lease pursuant to such sale shall have assessments, whether a deed or lease pursuant to such sale shall have
been made or not, shall be hereafter maintained unless such action shall be brought in the case of sales heretofore made within two years from be brought in the case of sales heretofore made within two years from
the passage of this act, and in the case of sale hereafter to be made the passsge of this act, and in the case of sale hereafter to be made
within three years after the period fixed by law, when the purchaser at such sale shall be entitled to a lease or deed thereof, and a notice of the aforesaid, in the office of the Clerk of the County in which said premises aforesaid, in the office of the Clerk of shate the
shall be situated. Such a notice shall state the names of the parties to the action, the residence of the plaintiff, the object of the action, and a brief action, the residence of the plaintiff, the obje
description of the property effected thereby.
county, town, village or municipal corporation at any sale mentioned
in the first section of this act, so long as said purchases shall not have been disproved of.
Assemblyman Earle has introduced the mechanics' lien law again. The points which Governor Cleveland objected to in the bill of last year have been eliminated, and it is changed in several other respects. It is neither been eliminated, and it is changed in several other respects. It is neither
like the bill as introduced last year, nor as it finally passed. It, however embodies some of the provisions of both. It has one section repealing ali acts inconsistent with it; also repeals by direct mention chapter 2,178 of
the laws of 1862 , which is the mechanics' lien law for Kings and Queens Counties; also chapter 379 of the laws of 1875, which applies to New York city; ; also chapter 486 of 1880 , which applies to all cities of the State. The first section provides that any person who shall hereafter perform any labor or furnish any materials which has been or is to be used in building, altering or repairing any house or other improvements upon tractor, or sub-contractor, or any person contracting with the owner of such lands to build or repair, alter or improve, within any city of this State, shall upon filing a claim as prescribed in this act, have a lien for the value of such labor and materials upon the house appurtenances and the lot upon which the same stands, to the extent of the right, title and interest at that time existing of such owner; but the owner shall not be obliged to pay for
on account of such house or other building, in consideration of all the on account of such house or other building, in consideration of all the
liens authorized, any greater sum than the price stipulated and agreed to liens authorized, any greater sum than the price stipulated and agreed to
be paid therefor, nor shall the liens in the aggregate exceed the amount which the owner would be otherwise liable for at the time of the filing of the claims.
The second section provides, that any persons or firms furnishing materials or rendering any services or labor pursuant to any contract, expressed or
implied with any person other than the owner, may give the owner or his implied with any person other than the owner, may give the owner or his tract, and that such materials have been furnished or are being furnished, such owner shall thereupon retain from the amount due, and unpaid the such owner shall thereupon retain from the amount d
contractor, sufficient to pay for such material or labor.
contractor, sufficient to pay for such material or labor.
Section 3, sets forth, that if after the giving of such notice the owner shall by collusion or otherwise, pay to the contractor or persons
entitled to receive compensation for erecting or repairing a building and there should not remain sufficient to pay for such material or labor, the owner shall then be liable to the amount that would have been due, had no payment been made after the giving of such notice, and the persons or firms furnishing such material or rendering such service or labor, shall, by filing claim and serving notice thereof, have a lien upon such house or other building and the lot of land, for the value of such services or labor or materials to the amount unpaid at the time of giving said notice.
Section 4, sets forth that, any person or firms furnishing material or performing labor, to or for any other person than the owner, may at the time of giving notice as provided in Section 2, demand of the owner of the building or his agent, the terms of the contract or agreement under which the house or building is being erected or repaired, and the
amount then due or unpaid to the persons or firms erecting or repairing amount then due or unpaid to the persons or firms erecting or repairing
the same, and if such owner, or his agent at the time of said demand shall refuse or neglect to inform the persons making such demand of the terms of the contract and the amount due or unpaid on the same or, terms of the contract and the amount due or unpaid on the same or,
shall knowingly, or intentionally, falsely state the terms of the contract shal knowingly, or intentionaly, falsely state the terms of the contract labor or furnishing the material shall sustain loss by reason of such refusal or neglect, or false statement, the owner shall be liable to them in an action. The return of an execution unsatisfied against the party to whom such materials was furnished or for whom labor was performed shall be sufficient proof of such loss, and they shall by filing claim within the time provided by this act, have a lien upon such house and the lot on which it stands.
Section 5 provides that every original contractor within three months after the completion of his contract, and any person or persons, save the original contractor claiming the benefit of this act, must within sixty days after the performance of the work or the furnishing of materials for which the lien is claimed, file with the County Clerk of the county in which the work is done or materials used, a claim containing the names and residence of the claimant, the notices and amount of work performed or materials furnished with the name of the owner, the name of the person by whom employed or to whom he furnished materials with a statement of the terms, time given and conditions and whether all the work for which the claim is made has been actually performed, if not, how much, and also a description of the property to be charged with a lien, sufficient for identification, verified under oath, within ten days serve a copy upon
the owner either by delivering to him personally, or upon his authorized the owner either by delivering to him personally, or upon his authorized agent or atto
the premises.
Soction 6 provides that the County Clerk shall keep a lien docket in which shall be kept the record of the claims filed.
Section 7 provides that the liens provided for in this act shall be preferred to any conveyance, judgment, mortgage or other incumbrance of which the lien holder had no notice and which was not docketed or recorded at the time of filing of the claim referred to in the fifth section of this act, in cases in which the owner has made an agreement to sell and convey the premises to the contractor or other person. Such owner shall
be deemed to be the owner within the intent and meaning of this act, until a deed shall have been actually delivered, conveying said premises in feea deed shall have been actually deliv.
simple, pursuant to such agreement.
Section 8 declares that all persons
Section 8 declares that all persons entitled to liens, except those who contracted with the owner, shall be deemed sub-contractors, and the court in the judgment shall direct the amount due sub-contractors to be paid out of sale before any part of such proceeds shall be paid the cornishing. Persons standing in equal degree as to-laborers, of pers their liens. In materials,
 differs declare the work of against the prass, and the proceeds of sale must me applied to such in the order of its work.
Section 9 provides that an action may be brought in any court of record, and may be instituted and prosecuted in like manner as an action ${ }^{\text {f }}$ for the foreclosure of a mortgage upon real property.

Regular.

The Iron Beam Manufacturers will shortly hold a meeting at Philadelphia to discuss the advisability of reducing the cost of their material. The present rate is three-and-a-half cents per pound, which is equal to $\$ 70$ per ton for beams, while steel rails cost between $\$ 27$ and $\$ 28$. Contractors and builders claim that the combination of iron beam manufacturers is charging exorbitant figures and that the beams could be produced at two-and-a-half cents a pound with profit, thus reducing the cost $\$ 20$ per ton. They say that the policy of the manufacturers in maintaining such high rates in the face of the low price of iron now ruling is very short-sighted, as it reduces immensely the number and size of their orders.

There is great complaint among gas consumers. Their bills, they say, are larger than ever, although the consolidated gas company have reduced their tariff from $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 1.75$ per 1,000 feet. The charge is that the companies have been deliberately making poorer gas so as to force consumers to use
more of it to get the same light as formerly. This is clearly a fraud on the community, if it is true, and the law ought to afford some protection to gas consumers. It may be that the new company, the Equitable, will furnish a better gas for the price; if they do not and there is no remedy at law, it would be in order to discuss the question of the city supplying its own gas, as is done in Philadelphia and other municipalities. If we have an efficient civil service as promised there will be less objection to the city becoming the manufacturer of gas than when all our departments were under control of the party machines. Even under the old system our water supply was far more satisfactory and cheaper than if the work had been done by pi ivate corporations as in London and other large cities of Europe.

## Land Transfer Reform

what the state commision proposes.-president southmayd's
A meeting of the Land Transfer Reform Commission took place on Saturday last, at the office of the chairman, Charles F. Southmayd. Amongst those present were Commissioners Olmstead, Coggeshall, Strong and Riker; Messrs. Andrews and Beekman, representing the Real Estate Exchange and Auction Room (Limited), and Messrs. Hinsdale and Turner, of the Land Transfer Reform Association.
Mr. Southmayd-Have the gentlemen representing the Real Estate Mr. Strong-I think it would be well for you first, Mr. Chairman, to state briefly the conclusions at which the committee has already arrived. Mr. Southmayd-Well, gentlemen, we are agreed upon the plan of devisto say that the people shall be absolutely compelled to use those forms on the pain of having the instruments void, but we do propose to exercise what we believe would be an effective compulsion of them by making it some undertake to lumber up the records with these old and useless and cumbrous forms. I have no doubt that practically, without any serious objection, after the laws devised by this committee on this subject are passed, if they
are passed at all, that the forms of deeds, mortgages and leases will be altered to the short forms by universal consent, and that there will be no difficulty about it.
The subject upon which we have had the most discussion, and upon which there has been some difference of opinion among the committee, has been that of the form of indices. We all agreed at once that it was requisite that we should change the form of indexing by substituting local indices against ance, and then the question arose as to the shape that local index should be, whether as proposed by some of the gentlemen of the commission, that requiring instruments relating to any lot in that block to be recorded in the place designated in the index of the block, or whether we should further sub-divide it and assign a separate space to each lot on the map of each lot contained in the block. I believe we have now agreed, with possibly one
dissentent, that the true course is to adopt a separate index for each lot, so dissentent, that the true course is to adopt a separate index for each lot, so
that we will be able by looking at the index itself to tell at once, without any searches, what chere is in the shape of mortgages or conveyances, or
liens against that particular lot, without any searches at all. We propose liens against that particular lot, without any searches at all. We propose to have, I should say, one index-one of these local indices assigned for what are called conveyances. go in the books of conveyance; another one for mortgages, assignments of mortgages, \&c., things which now go in the book of mortgages; and then another index to be kept in the County Clerk's be filed there shall be entered. That would include the mechanics' liens and judgments, and various other leins, which now go in their places.
In regard to judgments we have all agreed on recommending this: That in place of a judgment being a lien on the property as it now is, by liter-
ally docketing it in the office of the Clerk of the Court, and indexing against ally docketing it in the office of in order to make a judgment a lien upon all the name of the person, that in frder to make a judgment a lien upon all person who claims a lien in virtue of the judgment shall file some specification of the judgment in the local index on the property on which he claims a lien-a specification of the mortgage or of the judgment answering to the transcript of the docket, with a statement that by virtue of that judgment he claims a lien on this or that particular lot; so that by merely
looking at the index in the County Clerk's office you will know at once looking at the index in the County Clerk's oftice you will know at once
whether there are any judgments which are liens on that property against whether there are any judgments which are liens on that property against
any of the people now owning it, or through whose hands it has passed any of the people now owning it, or through whose hands it has passed
within the time for which judgments remain to be a lien. And in order that the benefit of that system will be speedily realized without waiting for the expiration of ten-year liens on the plaintiff's judgment, we propose, if it existence at the time this act takes effect be seized at the expiration of six months from that date, unless within the six months a notice of claim under it is filed in the local index here mentioned. The effect would be that after six months on the passage of this act the necessity of searching for judgments will be entirely done away with and at an end.
We propose, in regard the that be a requirement at the proper office that they shall make notice of all unpaid ments from the beginning, and that they shall transfer them to local indices ments rom the beginning, and that thay shall transfer them to local indices page and assign to each lot. There is not enough of that. I should then page and assign to each lot. There is not enough of that. I should then put upon this local index.
As to taxes the laid, because that would encumber the thing; but that sometime, say in January or February, the unpaid taxes of the preceding year shall be careful provisions for checking them off when paid. With such a system
as that we think there -is not the slightest difficulty in providing by law that any one shall be entitled to go and demand from the city official a certificate which shall bind the city, and which the city can well afford to furnish for flfty cents, to show whether there are any taxes or assessments on a given lot, whereas
estate is made to ascertain that.
Of course if you propose any reform the leeches who are now sucking the community will be opposed to it. It has been suggested by some that people. That we totally decline to do. If the law proceeds on that belief or on that theory of satisfying the people who are living under the abuses that are to be removed, I shall decline to be a party to any such scheme or plan; and our judgment is that the slightest attempt to satisfy the greed of not be judicious, and we may as well declare war are now exrist the start If these people who have general indices made for private use are willing to dispose of them it may be possible to buy them out, but that is comparatively a small matter. It is merely our business to report a scheme, or devise a plan which we consider a proper on
say whether they will or will not act upon it.
There are various things which tend to render titles now unnecessarily
complicated and uncertain which are part of the general law of the State and we certainly cannot undertake to alter them by any special act relating
to the State of New York. There are certain evils that are very obvious to to the State of New York. There are certain evils that are very obvious to
all of us, and which clearly ought to be corrected and can be corrected, and a correction can only come by general law, and all that we propose to do in regard to that is to make to the Legislature some very brief suggestions as
to the propriety of enacting laws on these particular subjects which we have to the propriety of enacting laws on these particular subjects which we have
under consideration. The things that occur to me at present as most important in this respect are the statute of limitations in regard to real estate. We have no doubt that ought to be shortened very greatly, and the existing provisions by which the statute is prevented from running for long respects should be altered so that disability should not prevent the running of the statute of limitations except for certain limited terms.
of the statute of limitations except for certain limited terms.
The old disabilities which were most troublesome were inf
of married women and linary. of married women añd lunacy. In regard to infants it is probably neces length of time afterwards, say five years, would be enough, but that is a point which is easily measured. We can tell by examing a title where we have to impose on the statute of limitations. The disability for coverture which has been a very troublesome one, has been in a great measure wiped out by the law of 1870 . The main thing that is left is lunacy. The occa sions of lunacy are of course comparatively rare, but so long as any dis abinty or that sort, or any sort, where this disability is for a perfectly indefinite length of time to prevent the running of the statute of limitations, it is tection. We hold that for the pnblic interests if that right of action should be given to a guardian of a lunatic, or a guardian representing the lunatic, that the lunatic's estate shall be bound just like anybody else's is, and if njustice is done to him let him have his personal remedy, but not allow him Let dimpor by reason of his disability, the titlego a bona fide purchaser of the officer of the law is, having charge of his interest. Then anothe difficulty is that the physical possession which is necessary to make out a defence for the statute of limitations is very difficult to prove in cases of outlying lots in the city of New York, where there is not any real possession about it. The law is good for nothing practically, and there is not any actual possession of it while it lies as an outlying lot up town. As the law and have regularly paid the taxes upon it, and done every act which might characterize ownership, yet still you have not had physical possession and the statute of limitations does not avail you. We esteem it to be quite clear that erty of that kind will be by being thership which can be exims to be the owner -that some acts of that sort should be defined in the statute, and it should be deemed in law equivalent to the physical possession of a house and lot of tainly property. hena fide purchaser. It is an ancient idea as to the sacredness of land claims, which enables very stale claims against land to be revived at a very distant lapse of time, and almost always, whenever any such claim is sustained, results in a practical injustice and not justice. If anybody discovers that their ancestors had title to a piece of land fifty years ago, and there has been some mistake or flaw in regard to the transfer of the title, they are allowed to rip up the title, and they get something which people to come or those under them wound not have had, at to be fifty peopes as valuable as itever would have been to them if the mistake or as a
Mr. Turner-Does the commission propose to change the law in regard o these particulars
Mr. Southmayd-No. We propose to recommend to the Legislature some general laws on this subject. We do not propose to devise any law, but we things in the way of the modification of the general law, which are essential to be done in order to produce anything like a reform on the subject which will be satisfactory to the people. It is not our province under this act to make the law. We can only make a recommendation to the Legislature, and it can act upon it if it sees fit. It is perfectly manifest that we cannot effect any reform unless we get rid of these doubts and uncertainties affecting the title of land. You cannot reach the system of holding and transrerring real estate in the condition which we maintain that the interest of the public really requires. I have no patience, and never have had, with the system of law which entitles a man to pick up some flaw fifty years old, and by virtue of it rip up the title to a block of land in the city of New York, when it has been honestly bought and paid for, when, if it had not been for the flaw, he would have never got one-fiftieth part of what was now paid for it. If any one thinks that the present system ought to be perpetuated, well and good; we do not. It is not our province to devise a present law, but simply to make a recommendation upon the sub-
ject. Another defect in the law which we think might be very easily cured by a general law operating over the whole State is this: That we propose to recommend-at least 1 should propose to recommend it-the present law is that where real estate is devised by last witted to testament of the testator, although the will is regularly admitted to probate,
or either with or without probate recorded in the Surrogate's or either with or without probate recorded and the land is held by the devisee or executors, it is still open to office, and the land is held by the devisee or executors, it is still open to
the heirs at law of the testator within any time for twenty years to con-test-we don't see any earthly reason why the law should not provide that after a will is regularly admitted to probate and to remain so for six months or a year, and nobody comes forward to contest it by way of bill or otherwise, that the title held by the bona fide purchaser under that will shall be protected against any assault by any of the heirs at law of instead of lylng back for an indefinite number of years, and then come in and endeavor to impeach the title at the end. I think what I have said covers the main substantial point that our committee is to consider. There may be some others
sonal BeEKMAN-My idea is that you should assimilate real estate to personal property and give every admistro the the real estate for a period sale the purs or heirs at law the same rights which a legatee has now, to proceed in the Surrogate's Court for an order to compel the executor to pay over. That is the way they do it in Louisiana.

Mr. Southmayd-There are some alterations that we have not considered, and no doubt some alterations on the subject will be made. People do annoy you by insisting that they will sell the real estate of an intestate within a short time after the death. I don't think it expedient to provide by law that after the man's death his heirs at law should be entitled to sell half his real estate and put the money in their pockets, and I do not see any objecall by anybody for some fixed time, say eighteen months after the death of the intestate.

Mr . Beekman then repeated his proposition
Mr. Southmayd-You would not propose the Jaw that has been suggested in some quarters, and I think it is in the Field code, by which all real be no such thing as a title by devise.

Mr. Whitehead-That law will be passed in England inside of two
Mr. Southmayd-I shall not go into that. Our people ought to have a law by which all real estate of the testator has got to pass into his executor
people, but people who are only moderately rich, that there should remain
in existence such as there is now a system of law und in existence such as there is now, a system of law under which a man who
is leaving a family can give his real estate in such a way that nobody can is leaving a family can give his real estate in such a way that nobody can
sell it until his children become of age, so that the land cannot run away or sell it until his
I find that I have forgotten one thing which I will speak of, and that is in reference to indices of liens, \&c., and that, of course, would have to op
from this time forth as a system of law or recording of instruments.
Now we have an immense field to go over. Lawyers now on the passing o real estate have, as you know, printed indices of the conveyances under the
heading of grantor and grantee, and had it from the commencement of the heading of grantor and grantee, and had it from the commencement of the
recording system down to the year 1856. We have no indices in that form at all of unsatisfied mortgages, and we have had no such indices from 1856 down of unsatisfied mortgages, and we have had no such indices from 1856 down
to the present time. It is very clear that in order to facilitate the examinto the present time. It is very clear that in order to facilitate the examin-
ation of the passed title we need to have some very good index of the mortgages and conveyance of all unsatistied mortgages from the beginning. We
need an index of unsatisfied mortgages, and a printed index, and an index need an index of unsatistied mortgages, and a printed index, and an index suppose that the true form of making that would be in the first place to have an alphabetical index, and in the next place a loca index of either lots or
blocks-you would have to put them in blocks; I do not think you could make them in separate lots. I should not propose that, and we would have make them Inink, the alphabetical index with it. The difficulty in dealing
to have,
with that subject is practically one, and that is, if we judge of the future with the past, whenever any such thing as that is proposed there is always a by the past, whenever any such thing as that is proposed there is always a huge job immediately started. If the thing can be done honestly 1 suppose not be done without costing a considerable sum of money, but if it is to be
done in the "job," in the way that politicians here are accustomed to do things, the Lord knows what it would or would not cost; but something will have to be done on that subject.
Mr. Beeknan-It can be done under the direction of the commission as it was before.
Mr. Southmayd-The memory of that commission is not all sweet or a-million of dollars and a great deal of money was wasted by printed indices of judgments which have been utterly useless. Their object apparently was simply to run up bills and give immense jobs to stationers. I have no idea how it can be done under anybody's administration short of costing a great and careful supervision, or it is not of any use at all. But that something or other must be done about that is clear.
Now, there are two or three things that I want to speak of. There have been abuses that no one will dispute can be or ought to be corrected. The absurdity by which a few hundred thousand dollars of mortgages to the
Loan Commissioners of the United States is to be a lien without being Loan Commissioners of the United States is to be a lien without being
recorded, so that every man that transfers a piece of real estate has to make a separate search to find out whether those people have ever had any mortgages is an absurdity. There would be ten times reason for inquiring of
each of the savings banks, and each of the life insurance companies, whether each of the savings igaks, and each or have held 100 times as many as these loan commissioners have. Then there is one other kindred thing that I would mention in this connection. We need to have indices of notices of it is a mere index against the person, and under the practice of making judgment creditors parties to toreclosure suits a great deal of unnecessary
work is done. Persons are given notice by lis pendens not because the foreclosure suits affected their property, but because they, as judgment creditors, have been made parties to the foreclosure suits. Prudent lawyers always consider it to be necessary to make a notice is pendens cover long periods of
time. I always do it when I examine property, and I am clear that there ought to be some system as well for the past as for the future, which would make it easy and comparatively inexpensive to make any necesssary searches for notice of lis pendens. I believe now I have stated the sub stance of what our views are.

Now, Mr. Turner, Mr. Riker suggested to me that he believes you are Mr. Olmstead favors the block index, but all of our four members of the commission are united. I believe that was not the original view taken by them, but they have come to the conclusion, I believe, that the separate lot will, upon careful consideration, disappear. But we should be glad to hear any suggestions on that subject from you. We have considered the subject and the proposed objections to it very thoroughly.
Mr. OLMSTEAD-1 do not agree ts the method entirely which you have stated as the method and that is simply the mechanical process of cetting these papers properly indexed. I do not say I agree entirely with the methods in which you propose to have those things done.
Mr. SOUTHMAYD-No. I have stated, Mr. Olmstead, that there was a to state any of my own personal views which we, but 1 did not undertake majority of the commission, but we stand, four of us, united on all I have said. Isn't that so, Mr. Strong :
$\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{R}}$. OLMSTEAD-I'was not here, and I did not have the pleasure of hearing your views.
Mr. Croly veral bills?
Mr. Southmayd- Very likely the we whe Mr risk on that subject though.
Mr. Croly-ls there any danger of the report of the commsssion being so late that it would be unacted upon this session?
Mr. Southmayd-We have lost a great deal of time
Mr. Southmayd-We have lost a great deal of time by reason of the
committee's coming to the conclusion as to the best course to adopt. The main difference that is between us is as to the lot and block index, about which we have had an immense amount of talk and discussion, and we have had two or three persons here from the Bar Association who have had con-
siderable experience in real estate matters, who have expressed their siderable experience in real estate matters, who have expressed their
opinions in regard to it, and one of the gentlemen who has been here is Mr. opinions in regard to it, and one of the gentlemen who has been here is Mr.
A. P. Man, who addresstd the committee, and who thou ht that the block index was subject to a great deal of objection.
Mr. Olmstead-He said that a separate paper should be filed with each deed.

Mr. Southmayd-He stated the view which I had from the outset, that if we started with the block index there would be for instance in blocks between the long distant avenues, Fifth and Sixth avenues for instanco, and all such avenues as those, seventy-two lots if they were all 25 feet by 100 ninety lots, and if you aggregate in one place on the index everything relating to the ninety lots instead of separating them, I think in time the new system will be probably worse than the old, or would be unless you adopt also the alphabetical index for these blocks-a separate alphabetical

## But tne view which

of separating the index into lots instead from the start upon this question of separating the index into lots instead of aggregating a great mass and
allowing them to be picked out by searchers is, that there is no practical difference at all when the matter is carefully considered in providing for a separate lot, so that if you do establish that system when it has borne its that is, for the time that this system covers-but that you simply find by getting specified copies of the record papers relating to the particular lot all
that there is on record in regard to it, either of mortgages, conveeances that there is on record in regard to it, either of mortgages, conveyances,
liens, or anything else. That is, you start your examination with your
skeleton abstract and the returns which you nows a gain of \$218,073, the figthen the lawyer's duty is confined to seeing that it is an r made by the sav considering any questions of law that may arise in regard to turonvervthing
the title itself. That is the true function of the lawyer in examining a tivie and no system can dispense with it. If you adopt the block system you have still got the searches, and that is a thing we want to get rid of. As to the
illustration that I use, it might be perhaps a little experimental, and the proposition to amalgamate them all instead of separating them is very much the same as if you proposed to make a directory of the city on the plan of
the old directory of 1798 , making all the A's toge the old directory of 1798, making all the A's together instead of having it as
you now do. If you once had the system which we now propose, and you had that sort of record index for everything relating to lots in the city had that sort of record index for everything relating to lots in the city
of New York, and then proposed to alter it for the block system or anything else I don't think proposed to alter it for the block system or any-
oruld think it would bear a moment's discussion
Mr. Turner-Pardon me; I will come to that in a few minutes. I came here to-day as vice-president of the Land Transfer Association, through
whose efforts I believe the commission was appointed, and I am glad to whose efforts I believe the commission was appointed, and I am glad to
be here, and I am sure that everybody interested in this matter of reform must be exceedingly sorry to know that there is any difference of opinion in the committee as to how its works shall be carried out. I have myself any opinion myself, and I have asked them whether the system of lots was eeasible in their opinion, and I have met with no one who has
not said that they thought the system by lots wo and that if it were adopted it would lead to an organized feasible, the part of the Bar to defeat the bill or else it would amount to a practical defeat of the measure by its being shown to be impracticable in the future. There was one admission that Mr. Coggeshall made when we tried to have a
meeting the other night that instead of changing the law in regard to notice, and they seem to think it would be necessary in the lot system if adopted, that unless an instrument was indexed against a lot it would not be notice to the person owning that lot, and there were a large number of personal objections raised and the proposition of indexing by lots seemed to them to be so great and serious that I am very sure the committee, if they finally report a bill of that matter will meet with organdon't wish to be understood as speaking for the Association which I represent, although we tried to have a meeting as a committee, but we did ber of real in doing so. I aith whom I have undertelf, and for a numspeak on thestabject. I am here commission will not divide on the matter of the practical details of the business, and that the matter might not take shape to result in two bills before the Legislature, in which case we would get nothing.
$\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{Mr}}$. RIEER-What are the things that you consider impracticable
Mr. TURNER-I would suggest one, but there seems to be a good many. I to begin with the lot we will say, is vacant; but by-to 20 -foot lots and, around and he builds a row of 20 -foot houses and byd-ay a man comes map or make a new mpp for your 20 -foot lots: but this man who builds is like a good many other builders, and perhaps the surveyor has not been altogether correc. Now, 1 coms along up there and 1 doy a house that 100 feet from the corner, thence running along the street i, for instance, centre of the party wall and then back again, etc. Now, as a matter of fact, that party wall stands, we will say, 2 inches over lot No. 11, but I do not kno Mr all

Ought not every man who purchases a piece of land to make a survey
Mr.
line. We all ought to do a good many things that we do not do
Mr. Ri
as a notice. What is the trouble with the index
Mr. TURNER-If you allow me to go on I will do so. I have imagined a case in which a man conveys by deed a 20 -foot house and has drawn a description which by the law as it now stands conveys to me 20 feet and 3 party wall it is exactly 20 feet and 3 inches; and then I imagine that man taking possession of his property and entering upon it. Now that is lot No. 10 , and the 3 inches are on lot No. 11. In the direction on the deed he has been directed to index that as against lot No. 10, and nothing is said about indexing it as lot No. 11. Now, time passes on-a week or a month (it makes very little difference as to time), and the man who is the same owner makes another deed, and he begins the description of this deed say 125 fect runs it to the centre of the block anything in regard to the party wall, and to the boundaries; and that is directed to be indexed as against lot No. 11, and it is so indexed. It is immaterial for my illustration, whether there is any house on lot No. 11 or not. Now, according to the present law there would be no question that the man in possession of the house on lot No. 10 law and acted by the Legisloggeshall tells me it is New York a doed shall be no notice to anybody unless that directs it to be ndexed against a certain lot, and then only notice as to the lot itself.
Mr. Coggeshall-That is hardly a true enough statement. It was that every man should state in some part of the deed what lots or parts of lots that he claims to be affected by his description.
Mr. Turner-I understand you, but the question we ask is whether it would not be necessary to change the law of the State in such a case I mention, or else a first purchaser would have the ownership, and his being in possession should give notice to the second purchaser as to the 3 inches; and to change the law of the State in that particular
Mr. Southmapd-I have never imagined, Mr. Turner, that it was necessary or would be the result of this system to change the law of the State
respecting deeds between the parties; but still I had proposed this, that if respecting deeds between the parties; but still I had proposed this, that if we establish the local indices we should require a man who brings a paper for record to declare what particular pieces of property on the public maps
he claims his property to affect; that if he has been careless enough to leave he claims his propercy to affect; that if he has been careless enough to leave out something which ought to be put in, that the consequences snall fall on him, just the same as if ne had not recorded the deed. If there are no circumstances in the law which are equivalent to actual notices irrespective
recording the instrument, the legal effect of that would be as it is now; but I do propose that when we establish the systen of local notices we shall call on the person who brings his property for record and who claims the benefit the trouble to find out where his property furnishes that he shall take the trouble to find out wore is property is, and to inform the public officer agais. The proper when he ries is or take the consequences of his cared corretly descibed the deed correctly described the property, or describes the property sufficiently to have it recorded in the proper place. If there is ever any doubt as to whether or not it impinges on the adjoining lot; why, the man who is accepting the deed will of course give himself the benefit of the doubt, whether it the two;" but if he should not do that, I say, let him take the consequences the two;" but if he should not do that, I say, let him take the consequences.
I do not consider he has a right to render a system of indexing instruments against property inefficacious and fruitless, because he wishes to exeruise his divine right of being as careless as he pleases. I do not propose to introduce any system to invite that carelessness. No man with ordinary care would ever take a conveyance of a deed to property in the city without a reliable Mry.
more of it to get the same a block is said to have 700 or 800 feet in it, community oictity maps ?
-IIR. Southmayd - There is no doubt of this, that the fashion of making surveys when you examine title has come into vogue, and has become useful of late years, because of the uncertainties as to placing the walls to the right places, and now it is a matter of course that every careful lawyer
when he examines a title to building property to have in addition to the when he examines a title to building property to have in addition to the searchers a survey made to find out where the property exactly is. W intend to have a system so that all the liens in the book of liens shall be on a certain lot assigned to that particular lot; in the block system there is always an accumulated mass of stuff to be gone over in searching. In the instruments relating to my lot I have got to pick it out from ninety others, and we want to devise a system by which you can find everything relating to your lot in one place, and not have to be picking it out from an ever-lengthening mass of property belonging to eight or nine other people.
Mr. Turner then said that he doubted the system proposed would be a useless one.
useless one.
Mr. Southmayd-I am not a theorist. It has not been my reputation. I think if I am not a practical man I don't know who is.
Mr. Strong-I thought the block system was the ideal system, but I have Mide. Southmayd-As to these objections, Mr. Turner, of course no practi cal man pays any particular attention to a general statement. When you say that this thing which we propose is ideally the true thing but it is impracticable, my answer is show me the impracticability of 9 t . State your objections seriatim.
$M_{R}$. TURNER-I have given you one of my objections.
$\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{R}}$. SOUNER-1 have Andiven you one of mou the answer.
Mr. Turner-My idea was that the conveyance to be proper should be indexed against the adjoining lot, and under that system; a gentleman in order to avoid any uncertainties would index his deed against every lot in the neighborhood, the lot adjoining and the lot in the rear.
Mr. Southmayd-Under the system we propose you would not have to make any searchers at all; all you would have to do would be to send up and get a certiticate of the copy of the page relating to your lot, and so far it is a ordship io founded on whe idea that under the system of local notices the local index to point out to the index officer where his property is, or where it is proposed to be, if that is a grievance, then we should go on with the old system.
Mr. Olmstead-A man makes a deed to another party-to John Doe or Richard Green, or whoever it may be-and he cannot, by any statement of his own, change his ownership. The ownership is settled by the law. The idea of indexing is not to index according to the lots atall. That is not the theory; we do not index according to location or locality. Indexes are not instruments. You do not index the location, but you index the instruments; that is, you take a body of ground, it may be a lot or a block, and you put on that block what it is, and everybody will know what it is. It ndex 10 me that the block system will work. I want to say that the block index can be adopted and put in operation and will in three months entirely ange the method of doing the business, and after that is adopted we o say in this connection that I feel opposed to questions. And 1 mental law, and it would be unwise in the commission to do anything more We should now merely get the indexes made and get them in operation, and then when that is done we can get rid of the abuses that exist here in New required for indexing, while under the block system only 192 would be neces ary. There are, we will say about 160,000 lots in New York city, which would make 640 libers of 250 pages each, which duplicated would mean 1,280 volumes. On the other hand there are only 3,000 city blocks. Allowing eight pages of a liber for a block there would be ninety-six libers of 250 pages each, which duplicated would be but 192 libers, as against 1,280 .
Mr. Coggeshall-Mr. Olmstead is mistaken in his figures. It will take over 700 libers at least under any system. Besides the number of libers required is immaterial as long as you have a system whereby you can put your finger on the property wanted at a moment's notice.
Mr. Southma yd-It is quite clear in my own mind that this system which we all agree upon is the best one, and that all the apparent difficulies which surround it will, upon a critical examination, be found to disppear. I should be wholly unwilling to put myself in what I regard as ridiculous position recommending a form which arnounts to nothing.
Mr. Hinsdale then made his appearance and stated in substance that

## A Block of New Down-Town Stores.

Situated on the east side of Greenwich street, extending from Desbrosses to Watts street, are the massive and handsome warehouses that are being erected for Henry Welsh, the retired grocer, from plans by Geo. W. da Cunha. There are six stores in all, extending 175 feet on Greenwich, 75 feet on Watts and 100 feet on Desbrosses street. The latter is one of the busiest streets on the west side of the city and is undoubtedly destined to become one of our most important thoroughfares, as it is a direct outlet for the Pennsylvania Railroad's upper ferry, Greenwich, Washington, Grand and other streets, with the horse car lines and elevated railroad's enormous travel, and these facts have been kept in view in designing the buildings. Stores have been provided on the first stories on both Desbrosses and Greenwich streats, and the buildings are evidently being constructed to stand the wear and ear of any business for which they may be occupied. Ample courts have been provided in the rears, insuring good light, should they be used for manufacturing purposes. The buildings are six stories in height, with deep, dry cellars, the first story being 14 feet high, with a view to accommodating he glass trade which is now centering around this section.
The fronts on the first story are a combination of granite piers and iron columns; the stories above the first are faced with Philadelphia brick and grarite sill and band cornices, with segmental and camber terra cotta arches over all the windows.
The stone and terra cotta in these warehouses have been used judiciously enough having been introduced to lighten up the fronts without detracting from their solidity. The front on Greenwich street is divided into six distinct bays by pilasters which run from the first story piers to the under side of the sixth story; the pilasters are divided at the third story by a plain cut cap of granite; two of these pilasters act as buttress piers to the gable wall of the corner store facing on Desbrosses street. The sixth story is surmounted by a brick and stone cornice of simple design.
Amongst the features of the construction are the floors, which throughout the entire buildings are laid double, with fire-proof paper between, to prevent the spread of fire from story to story.
Large vaults are to be provided on Greenwich street, to contain two one-
hundred horse power boilers and ample room for coal, and each store is to
have one of Otis \& Co.'s steam freight elevators and engines of the newest and most approved pattern. These buildings will be completed about the first of April next.

## A Question of Brokerage.

city court-aeneral term-charles l. rorer vs. edwin m. kellogg, Hawes, J.-The question here presented is continually before the courts, and usually in the same phase which is here presented.
A real estate broker introduces a party to the owner of property, and if this party at any time purchases the property, an action is brought to recover commissions.
It would be well if it could once for all be understood in business that such proof, standing alone, constitutes no basis for a claim for commissions, The misapprehension, however, is a very natural one, and arises mainly from the anomalous character of the employment. In all other kinds of business a person who wants any work done employs a broker or agent to act for him and in his behalf, and when his work is completed, he expects to pay him either a stipulated price, or what his services were reasonably tions are well defined and relation to one another their duties and obligaand consequently the liability for compensation on the done by the other, legal right to it on the other are left in but little doubt If, however person wishes to purchase a house he employs a broker to buy it for him but the custom of the business, which has received all the sanction of law compels the owner of the property to pay the brokerage. Technically, the law applies the rule of agency to all such cases, and the courts charge the jury that they must find employment, but it is a well known fact in the court room and out of it, that all the general conditions surrounding such employment are wanting. The agent in all his dealings recognizes the pur chaser as his principal; he is in hearty sympathy with him, and feels bound to work for his interests. This conflict between interest and duty create antagonism, and involves the parties in constant litigations.
If a broker has introduced a customer and has made some little progres towards effecting an agreement between the parties, he is very naturally suspicious of the owner, who is apparently opposed to his claim, and if a trade is fnally effected through another party and his claim to commission. will almost always express their sympathy in a substantial manner by wiving him a verdict
gibger
the trade at length, in view of the fact that there seems to be no end of cases of this character. Now, the unquestioned duty of the court is to see that the broker offers substantial evidence that he has performed ale the duty which he undertakes, and if no
such proof is established, the broker is no more entitled to a verdict than if such proof is established, the broker is no more entitled to a verdict than if he had done nothing. Applying these general rules to the case at bar, it will be apparent that the
The plaintiff saw a bill to rent on the premises of defendant, and at an interview plaintiff asked him if he had any objection if another broker offered him a tenant, and that defendant said that he had no objection if it did Raffler, who offered $\$ 20,000$ for the house, which offer was declined and the Raffler, who offered $\$ 20,000$ for the house, which offer was declined and the parties separated. Clearly no contract was then made and no commissions tiff, for he could not be deemed the primary cause of the sale as he failed to tiff, for he could to be deemed the primary cause of the sale, as he failed to bring the parties to any mutual understanding. It appears that Mr. Rafmer it must be assumed, persuaded him to increase his offer to $\$ 22,500$, the asking price, and was the person who brought the minds of the parties into accord upon the price, which was the determining element of the contract, and furnished the only basis for a claim for commissions. Dale rented a por tion of the premises, Mr. Raffler taking the balance, although the lease was taken out by Mr. Dale. The plaintiff does not pretend that he saw the defendant or had any communication or any relations with him after the introduction when an offer of $\$ 20,000$ was made and refused
It seems that Raffler subsequently went to the broker, Birdsall, and authorized him to make the offer of $\$ 22,500$, in view of the negotiations with Dalaintiff that Birdsall actually carried through the arrangement. The plaintif, Rorer, was of undoubted service to the defendant, but he failed in tioned claim upon defendant on a quantum meruit, but he consents to bring himself within the rule governing this class of cases, and he certainly cannot be heard to complain, for he relies upon his claim for commissions which, by usage of the business, is often times a large compensation for the work performed, but it is deemed equitable in view of the further fact that such commissions are earned only when he carries through the sale in its entirety, and in case of failure he impliedly consents to receive nothing, and fails of his compensation altogether. It must not be left to inference to establish his right to these commissions, for he is bound, in my opinion, to show it affirmatively before a prima facie case is established.
It is claimed by the plaintiff in his brief that "that he was the original procuring cause of bringing the attention of the defendant to the tenant
first introduced," and I think that the evidence fully justifies this claim, and that it mast be conceeded, yet this is wholly insufficient to take the case to the jury
attorney for defendant and respondent.

## The Jumel Searches.

## Editor Record and Guide

Is not your learned contributor somewhat in error respecting the enor mous expense of searches connected with a title to a tract embracing 300 lots, like the Jumel estate? At the sale in 1883, we examined, for several purchasers, the title to 120 of these lots and the searches did not exceed in the aggregate, $\$ 125$. And is not the Jumel title now accepted from the date of the referee's deed, as the Dyckman title is assumed to have been in the executor's
We concur in the conclusion you have often expressed that the cost of searches ought to be substantially reduced. Legislation might provide for the record of original searches and a method of duplicates at a mere cost of engrossing them. Legislation should promptly abolish general liens. The Land Transfer Commission will probably make a report this month. Meanwhile, it does not help the cause to over-rate the difficulties now encountered
M. S. \& I. S. Isaacs.

In the new building erected corner of Houston street and Bowery it will be noticed that the structure is set back from the street so that the "L" station does not obstruct the light on the first and second floors. It is curious tha ${ }_{t}$ other property-holders who put up buildings do not do likewise. The houses in time on the line of these roads should all be set back from the street, but the force of habit is very strong and owners continue to build up to their street lines and leave the vacant spaces in the rear of their lots when they
should reverse the practice.

## The World of Business.

## The Business Situation.

It is vastly more important to a busingss man to know what is going to
happen than what has happened, and, while it is to be hoped that the lessons of the disastrous year 1884 will not be forgotten, it is now in order to inquire if we have not a prospect of better things during the year on which
we have just entered. It by no means follows because the failures in 1884 were more numerous than in any other year of our history, because many classes of goods are selling below the cost of production, and because a large
number of laborers are out of employment that the present year is to be number of laborers are out of employment that the present year is to be one
of depression. The situation is a very unnatural one and will certainly find its corrective before long. The stagnation in business has been due to a surplusage of articles of common use, which has resulted in prices so low as to
deprive producers of a motive for effort. The result is idle laborers, idle capital, and diminished purchasing power. Stocks of manufactured goods have in consequence run down, and in some cases agricultural products
have been as lavishly used as if the supply were unlimited. The consumption and export of our wheat, for instance, have been enormous, and it is only within a short time that the people he seramgur to suspect that there Saturday afternoon is a sort of thing that is likely to happen in the markets for other commodities before the year is out. When traders realize , wheat is still 10 cents below the average of the last quarter of a century, and when they see that other artibuying side is the one to be on. It is not an advantage to any class of people except bear speculators for any article to sell below a price that will give to the producer a fair profit, and it is therefore a matter for congratulation that wheat has advanced, even though the rise has been somewhat too rapid. The cheapness of food has made it possible for the laborer to live at a small The cheapness of food has made it possible for the laborer to live at a small
cost, but it has also limited the market in which he sells his work. The millions of dollars that will go to the West through the ada strong stimulus to trade. The signs of recovery elsewhere are not numer ous, but it is alleged that the iron trade has been made to appear worse than it is, either to shake out some weak operators or to impress the help with the necessity for reductions in their wages. In the textile industries there have been no very unfavorable developments recently, and here and there a mill is starting up. It is the opinion of the best judges that the curtailAnyhow the stocks in the hands of wholesalers are sma ofl and whatever supply there may be is mainly at the mills. Any resumption of operations by a mill may therefore be taken as an evidence that new goods are wanted, for after a factory is onee stopped there is no motive for resumption except
in response to actual demand. Industrial and trade reports from the South are encouraging, and that part of the country can perhaps boast more eviedly uncertain on account of the difficulty the companies have in coming to an agreement as to the output this year. Of other commodities it may at that bottom prices seem to have been touched in most cases. England and the Continent seem not to have gone so far in the process of liquidation as we have, and great distrust prevails there. Any improvement on this side market is the immense amounts of funds paid out for interest and dividends and there is great curiosity as to how much of this will be reinvested in securities. There is every indication of quite free purchases. The vast
accumulation of funds in the banks of New York and other cities has been a sort of nightmare to many people, but it is pretty safe to say that we have seen the top of that pile for the present. There will be no difficulty in disposing of those funds if a little revival of business starts up. And in addi-
tion to home requirements we are likely to be called on by Europe for some of our gold in the spring. Money is high in London, and if Australia is in earnest in its movements for the resumption of gold payments we shall have to contribute to its supply of the metal. The largest profits in selling stocks on account of the busimess depression have evidently been reaped.
The prices of some of them may go lower, but rather because of the intrinsic weakness of the stock than from any general business trouble. The bears will, of course, not have on their side this year such an array of failures,
defalcations and shrinkages in the prices of goods as they had in 1884 . Just at defalcations and shrinkages in the prices of goods as they had in 1884. Just at present the weak spots are the uncertainty as to railroad rates all over the
country the demoralization of the coal trade, and the rivalry of the tele-

## The Telephone Extortion.

The Bell Telephone Company is getting rich so fast that it is ashamed of its own hoggishness, and it has asked the State of Massachusetts to legalize its act in watering its stock up to double the already inflated capital, mak-
ing 20 millions. It now pays 15 per cent. on its nominal capital. If the ing 20 millions. It now pays 15 per cent. on its nominal capital. If the
stock is doubled it will still keep up its rates so as to pay big on the whole. The charges of this company are absurdly excessive, and this is only a step away from the possibility of any relief. It is to be hoped that some inven-
tor will soon produce an improvement in telephones; that he will be able and willing to refuse to sell it to the Bell Company; that it will be put in operation by men who will be willing and able to compete to bring down
the extortionate charges for telephone service.-Merchant's Review.

## The Business Revival.

Having listened about as long as its nerves would permit to the croakers who have gone about crying that everything in the business world was going to lack of confidence, THE GLOBE some weeks since determined to make a very thorough examination and learn the exact truth in connection with the matter for the benefit of its readers and the public generally, but more par-
ticularly for the business portion thereof. That examination has now been completed and the result is decidedly satisfactory, as a perusal of our special business edition of this day will demonstrate. First of all, the lowest point of President depression, which began immediately after the pany after company of doubtful financial stability has succumbed, until concerns have been mercilessly rooted out and sent to the wall. Few, indeed wholesome institutions remain, and solid ground having fully been reached, all are ready for a good, legitimate, profitable advance. The very failures of the year just closed go to prove that we have been getting down to bedto precedent point once reached, the favorable failures in 1884, with liabilities of $\$ 240,000,000$. In 1878 , the closing year of the last depression, there was 1,500 failures, with $834,000,000$ of liabilities. With all the weak
concerns weeded out by the terrible strain of 1878 , times improved in 1879 , and there were in that year only 6,652 failures, with liabilities of $\$ 48,906,000$. And The Globe does not hesitate to state its belief, founded on careful exis to be, like 1879, one of marked prosperity. Many features of the past year point to the coming improvement. Here in Boston the number of new buildings erected has been atmost unprecedented. They number 1,438 , and
add no less than $\$ 7,50,000$ to the valuation of the city. The amount of money paid out in dividends in Boston has likewise been very large, far exncome in 1854 of $\$ 29,777,453$, against, $\$ 29,967,970$ in 1883 , a falling off of
only $\$ 190,517$. The net income, however, shows a gain of $\$ 218,073$, the figures being $\$ 7,340,387$ in 1883 , against $\$ 7,558,460$ in 1884 . Put pe waps the most striking feature of the year just closed is the showing made by the savdismal, the people have deposited $\$ 10,100,000$ more than in 1883 , and 19,998 new accounts have been opened. If a recent straw were needed, a very
significant one might be found in the decided improvement in breadstuffs this present week, The signs are propitious. The new year will be one of
gratifying prosperity.-Boston Globe.

## The Denver Convention on Silver Money.

There will be a State and national convention of the friends of silver money held at Denver, Coi., on January 25. A letter from D. B. Harris, chairman of the executive committee promoting this movement, gives some with the observation that the stock-raising States find a market for a large share of their surplus cattle in the silver-producing regions, and the same sort of remark may be made as to the western grain-raising States and their governors of several Western and Southwestern States, asking them to the conventions for the purpose of sending delegates to Denver, so that the convention may be highly representative and influential. The object of the convention is to influence President Cleveland and Congress to establish the believe, relieving the country of monetary difficulties which result from the present irrational embargo upon the minting of the precious metal as it comes from the mines. It is hoped that several of the governors will see their way to render a public service by calling such conventions. The object is a legitimate one and Congress cannot too early and distinctly know the mind of the people. The national bank circulation is bound to come is not desirable or unless Congress perpetuates the national debt, which securing the bank circulation. There is not enough gold, as conditions and relations of business are now defined, to serve as money. There is a good
deal of silver and the use of silver certificates is a practical means of obviating the inconvenience resulting from the weight of the metal. The News will simply remark that the practical objection to silver coinage comes from the creditor class, and to avoid a formidable antagonism. Congress acted inconsistently with Democratic principles in the manner in which it remonetized silver. It should have established unlimited coinage,
and if the lawmakers saw that a decided change had come over the value of and if the lawmakers saw that a decided change had come over the value of
s.lver, so that they could not expect the "daddy dollar" to circulate equally s.lver, so that they could not expect the "daddy dollar" to circulate equally
with gold, the remonetization should have been accompan ed with sueh an witj gold, the remonetization should have been accompan ed with sueh an
adjustment as would have given debtors and creditors as nearly as possible a fair start. The objection to the gold standard is not that it is not nice in theory, but that it is oppressive in practice on account of the scarcity of that metal, while prohibition of natural and substantially secured currency
expedients is the greatest of all such oppressions, even surpassing the mis-

Are We to Have Still Lower Prices.
The marked shrinkage in values in 1884, following, as it did, a decline of much longer duration, brings out in strong relief for 1856 the question of
whether prices will still continue downward. The question is one of whether prices will still continue downward. The question is one of grave
concern, and being so, opinion is widely and uncompromisingly divided. Those who suffered most the past year look upon a lower level for prices especially for products in which they are interested, as impossible of attainment, while others who have fared better or are natural born pessimists think the end is not yet. Between these two opinions there is no halting
ground; prices have either touched "rock bottom" or they have not. cround; prices have either touched "rock bottom" or they have not.
Considering the importance of the question it is to be regretted that intelligent study and research can bring us li.tle nearer to a safe conclusion than can the most superficial knowledge. Like in many other things the look anxiously for the light, but it does not appear. Investigation, instead of clearing the future, befogs it with uncertainty. We realize that conditions have changed in almost every branch of industry, and that no upon examples or precedent The country under conditions dissimil based those which now phtain has seen high prices and low prisin to this solitary fact must we haug our hopes and fears. At best, comparisons show us that present prices are nominally very low, best, comparimay insist that they are actually very low, also; but, unfortunately for these comparisons, their force is greatly weakened by the one question, "How much has production been cheapened in the time considered?" But if by comparison of prices we cannot arrive at conclusions wholly from. It is from study, based on comparisons, that observing business men form their opinions as to the probabilities of the future. Conand if not always inviting as to results, are certainly interesting in a general way. And a number of very complete comparitie movement of prices in detail in recent years. Those made in last week's Bradstreet's we shall refer to at some length. The time treated of by and highest and lowest prices for the past yelusive, with quarterly made plain by these cotnparisons. Firstly, that all leading food products, with the exception of meats aud dairy products, have
touched a lower level during 1884 than during the depression existing in 1878 and 1879 -wheat 22 per cent, flour 25 per cent., sugar 25. Butter and Indian corn, on the other hand, have been sustained in price, the lowest price of the latter in 1884 being still 10 per cent. above the lowest figures noted in the previous period of depression. Tobacco shows a steady cents per pound above the low prices in 1878. Second that among the textile staples the lowest prices of cotton and wool in 1881 have each been 11 per cent. below the lower range prior to the period of inflation two years
ago. Print cloths have been over 20 per cent. lower in 1884 than in 1878 , and flannels are quoted quite low. Thirdly, that all the metals have ranged as low, and some have been materially lower during the past year
when compared with the levels 1f 1878 and 1879. Pig iron, as shown by when compared with the levels if 1878 and 1879. Pig iron, as shown by
trade is (lowest for 1884) 8 per cent, above the bottom price in 1878 , but is nearly as low as it was during the greater portion of the two years specified. Bar iron (refined) is 5 per cent. higher than it was for a short time in January,
1889 , but is quite as low, even lower, than was the range 18i9, but is quite as low, even lower, than was the range over the two years
referred to. Nails are, or have been, 12 per cent. below; steel rails, 40 per cent.; copper, 33 per cent.; lead, 22 per cent., and tin plates, 13 per cent.
below the most depressed quotations for those articles six and seven years
ago.-Age of Steel.

## Hold Fast to the Bland Act.

Not a few of the newspapers of the State which are now advocating the necessity of continuing the coinage of silver with commendable earnestness
and vigor, are demanding that Congress shall pass an act making coinage free and unlimited. This undoubtedly is the very perfection of the aspirations of a silver-producing State, and this journal would be among the first to congratulate the miners of Colorado upon the return of the good times when one could depos This the present coinaze act the miners of Conolo are deprived annually of many thousands of dollars that would go into their pockets instead of into the Treasury of the General Government if a free
coinage law were in force. There is no disputing this, and it is to be deplored. But, when the agitation in Congress concerning the coinage of silver has resolved itself into the bare question of whether the present coinage act by which the Government is compelled to coin at least $2,000,000$ age act by which dollars every month shall be maintained, or silver demonetized, as it practically would be under an act providing for the suspension of the coinage, it strikes us that the districts interested in having siver continge a fight money value will have anl they can conveniently ate privileges and rights which they now posses, without wasting to hold the privileges and rights which in the vain attempt to wrest a free their energies or scattering endly Congress. It would be foolhardy for any advocate of silver to introduce into Congress at this time a bill providing for advocate of silver to intr almost certainly invite the opposition of men who, thee coinage. not so ardently the friends of silver as they might be, are yet in clined to maintain the Bland act now in effect. It will be wise for the States and Territories which produce silver and whose prosperity would be crippled by the passage of either Buckner's or McPherson's bill, to concentrate next winter if not this spring, on the Bland bill. If the West should say to Congress that it demands a tree coinage bill, even those Eastern Congressmen who are favorable to the continuance of the present act would be apt to say that, in view of the opposition of the East to a coinage act of any kind, the silver districts were asking too much, and the logical effect would be to solidify the entire East in opposition to what it might term a sectional measure. It is true that such a bill would be no more sectional than the high tariff is, inasmuch as the tariff gives much more direct benefit to some sections than to others; and we believe it to be a fact that a free coinage act would be of as much indirect good to the East as the protective tariff is to the West, which would be considerable. The time is fast coming when the West and the South can demand of fthe bondholding East such privileges as will balance the almost special privileges which the East enjoys, and of which the high tariff may be termed as one. The sentiment of the West is largely in favor of the tariff because it now realizes that its benefits are distributed over the entire country; but the East will never forget how bitterly the West opposed the measure before time proved that it was a just one is no reason why the East should not be made to realize that a coinage act, while it benefits and enriches the West just as a protective tariff does the East, also indirectly adds to the prosperity of the East, just as the high tariff does to the welfare of the West. We sincerely believe that the East is more benefited by the coinage of silver than the West by a protective tariff, and for this reason: The great masses of our population live east of the Missour river. The working classes are always more prosperous when money is cheap, and the more the volume of currency is inflated the cheaper money becomes. Thus, while the silver States are directly benefitted by silver coinage, because it makes work for the men who are employed in their mines, the masses of the wast are at the same time made corosperous, because the silver which is the greatest. There is rency which is needed most where the population is the greatest. Trere no doubt that the peoppe ore the then antagonize silver tives in Congress are the the the we can maind have go far held, we believe it will not be long before the Bland act, and have so far held, wo the mone lasses which the real sentiment or silver and to the time to advocate fres for just at this time we must fight hardios to this one end.-Denver Tribune, Kepub.

## Foreign Finance.

The changes noted this week by the leading national fbanks of Europe are, on the whole, unfavorable. The Bank of England shows but the slight increase of $\$ 136,360$ in the specie line, while the proportion of the reserve has fallen to $331 / 8$ per cent., a decline of one-fourth of a point for the week, and of eight one-sixteenth points during the last four weeks. The increas of specie came entirely on the foreign side of the counter. The bank gained $\$ 230,000$ gold from abroad, and parted with $\$ 93,640$ gold in its domestic business. The rate of discount rests at 5 per cent. The Bank of France notes for the week a loss of $\$ 628,800$ gold and $\$ 539,200$ silver, a reduction of $\$ 1,168,000$ in its specie line. The Imperial Bank of Germany reports the losb of $\$ 5,046,000$ specie since its previous statement. The three banks show for the week a decrease of $\$ 1,754,440$ gold and $\$ 4,323,200$ silver, a reduction of $\$ 6,077,640$ in their specie lines. We present below a comparison between between the specie held at, present by the three national banks and the amounts reported respectively at the corresponding date last year:

| Bank of England: | Jan. 8, 1885. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gold............... | \$103,613,850 |
| Bank of France: |  |
| Gold. | \$199,655,004 <br> $205,138,575$ |
| Specie | \$404,793,579 |
| Imperial Bank of |  |
| Gold (estimated). | \$32,364,000 |
| Silver (estimated) | 97,091,000 |
| Specie. | \$129,455,000 |
| The three banks: |  |
| Gold. | \$335,632,854 |
| Silver | 322,229,575 |
| Specie... | \$637,862,429 |

Jan. 10, 1884.

| $\$ 189,418,364$ |
| :---: |
| $198,262,160$ |

$\$ 387,680,524$
$\$ 34,911,000$
$104,734,000$
\$139,645,000
$\$ 331,741,269$
$302,996,160$
\$634,737,429
The Bank of France stands alone in showing an improvement. It has gained during the twelvemonth $\$ 17,113,055$ specie. Of this increase $\$ 10,236,-$ 640 is in gold and $\$ 6,876,145$ in silver. The rate of discount has remained at 3 per cent. during the entire twelvemonth. The Bank of England exhibits a decrease of $\$ 3,798,055$ in the specie line. The proportion of the reserve also marks a deterioration, having fallen off from $361 / 2$ per cent. last year to $331 / \mathrm{sper}$ cent. at present, a loss of three and three eighth points. The discount rate ruled at 3 per cent. a twelvemonth back against 5 per cent. at present. The Imperial Bank of Germany shows a decrease of $\$ 10,190,000$ specie for the twelvemonth. Its rate of discount was 4 per cent. one year ago, as at present. The three banks, reckoned together, have gained for the twelvemonth $\$ 3,891,585$ gold and lost $\$ 766,585$ silver, a net addition of
$\$ 3,125,000$ to their joint specie line. The excess of gold over silver has risen $\$ 8,125,000$ to their joint specie line. The excess of gold over silver has risen Herald.

## California Quite Prosperous.

We continue to hear of improvements going on in all parts of the State; an excellent indication of popular confldence in its future. This is shown by the following reports form the interior: Tehama wants more houses to accommodate its influx of immigrants; Santa Rosa has ordered a 600-pound bell for its new town clock; San Diego is to be lighted by electricity; a new Catholic church is ready for erection in Redding; work has commenced on the new iron bridge at Humboldt; Calico, San Bernardino county, is having quite a business boom; the Fresno vine growers want a bonded warehouse; San Joaquin county has cash in the treasury amounting to $\$ 120,574$, and the people desire to invest it in a new court house and a freight canal. All the drift mines in Butte county are reported as doing well. A street railway 000 . The party which has been surveying the Los Angeles and Hueneme

Railroad has completed its work. When the estimates of cost are completed it is expected that the work will be at once commenced. The road will be about sixty miles long, with one tunnel of 2,000 feet and few bridges.-San Francisco Grocer.

## Capital Going South.

Much Northern capital has sought investment in the South, but much more may be expected. It is manifest destiny. The Baltimore Manufacturers' Record, referring to the statement that Gen. Samuel Thomas, president of the Thomas rou Company, of Philadelphia, has decided to put $81,000,000$ in furnaces in Alabama, says: "Gen. Thomas, who already owns
20,000 acres of coal and iron lands in Alabama, has for some time past been 0,0is acres of coal and ron lands in Alabarnace on this property and has considering the advisability or erecting a furnace on this property, and ha just decided to do so. The addition of this large amount of money to the capital already invested in the iron industry in Alabama is a matter of great importance, not only to the State, but to the whole south itself; but the subject has a much greater importance in another way. The fact that Gen ing his mouis in iron lands in the North is worthy of note. Gen. Thomas being already largely interested in blast furnaces in Pennsylvania, and his cennection with the Lich V alley furnaces make it a noteworthy event for such aman to turn from the mines of that State to the great possibilities of the South. The fact that Gen. Thomas, largely interested as he is in North ern iron, should turn his money into the rival fields of the South, while not proving that he has lost entire faith in the ability of well-located Northern proving that he has lost entire faith in the ability of well-located Northern iron manufacturer, after due deliberation, has come to the conclusion that the advantages possessed by the South for making cheap iron are unsur passed. His willingness to show his faith in the South as an iron-producing passed. His wilingness to show his faith in the South as an iron-producing belief in the future possibilities of the iron lands of Alabama.-Nashville American.

The Brooklyn elevated road is helping real estate along its route. Mr. J C. Hoagland says he is just considering the sale of a front on Greene and Sumner avenues to a capitalist who wishes to build a block of good houses as there is to be a station on the corner. There is activity near all proposed stations and the valuation of realty will be largely increased next year because of the opening of this elevated line. As soon as it becomes a great public benefit the press will abuse it and the courts and officials will harass it, judging by the experience of the Manhattan company on this side of the East River.

The Committee on Legislation of the Real Estate Exchange held an interesting meeting yesterday. The Committee on Land Transfer Reform made a report of what was said at the meeting of the State Commis sion last Saturday, which will be found fully reported in our columns to-day. The committee were instructed to take the whole subject of the evils of the present land system under consideration with a view to proposing bills for legislative action and correcting glaring evils. The object being to co-operate with rather than antagonize the commission, of which Mr. Southmayd is chairman. Col. William C. Church, from the Committee on City Improvements made a report on the Pelham Bay Park question, recommending that for the present the Exchange should take no definite action. This recommendation was endorsed and nothing will be done until the matter is further considered. John H. Sherwood sent in a vigorous protest against the Pelham Bay Park project. Other matters of importance were acted upon and the committee was very fully attended.

## Real Estate Department.

It would be premature to make any assertion respecting real estate at so early a date as this in the season of 1885. Down-town dealers report a duller future since the Cisco failure in Wall street, but why it should affect real estate is hard to understand. Up-town brokers speak more encouragingly than do dealers whose business lies in the lower part of the city. There is, it seems, some inquiry about property in the up-town wards. There is specially a demand for small houses. Mr. Richard V. Harnett recently remarked that 12 -foot 6-inch houses are always in demand in New York city; they are never empty; they rent readily and are never for sale. This is because they are necessarily cheap, and the class who want such small houses is always very large in a metropolis like New York There is at present very little demand for costly houses, either for sale or to rent. Then the high valuations put by the assessors upon First, Second and Third Ward property seems to have frightened many would-be investors.
D. Birdsall \& Co. state that renting in the drygoods district; has not been as satisfactory this year as in provious years. There has been a reduction all round. Expired leases have been renewed at an average reduction of 10 per cent., in some instances more, and in a few cases 20 per cent. Very few renewals have taken place at last year's rentals, the figures being maintained only for very desirable stores, for which in some few instances a slight advance has taken place. People seem to be searching for smaller quarters and are desirous of curtailing their space so as to escape a large rental. Broadway has in this respect suffered more than the side streets. You cannot divide a wareroom, 50x100, into two or three rooms, as you cannot then get sufficient light. There is a decided disposition to get into buildings with elevators. The cause for the reduction in rentals is that the past has been a bad year for drygoods merchants and they are anxious to economize as much as possible.
Leon Tanenbaum says that reats have decreased in the drygoods district very appreciably this year. Tenants are trying to better themselves for less money. Reductions have taken place from 5 to 33 per cent. fróm last year's rentals; of course the latter in only a few instances. Still, I think it would be within the truth to say that the reductions have averaged between 10 and 20 per cent. Broadway lofts have come down largely and the owners have accommodated themselves to the times, recognizing that as they received higher rentals during prosperous years they must be content with lower rentals during unprosperous ones. There will be a good deal of moving this year. There is a general anticipation of better times in the drygoods district during the present year, and in that event we shall see an
advance in rents in 1886.

There are more new plans in the architects offices than for the past six or seven months, and there is every presage of an active building season during the forthcoming spring.


Jan. 16 to $\frac{1885}{22, \text { ine. }}$
\$2,794,231
67
25
$\$ 36,230$
\$1,912,045
\$923,972
\$125,000
\$288,300
On January 29, John F. B. Smyth will sell the fine house and lot No. 305 West Fourteenth street, and on January 30 the same auctioneer will sell the brown stone dwelling No. 229 East Forty-eighth street.

Riker \& Son, of 998 Sixth avenue, offer a very choice lot for sale on Fifty-seventh street, between Sixth and Seventh avenues. It is an exceedingly desirable position for a first-class house and will not remain long on the market.

## Gossip of the Week.

The New York Cotton exchange has sold the old exchange building, fronting on Hanover square 75.5 feet, on Pearl street 64.1 feet, and on Stone street 78.2 feet, to Moss S. Phillips for $\$ 165,000$; brokers, L. J. \& I. Phillips. The Cotton Exchange bought the building from the Maitland estate in May, 1871, paying therefor $\$ 115,000$, and subsequently expended about $\$ 75,000$ in remodeling and furnishing the building.
Riker \& Son have sold for Jardine Bros, the new four-story stone fron $_{t}$ dwelling No. 159 West Fifty-seventh street between Sixth and Seventh avenues. $20 \times 60 \times 100.5$ to Lyman Brown for $\$ 52,500$.
Wise $\varepsilon_{\dot{c}}$ Rosenblatt have sold for E. H. Pirsson the three four-story double brick tenements Nos. 361, 363 and 365 East Seventy-sixth street, each $25 \times 75 \times 102.2$, to Henry J. Davison, for $\$ 45,000$.
Richards \& Sause have leased for a term of years the store Nos. 158 and 160 Fifth avenue, to J. R. Franklin, for Herter Bros.
George R. Read has sold the three-story high stoop brick house No. 346 West Twenty-second street, $20.10 \times 45 \times 98.9$, for $\$ 13,500$, to J. Drake.
L. Froehlich has sold for Charles Riley the two four-story brown stone flats Nos. 1,431 and 1,433 Lexington avenue each 20x65x85 for $\$ 18,000$ each.

Four five-story brown stone front stores and tenements on the east side of Third avenue between Eighty-sixth and Eighty-seventh streets, have it is reported, been sold for $\$ 80,000$.

Six lots on the north side of One Hundred and Twenty-second street commencing 155 feet east of Third avenue with mansion, have been sold by the Reformed Dutch Church of Harlem for $\$ 30,000$.
J. O. Higgins has sold for Anthony McReynolds, the three-story brown stone front house No. 239 West One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, 16 x 50 x 99.11 to Wm. T. Woodruff for $\$ 11,500$, and a similar dwelling No. 253 West One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, 15x50x99.11 for $\$ 11,000$.
Philip Hauseman has sold a five-story double flat, and a five-story tenement on the south side of Forty-seventh street, between Ninth and Tenth avenues, for $\$ 58,250$.
James Netter has sold the two five-story brown stone flats Nos, 116 and 118 West Sixty-seventh street, $25 \times 75 \times 100$, to L. R. Kinney for $\$ 46,000$.
Geo. Muller has purchased twenty-six lots on the southeast corner of Second avenue and Eighty-fourth street.
John C. Raymond has sold for Andrew Thompson two lots on the north side of Ninety-third street, commencing 255 feet east of Fourth avenue, to Charles Redman for $\$ 20,000$, and the latter has taken in exchange from the former the four-story brick flat, No. 1631 Park avenue, $28 \times 80 \times 96$, for $\$ 21,500$.
William Taylor has sold the Lexington flats on the northwest corner of Third avenue and Forty-ninth street, to Wolf Scherick, for $\$ 148,000$.
George W. Pell has sold the two-story frame building No. 369 Third avenue, $24.2 \times 85$, for $\$ 19,000$, to Peter Miller, Jr.
V. K. Stevenson \& Co. have sold the three four-story brick stores and dwellings Nos. 65, 67 and 69 Fourth avenue, 50 x about 70 , opposite Stewart's, between Ninth and Tenth streets, for the estate of the late Anthony Arent, for $\$ 41,000$. The property is said to rent for $\$ 4,200$ per annum.
F. T. Walton, better known as the "Plunger," and formerly proprietor of the St. James, has purchased the lease of the Grand Central Hotel, on Broadway, opposite Bond street, and proposes to alter and refurnish the structure to some extent when he enters into possession.
M. B. Baer \& Co. have sold for O. C. Ferris the four-story brown stone flats, Nos. 252 and 254 West One Hundred and Twenty-fourth street, for $\$ 38,500$; the three-story frame houses and stores, Nos. 112 and 114 Lawrence street, for Richard Kohoe, for $\$ 24,000$; the two-story brick stable, No. 229 West Thirty-sixth street, for Mr. Sully, for $\$ 11,750$, and the threestory stone front dwelling, No. 1034 Lexington àvenue, 17x45x100, for Henry Knickerbacker, for $\$ 15,000$.

## Brooklyn.

Paul C. Grening has sold the two-story brown stone dwelling, No. 396 Madison street, $20 \times 42 \times 100$, to A. C. Tate, for $\$ 6,850$.
W. F. Corwith has sold the house and lot No. 217 Manhattan avenue, to William Heinrichs, for $\$ 5,000$.
projected buildings.
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## Out Among the Builders.

The New York Stock Exchange is having competitive plans drawn for alterations to their building to include more room, light and ventilation. Architects Post, Mook, Lindsey, Thomas and Gilbert \& Thompson are the competitors.
McKim, Mead and White are the architects for the palatial residence to be erected for Mrs. Mark Hopkins, at Great Barrington, Mass. Eastern and Western papers have estimated the cost at $\$ 5,000,000$, and the New York press has placed it at $\$ 1,000,000$. We are enabled to state on the best authority that the actual cost will be about $\$ 500,000$. The structure will have a frontage of some 200 feet'and a depth of 140 feet. The stone used in its construction will be taken from a Massachusetts quarry owned by the above lady, who will use the house as a summer residence.
Ferdinand Fish has the plans on the boards for alterations and additions to the Germania Fire Insurance Company's buildings at Nos. 177 and 179 Broadway. These will be connected, and the whole turned into a first-class office structure, with an elevator and other improvements, at an estimated cost of $\$ 25,000$.
John Brandt has the plans under way for three five-story brick and brown stone tenements and stores, $26 \times 62$ each, to be built on the east side of Avenue A, commencing 75 feet north of Eighty-fourth street, for Frank White, to cost $\$ 45,000$, and a four-story brick and stone factory, 54 feet front, 85 feet rear and 100 deep, to be built on the northside of Sixty-fourth street, 175 feet west of Eleventh avenue, for Henry Raabe, to cost $\$ 18,000$.
Peter Miller, Jr., will shortly commence the erection of a six-story brick, stone and terra cotta factory, $25 \times 85$, at No. 369 Third avenue.
Ernest W. Greis has the plans under way for a five-story brick and freestone double tenement, $25 x 85$, to be built at No. 28 Columbia street for B. Rosenstock at a cost of $\$ 15,000$. He also has the sketches for a three-story brick and frame store and dwelling, 22x100, to be built at Jamaica, L. I., for Louis Miller.
Martin Diskin will erect two five-story brick flats at Nos. 320 and 322 Cherry street.
A. B. Ogden has the designs for a five-story brick and brown stone tenement, 25x83, to be built on the south side of Seventy-sixth street, 150 feet west of Avenue A, to cost $\$ 16,000$. The two tenements for Marks Rinaldo mentioned last week are to be erected on the south side of Delancey street, 25 feet west of Sheriff street, and will cost $\$ 24,000$.
F. Carles Merry has the plans for a frame cottage, 66 x 76 , to be erected at Newburg, N. Y., for F. C. West, at a cost of $\$ 20,000$, and a similar cottage, $45 \times 65$, to be built at Budds Lake, N. J., to cost $\$ 10,000$, for F. H. Man. Rossiter \& Wright have plans for a cottage to be built for Col. Barber, at Washington, Conn., to cost $\$ 10,000$, and another at the same place for David Orr, to cost $\$ 8,000$.
E. E. Raht has the plans for a five-story brick factory, $70.6 \times 75$, to be built on the north side of Twenty-second street, between Avenue A and First avenue, for Carl H. Schultz, to cost about $\$ 25,000$. The building will be occupied by F. Rhoner \& Co., furniture makers, on May 1.
John C. Burne has the plans under way for seven three-story and basement dwelling houses, to be erected on the southwest corner of Lexington avenue and Eighty-ninth street, for ex-Judge McQuade; five three-story and basement brown stone houses, to be built on the north side of One Hundred and Twenty-second street, commencing 175 feet west of Sixth avenue, for F. Aldhous, and a five-story tenement and store, 25x66, to be built on the southeast corner of Eleventh avenue and Fifty-firststreet, for Alderman Quinn.
Jacques Bach, the piano maker, proposes to build two five-story tenements at Nos. 231 and 233 East Twenty-sixth street.
Theo. Schumacher, of Schumacher \& Ettlinger, intends to erect thirteen three-story and basement brown stone private dwellings on the southeast corner of Avenue A and Fifty-eighth street; size, 16.8x50 each, to cost about $\$ 110,000$. We understand that Hugo Kafka \& Co. are the architects.
R. Rosenstock has the plans on the boards for a first-class private residence, 48x66, to be erected for John Fink on One Hundred and Fiftieth street and St. Nicholas place. The first story will be of Wyoming blue stone, the second of brick and the roof of terra cotta tiles. The interior will be in hardwood finish throughout, and the house will contain steam heat, electrical apparatus and other improvements. The architecture will be in the early English style. A two-story brick and stone stable will be attached. The total cost is estimated at between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 60,000$. The above architect also has plans for two fivestory brick and brown stone tenements, 25 and $15 \times 85$, to be built at Nos. 342 and 344 East Sixty-first street, for J. O'Sullivan at a cost of about $\$ 30,000$.
Anthony Pfund has the sketches under way for an eight-story malt house, to be erected on the south side of Charles street, commencing 80 feet west of Washington street. It will be 60x73, and of brick, iron and stone. The owners are Beadleston \& Woerz, the well-known brewers. Adjoining this improvement will be erected an eight-story brick and iron extension to their present brewery, which will connect with the new structure. The dimension will be $17.10 \times 27 \times 60.8$. The cost has not yet been estimated.

## Brooklyn.

J. C. Hoagland of the Royal Baking Powder Company, intends to improve the lots purchased by him on the southeast corner of Throop and Lexington avenues, by the erection of six brick dwellings; the corner will contain a store, and be three stories high while those adjoining will be two-story private dwellings. Mr. Hoagland will also improve the lots on the north side of Lexington avenue between Throop and Sumner avenues, by the erection of twenty two-story front, and three story rear brick dwellings, each $16.8 \times 40$, with brown stone stoops; and will also erect twenty similar dwellings on the south side of the same street, between Throop and Sumner avenues; the houses will be built 20 feet back from the street.
E. F. Gaylor is preparing plans for a five-story brick extension, 18x36, and a one-story brick addition, $37.6 \times 42$, to the southeast corner of Grand
and First streets; owner, D. Allers.

Th. Engelhardt has plans under way for a four-story frame double ten ement, $27 \times 60$, to be erected at No. 426 Graham avenue, for Mr. Weingard, the cost will be about $\$ 6,000$; three three-story frame flats, each $18.5 \times 55$, to be erected on the south side of Cedar street, 137.9 east of Evergreen avenue, for C. \& G. Spoerl; cost, about, $\$ 3,500$ each; and two three-story frame stores and tenements, $25 \times 55$ each, on the southeast corner of Central avenue and Melrose street, for Leonard Eppig, at a cost of \$4,500 each.
Robert Dixon is about to prepare plans for a two-story' and attic frame dwelling, 20x40, to be erected at Sheepshead Bay, for the pastor of the Sheepshead Bay Methodist Church, the cost of which will be about $\$ 2,000$.
H. Vollweiler has the plans for three two-story frame dwellings with brick basement, each $18.6 \times 35$, to be erected on the north side of Ivy street, 150 west of Central avenue, for Franklin Phillips; the cost will be about $\$ 3.000$ each. Three three-story frame double stores and tenements, $20 \times 57.6$ each, to be erected on the north side of Broadway near Linden street, for Mr. Gehring, to cost $\$ 4.600$ each, and a three-story frame with brick store and dwelling, $22 \times 38.6$, on the north side of Wall street, 125 feet west of Bush wick avenue, for B. Wolf; the cost will be about $\$ 3,900$.
Amzi Hill has the sketches for a two-story brick building with stores, to be erected on the north-west corner of Fulton street and Bedford avenue; it will have a frontage of 80 feet on Fulton street, x 118 feet on Bedford avenue; the second floor will be used as an assembly room for balls, meetings \&c., and will contain a dressing and hat room; the owner is Mr. A. Scott of the Ridgewood Ice Company; the cost will probably be about $\$ 18,000$. Also a two-story frame dwelling, 22x38, with one story extension $22 \times 12$, to be erected on the north side of Herkimer street, 250 east of Ralph Avenue, for Philip Post, to cost about $\$ 3,000$.
It is rumored that Mr. Wray S. Littlefield will improve the lots just purchased by him on the northeast corner of Nostrand avenue and Quincy street, but no definite plans have yet been arranged.

## Notes and Items.

Notice is given that the petitions of the property-owners, with maps and plans, for changing the grades of Fifty-fifth street, from Avenue A to Eastriver, Sixty-fifth street, from First avenue to Avenue A and Tenth avenue, from One Hundred and Thiriy-sixth to One Hundred and Thirtyninth streets, are now pending before the Common Council. All persons interested in the above change of grades and having objections thereto, are requested to present the same, in writing, to the Commissioner of Public Works on or before the 31st day of January, 1885. The maps showing the present and proposed grades can be seen at Room 7, No. 31 Chambers street.
The Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment in the matters relative
to the opening of One Hundred and Sixtieth street, between Kingsbridge road and Eleventh avenue, and One Hundred and Sixty-first street, between Tenth and Eleventh avenues, have completed the estimates and assessments, objections, if any, must be in writing and presented before March 2, 1885, at the commissioners office, 73 William street.

## Contractors Notes.

The offices in the County Court House set apart for the justices of the Supreme Court are to be altered, painted, fitted up and furnished at a cost of $\$ 15,000$. The work will be done under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works, subject to the approval of the judges.
Estimates for heating and steam fitting apparatus at administration, kitchen and boiler house buildings, on North Brother Island, will be received at the office of the Health Department, 301 Mott street, until February 3, 1885.

## Special Notices.

A new patent for sliding doors has just been placed on the market by which all the inconveniences of the old style of rail are overcome. This consists of a track, which is level with the floor, thus removing the obstruction to walking, dancing, moving furniture, \&c. The door cannot get pff the track, and runs smoothly and noiselessly. The cost is not more than the rails now in use and it can be adjusted to old houses. The agent is Geo. F. Taylor, No. 134 Water street, New York, from whom price lists can be obtained.
The Berea (Ohio) stone in the Presbyterian chapel on the northeast corner of One Hundred and Twenty eighth street and Seventh avenue, looks exceedingly well. It is to be used in the church to be built adjoining on the avenue. It will be remembered that the architect of this church was J. R. Thomas, and the stonecutters Gill \& Baird.

Attention is called to the card of Harry Muldoon, mason and builder, who has erected a large number of houses during the past few years. Amongst those recently built by him may be mentioned the eight buildings on Eighty-eighth street and Third avenue for Mr. Gray, several on Eightyeighth street for J. J. Macdonald, and several on One Hundred and Second street and Fourth avenue. His address is at No. 367 East Seventy-sixth street.

The West Broadway Wire Works manufacture all kinds of wire-railing for banks, offices, cemeteries and buildings of every description. They supply wire enclosures for elevators, wire window-screens, and brass and iron wire-cloth, which they make to order. Architects and builders should address them at their works No. 122 West Broadway, New York, opposite White street; Achille Bataille, proprietor.

## BUILDING MATERIAL MARKET.

BRICKS. - The market for Common Hards has not varied to an important extent since our last. If there be any change at all, however, it is in favor of the seller and latterly the feeling seems to have become a trifle firmer. This is due less to a growth of demand
than to a falling off in the amount of stock available: than to a falling off in the amount of stock available;
the shipmeents from the Hudson River base of supplies running very light, the New Jersey yards in many cases becoming cut off and buyers finding it necessary to depend upon such straggling cargoes as could push
through from the Sound. As a result the offering genthrough from the Sound. As a result the offering generally hound some one werators of late talking as high
atively prompt with operstran
as $\$ 6.00$ ber best Haverstraws, and $\$ 6.0$ for Is $\$ 6 . N$. When deliveries are made from yard, dealers Trands.
want margin though looking for no more than
nisual at this season of the year. The cold snap has usual at this season of the year. The cold snap has
had some influence as a check upon consumption, but this is not likely to be as lasting as the effect upon sup-
plies and the feeling now is that North River brick will plies and the feeling now is that North River brick will though in view of stocks here and the desire of holders demand to greatly stimulate values. Pale bricks are not very active, but fine quality could be placed fairly at 83.25 al 3.50 per $M$. Fronts are not meeting with any
great call and so far as sales from first hands may be concerned are more or less nominal, though the
would probably be about the same as last noted.
LATH-Sellers have retained very fair advantage, and the market remains about steady all around. Demand has probably been a little less snappish than anticipated, and many of the calls were for comparatively small lots, but as arrivals kept within narrow
limits the moderate amount available could be handled limits the moderate amount available could be handled
without difficulty and on a basis of $\$ 2.50$ per M . The without difficulty and on a basis of $\$ 2.50$ per M. The
position may now be quoted firm. There is considerposition may now be quoted firm. There is consider-
able stock here as against current consumption, but it appears to be unevenly distributed, and many dealers would not be in a position to compete with their neighbors should demand quicken to any extent.
LIME-The market continues uniform and closely sold up. Now and then a cargo works its way into port, but if not already engaged receivers find plenty of customers ready to take it and pay former rates.
Possibly an advance could be established, but such a Possibly an advance could be established, but such a
course does not appear to be thought judicious at the course do
moment.
LUMBER.-All trading continues careful and in a general way slow, no buyers feeling at all inclined to invest against the possibilities of the future, and even those who know where stock is likely to become useket except the pressure of actual wants. Still the tone is by no means depressed, but on the contrary inclines
to some $w h a t$ more cheerful form, and there is a growto some what more cheerful form, and there is a grow-
ing disposition to take a more hopeful view of the siting disposition to take a more hopeful view of the sit-
ua ion. Even with the limited prevailing demand the
distribution has tended to exced disribution has tended to exceed the supply of most
grades for some time past, and stocks have naturally run down. and with no indications that it will pay to bring anything in by rail, accumulations become more valuable as they grow smaller. Aside from that, however, the prospeets for general busilessare thought to expansion of the call for building, manufacturing and
shipping purposes, wwith corresponding hardening of
values. The feeling, however, is not of a buoyant in this city must be received cum grano solis.
Eastern Spruce shows considerable uniformity in general features. It is hardly possible that at this season of the year more stock will run in here than can be disposed of, and receivers naturally assume a steady position, with the usual few who always expect
an advance talking about the full rates they are to get on advance talking about the full rates they are to get ing themselves to exhibit any undue anxiety, and while ready to negotiate on a desirable offering see no
reason to bid freely in anticipation of arrivals. Specreason to bid freely in anticipation of arrivals. Spec-
ials are firm owing to the number of accumulated ials are firm owing to the number of accumulated
orders now at the mills. About $\$ 14 @ 15$ per $M$ are now orders now at the mills. About $\$ 14 @ 15$ per $M$ are now
generally quoted on randoms, and from that the range generaul q quote on randon
White Pine holds its own very well on the general run of stock while the better qualities of box boards and shippers are also hardening. Possibly the same reports would apply to choice uppers, but they have at no time been particularly weak and there is no im-
portant recovery to make. The most positive com portant recovery to make. The most positive com-
plaint is against the immediate local demand which comes in the main from the manufacturing interest and is very cautious. Still even there some improvement can be found, and with the relief shippers have afforded and continue to promise the feeling is hopeful as a rule. We quote at $\$ 16 @ 17$ for West India
shipping boards: $\$ 18 @ 2 \pi$ for South american do. shipping boards; \$18@27 for South American
\$13@14 for box boards and $\$ 16 @ 18$ for extra do Yellow Pine meets with continued attention on shipping orders, and the reduced competition in bidding on the specifications indicates that manufacturers in some sections at least have a fair amount of work be-
fore them and are commencing to feel a fore them and are commencing to feel a little more in-
dependent. This naturally carries with it a steadier and more hopeful tone and operators are gaining confidence to believe that this much-battered class of lumber will soon be on the turn for the better. Local trade does not as yet offer much encouragement but signs of a little more life among consumers of railway supplies may be noted and further developments are
likely to help trade. We quote as follows: Ran doms, $\$ 17 \mathrm{a} 19.50$ per M; Specials, $\$ 19.50 @ 21$ do.; Gireen
 at Gutf ports, $\$ 12 @ 14$ for rough, and $\$ 20 @ 21$ for
dressed. dressed.
Hardw
Hard in the are a trifle irregular but after all it is parcel of almost any. description of wood is either openly or quietly seized upon as soon as offered, but when buyers can find fault they do soloudly and persistently and make it an excuse to crowd down values to the owest possible notch. We quote at wholesale rates
by car-load as follows: Walnut, $\$ 85(100$ per M. a ash.
$\$ 333040$ do
 wood, $\$ 27 @ 25$ do. do.; elm, $\$ \$ 2 @ 25$; hickory, $\$ 45 @ 50$

## GENERAL LIIIBER NOTES.

THE WEST.
Saginaw Valley
Lumberman's Gazette,
Bay CTty Bay City, Mich.
During the past week several lumberman from the
east have been vacillating from one city to the other n the Saginaw river with
been picked up by them, apparently as a sort of a feeler, but no purchases of any extent have been made.
A few commission men from the river have also been A making the rounds of the eastern lumber markets, but their trips have proved rather profitless ones, some of them returning home without a single order to show for the outlay necessitated by the trip. The fact is. there seems to be a feeling of vague uncertainty ex-
isting among dealers in regard to the future isting among dealers in regard to the future. There is
one thing, however, of which they may rest assured that whatever may be the outcome at other manufacturing centres, there is very little prospect of any further decline in prices in the Saginaw valley. The undoubted curtailment of the log crop in Michigan warrants the prediction that lumber has touched bottom here, and that there is no risk in purchasing at
present prices. It is the belief of those usually reliable in their opinons that the curtailment of the log crop will amount to at least 50 per cent, and that lumber secured at present extremely low. prices on the Sag-
inaw river will prove a profitable investment. But it inaw river will prove a profitable investment. But it
is quite apparent from present indications that the is quite apparent from present indications that the
slackness will continue for several weeks yet, and the manufactures have evidently arrived at that conclusion, and have qu
the future.
The Chicago Northwestern Lumberman says:
In regard to present prices not much can be said, for the reason that not enough trading is going on to
really give tone to the market. Probably blocks of really give tone to the market. Probably blocks of
yard stock could be bought at prices considerably yard stock could be bought at prices considerably country trade in car lots prices are made as near the list as possible. As has been said there is some uneasiness manifest on the part of holders, and no one can tell what prices will be until there is trade enough to make a test. As matters are now drifting along
each house is watching for indications, holding for each house is watching for indications, holding for
the list with one hand and feeling around for his neighbor's prices with the other. Such desultory sales as are made cannot be taken as a positive test of the market. When the demand actually revives, and there is a calling between yards for assortments, and the traveling men are out with offers, then the value
of yard stocks will be ascertained. An exception to this general statement must be made in reference to shingles, which are firm at quite or nearly quoted prices. It is well known that the stock in the yards here is much less than it was last year at this time, the comparative decrease probably being $129,000,000$. The effect of this knowledge is to give a positive
strength to the prices of shingles, and cause inquiry for them to be more frequent than for other stocks.

Lumber and timber
Shingle
Pickets.
Cedar posts.
1885.
$623,910,097$
$382,533,611$
$88,160,599$
$2,528,738$
397,825
1884. $635,348,561$
$461,930.496$
$65,981,140$ $\begin{array}{r}65,981,140 \\ 1,529,287 \\ \hline\end{array}$ $1,529,287$
397,882

## Lumberman and Manufacturer

The lumber trade at all reported points continues nominal and will likely remain so for the next sixty
days. We hear of a few inquiries for round lots, but days. We hear of a few inquiries for round lots, but
holders are so confident of a fair trade, and some advance that there is a large difference between buyers and sellers which prevents any transactions. The new price lists of St. Louis of January 5th makes but slight changes on an
selling figures.
scarcity of orders. They
cessions to suit purchasers.
The principal interest of the wonderful success of logging operations thus far. It is now beyond question that the log cut will be at least ano and in many localities will be doubled owing to ago and in many localities will be doubled owing to greatly reduce the cost of logs and with a favorable crop the year may possible leave a little margin for $\log$ owners, but we confess the prospects are not briliant for that interest.
The following statisties of the lumber and log busiIt will be seen that 1884 is a little in advance of the previous year:

## First District. Second District <br> Totals <br> First District. <br> Fifth District.

## 1883. $283,901,47$ 379,064,440 622,203,240 $164,989,867$ $398,178,995$ $398,178,995$ $162,450,000$

 -Feet Lumber Man'f'd.1883 .

## $745,618,862$

$\begin{array}{r}414,270,514 \\ 224,888,300 \\ \hline\end{array}$

## Totals.

## FOREIGN

${ }^{3}$ The London Timber Trade Journal says of the Glasgow market.
Stocks of Quebec goods are nearly all, as might be expected from the smallness of the year's imports, under the quantities on hand a twelvemonth ago; but
of pitch pine the stock now held is rather heavpitch pine the stock now held is rather heav-
ho although there have been no arrivals since the month of August. The consumption of pitch pine during the past year has been about a fourth under
that of 1888 , owing, no doubt, to the dullness in shipbuilding.

METALS-COPPER-Ingot is in fair shape but at the moment showing no features of an unusal character. On the pool purcbase made in December last the largest consumers are to receive during the first five months of the present year about $7,500,000 \mathrm{lbs}$., so that current demand is confined largely to small lots by ably full movement and the line of value is held steady. Lake is quoted at 113 se . and from that the cost ranges
down to $103 \% \mathrm{c}$. for other brands. Manufactured Copdown to $10 \% \mathrm{yc}$. . for other brands. Manufactured Copper has shaded somewhat since our last report but now
shows a steadier tone and rather more business. We shows a steadier tone and rather more business.
quote as follows: Brazier's Copper, ordinary size 16 oz . per sq. foot, 18 c . per lb.; do. do. do., 16 . oz, and
over 12 oz . per sq, foot, 18 c . per lb. do. do, 10 and 12 over 12 oz . per sq. foot, 18 c . per lb.; do. do., 10 and 12
oz. per sq. foot, 22 c . per $1 \mathrm{~b} . ;$ do. do., lighter than 10 oz .
per sq. foot. 2 sc . per 1 b . ; circles less than 84 inches in per sq. foot. 2 sc . per lb.; circles less than 84 inches in
diameter, 23 c . per $1 \mathrm{~b} . ; 84$ inches in diameter and 24 c . per lb .; segment and pattern sheets. 22 c . per lb . ocomotive fire-box sheets, 20 c . perlb. : Sheathing Copper, over 12 oz . per sq. foot, 16 ce . per lb . a and Bolt Cop-
per, 18 c . per 1 b . Trov-Scotch Pig is without much aniper, 18 c . per 1 lb . Iron-Scotch Pig is without much ani-
mation and the market undergoes but little change in general features. Supplies appear to be ample for all wants and holders willing to let them go when oppor-
tunity admits. We quote at $\$ 18.50 \mathrm{o} 21.50$ per ton tunity admits.
rather a slow market, both buyers and sellers found with a considerable degree of caution and neither gaining any great advantage. There does not however, seem any oppressive accumulation of stocks and the average feeling is steady on most grades. We quote
$\$ 18.00 @ 18.50$ per ton for No. 1 X foundry, $\$ 17.00 @ 17.50$ for No. 2 X do. do., and $\$ 16.00 @ 16.50$ for gray forge.
Old material has been quiet ; the orders covering only a few small odd lots and holders generally meeting the market readily on comparatively easy terms. We quote at $\$ 17.00 @ 17.50$ for old tee rails, $\$ 18 @ 19$ for
double heads, $\$ 17.00 @ 17.50$ for No. 1 wrought scrap ex double heads, $\$ 17.00 @ 17.50$ for No. 1 wrought scrap ex
ship. $\$ 18.00 @ 19.00$ for selected do.. $\$ 16.00 @ 16.50$ for
old Steel rails not very active and rumors are current of easier terms. Agents, however, deny
any weakness and generally quote the market as well in hand at $\$ 28329$ per ton. Manufactured iron is small and unimportant and buyers have rather the greatest advantage. We quote Common Merchant
Bar, ordinary sizes, at $1.70 @ 2 \mathrm{c}$. from store and Refined at $1.900^{(62.40 \mathrm{c} . ;}$; Rods, round and square, $2.00 @$ 6.,. and domestic sheet on the basis of $2 . \pi 0 @ 3.00 \mathrm{e}$.
for common Nos $10 @ 16$. responding prices, with $1-10 \mathrm{c}$. less on large lots from cars. LeAD-Domestic Pig not very active for acsupport that tended to keep values well up and infuse an appearance of strength. At the close, how-
ever, holders are unloading and the tone is easy. We quote at about $31 / 2 @ 35 \%$. per $1 \mathrm{~b} .$, according to brand and the size of invoice handled. The manufactures of lead are steady and quoted: Bar, 416@43/4. ; pipe,
$53 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. ; sheet, 634 c ., less the usual discount to the trade; and tin-lined pipe, 15 c .; block tin pipe, 40 c ., on same terms. Tin-Pig found considerable support through
the advices from abroad and holders offered carefully and sparingly. A fair jobbing trade was done but not many large invoices could be placed. We quote 17 @ $171 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. for Straits, $17 @ 171 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. for Australian, $17 @ 171 / \mathrm{c}$.
for English and $19 \Omega 191 \mathrm{c}$, for Banca. Tin plates for English and $19 @ 191 \mathrm{sc}$. for Banca. Tin plates,
have been in good general supply without much of a have been in good general supply without much of a on most leading grades. We quote I. C. Charcoal, third-class assortment, $\$ 5.00 @ 5.05$ for Allaway grade, and $\$ 5.30 @ 5.40$ for Melyn grade; for each additional X 4.45 for B. V. grade; $\$ 4.50$ e 4.55 for J. S. P. grade; Char$14 \times 20 ; \$ 8.90 @ 9.35$ for do, $20 \times 28$; Coke terne $\$ 4$ grades or Glais grade $14 \times 20$, and $\$ 8.75 @ 8.871 / 6$ for do. $20 \mathrm{x} 28-$ all in round lots. Spelter barely steady in tone, and showing no great animation the movement embracing only small odd lots is wanted for special use. We
quote at $41 / 6 @ 43 / 4$ for domestic and foreign, according to brand, quantity, ete. Sheet Zinc selling slowly on ordinary outlets and ruling steady at $5 @ 61 / 2 \mathrm{c}$ according to quantity, quality, etc.
NAILS.-The market is supposed to be improving in tone and possibly it is, but reports are of such a contradictory character that it is difficult to arrive at any positive conclusion. Demand from some quarters has no withdrawal of buyers who seem to have suddenly ob-
tained a supply and concluded to stop operations. Alto gether, however, the tendency of the market is to better itself and sellers feel reasonably confident. We
quote at $\$ 2.05(02.10$ per keg for 10 d . to 60 d . on the quote at $\$ 2.05 @ 2.10$ per
average run of invoices.
PAINTS, OILS, ETC.-Demand still has more or less slow form and the very evident intention of buyers is to'move with a cautious non-committal system in develping their wants. Dealers, however, adhere to the beare small with every chance of early attempts to replensh valuations in the meantime are well preserved and stocks offered sparingly. Linseed Oil in about the usual demand and steady at $51 @ 52 c$. for domestic, the inquiry fair at slightly easier rates closing at 303 a32e. per gallon, according to quantity, style of package, ete.
PITCH AND TAR.-Demand moderately active, but fairly met and as a rule former rates readily accepted. We quote Pitch $\$ 1.75 @ 2.00$ per bbl. Tar $\$ 2.00 @ 2.25$ do. according to quantity, quality and delivery
For Market Quotations see page 99.

## SALES OF THE WEEK

The following are the sales at the Exchange Sales room for the week ending January 23:

* Indicates that the property described has been bid in for plaintiff's account:

32 d st, No. 233, n s, $241.8 \mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{av}, 16.8 \times 98.9$, three-story brick dwell'g with frame ex53 d st, No. 403 (?), s s, 54 e 1st av, 20 x 85 , three

## E. H Lulow ${ }^{\text {co }}$

Walker st, No. 16, n s, 175 w Church st, $25 \times 100$ ive-story iron front building. Henry Solo-
*9th st, s s, 450 ee 8 d av, $25 \times 100.8$, five-story
brick flat. William C. Browning et al (Amt due, abt $\$ 3,150$; prior mort, $\$ 11,500$ ) 3d av, No. 443, e s, 25.5 n 46 th st, $25 \times 75$, fiv
story brick flat with store. Maria Cull..

3 d st , Nos, 235 and $237, \mathrm{n}$ s. 375 e 3 d av, 50 x
100.8 , two five-story brick flats. Catharine Hagan. (Two 2d morts.; amt due on each,
abt $\$ 1,350 ;$ prior mort. of $\$ 11,500$ on each)
93 d st, No. $239, \mathrm{n}$ s, $25 \times 100.8$, five-story brick flat. Louis C. Tufts. ( 2 d mort.; amt due,
abt $\$ 1,350$; prior mort., $\$ 11,500$ ).

Jackson av, w s, 75 n Cliff st, 19.9x75. R. C. Jackson ay, w s, 94.9 n Cliff st, 19.9x75. Same (Amt due, abt $\$ 2,350$ )
Tinton av, w s, 47 n Cliff st, $29 \times 135$. F. Yoran (Amt due, abt $\$ 2,900$ )

## Henriques

121st st, No. 52, s s, 83 e Madison av, $1 \pi$ x100. 11 , three-story stone front dwell'g. Geo. C.
Currier. (Amt due, abt $\$ 11,400$ ). ..........
122 st, s s, 100 e Madison av, $50 \times 100.11$, va-
cant. Frederick W. Bampton..............
fairchild \& de walltearss.
*Mitchell pl, No. 5 (49th st), n s, 72 e 1st av, dwell'g, Henry Ginnel

OTHER AUCTIONEERS
Stanton st, Nos. 49 and $51, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 66.8 e Forsyth
st, $44.5 \times 75$, two three-story and one fourst, $44.5 x^{2} 5$, two three-story and one four-
story brick buildings. George Corev 93 d st, No. $241, \mathrm{~ns}, 450 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~d}$ av. $25 \times 100.8$, five-
story brick flat. Thos. MeGuire. (Amt due, abt $\$ 1,450$; prior mort. $\$ 11,500$ ).
94 th st $\mathrm{s}, ~$ -story
(Amt
due, albt $\$ 8,150$; prior mort. $\$ 11,500$ ).
10,500
Total.................. 1884
$\$ 223.797$
$\$ 94,500$

## BROOKLYN, N. Y.

The following sales took place in the City of Brooklyn for the week ending January 23 :
Van Brunt st, e s, 70 n Sackett st, 30x73. John Corresponding week 1888.
$\$ 4,600$
$\$ 37,440$

## CONVEYANCES

Wherever the letters Q. C. and C. $\alpha$. G. occur, preceded by the name of the grantee they mean as followss: 1 1st-Q. C. is an abbreviation for Quit Claim deed, the grantor is conveyed, omitting all covenants or warranty.
$2 d-C$.
$2 d-C . ~ a . ~ G . ~ m e a n s ~ a ~ d e e d ~ c o n t a i n i n g ~ C o v e n a n t ~$ against Grantor only, in which he covenants that he hath not done any act whereby the estate
be impeached, charged or encumbered.

## NEW YORK CITY.

Jandary 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22.
Boulevard, s e cor 131st st, 25x100, vacant. Partition. George B. Newell to P. Henry Whal-
en. Jan. 20. en. Jan. 20. oulevard, e s, abt 50 s 131st st, abt $35 \times 100$, vaJau. 20 Partition. Same to John Rankin.
Jan. 20.
Boulevard
Boulevard, e s, abt 75 s 131 st st, abt $25 \times 100$, vacant. Partition. Same to same. Jan. 20. 1,600 Boulevard, e s, abt lisis Broome st, No, 63, s e cor Cannon st, $25 \times 80$
Broome st, No. $63, \mathrm{~s}$ e cor Cannon st,
two-story brick front store and dwell'g on Broome and two-story brick shop on Cannon st. Foreclos. Jerome Buck to Edward Maass. Jan. 21 -

Foreclos. Jerome Buck to Emmet H. Smith Jan. 19. 93.2 .
Bleecker st, No. 314 , s w cor Grove st, $20 \times 83$. Bleecker st, No. 308, w s, 80 s Grove st, $20 \times 83$ Benjamin H. Vose, London, Eng., to Wil attachment. Jan. 17 .
East Broadway, lot 908 map Mary E. G. Beek-। man property, $25 \times 87.6$.
Isabella L. wife of map, $25 \times 100$
Charlotte, B. Sands, John B. and William B Foulke and Mary E. B. Taylor and Catharine B. Neilson. Release mort. 17-18 part. Jan. 16.
ame property. Release mort. 1-6 part,
Isabella L. wife of Henry R. Beekman to same. Jan. 16.
East Broadway, No. 49, 25x75, five-story brick tenem't. Harris Baum to Jonas Holzwasser, Mort. \$14,000. Jan 13.
Elizabeth st, s e cor Hester st, runs east 74.6 x
south 75 x west 24.6 x north 25 x west 50 to
Elizabeth st, $x$ north 50 , six-story brick
factory. Foreclos. James D. Hewett to Jacob
Bookman and Simon Bing, Jr. Jan. 22. 37,000
Grand st, No. 18, n w cor Sullivan st, $22 \times 45.10 \mathrm{x}$
40 x 32 , four-story brick building. Michael
Umstadter and ano., exrs. S. Cohen, to Die-
drick and Henry Knabe. Jan. 15.
drick and Henry Knabe. Jan. 15.
Grand st, No. $16, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 22 \mathrm{w}$ Sullivan st, $21 \times 58.25 \mathrm{x}$
Grand st, No. $16, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s,22} \mathrm{w}$ Sullivan st, $21 \times 58.2 \mathrm{x}$
$24.4 \times 45.10$, with use of alley along side of
$24.4 \times 45.10$, with use of alley along side of
premises, three-story frame (brick front)
Grand st, No. 145, s s, 61.1 w Elm st, $17.9 \times 80$,
three-story brick building. Sarah Salomon,
widow, to Otto Wagner. Mort. $\$ 14,000$.
Same property. Release mort. Joseph C. Levi, trustee, to Sarah Salomon. Jan. $19 . \quad 6,000$ reenwich st, Nos. 264 and 266, w s, $35.4 \times 80$, two $P$ Latting to Catharine wife of Robert Fer Puson. Jan. 5 .
guting the wife of Robert Fer-
29,800
Greenwich st, No. 293, e s, 79.9 s Chambers st, $13.2 \times 79.3 \times 14.10 \times 79.3$, three-story brick store.
Partition. Charles P. Latting to John Sul-
livan. Jan. 5 . 12,050
Hester st, No. 144, s s, 74.6 e Elizabeth st, 25.6 x 75 , five-story brick store and tenem't. Fore Jan. 22. 20,25
Hillside st, centre line, s w s, plot 139 map I. Dyckman's Fort George property, $50 \times 227.6 x$ Caxeb Smith and Hannah Fulton to Sarah M. Smith, Yonkers. Dec. 1, 1875. 1,73 Marion st, Nos. 23 and 25 . Declaration by
Antonio Aliano and Raffalli Guiditte, joint tenants of above premises, as to proportion owned by each one. Jan 14
Madison st, s s, lot 476 map Mary E. G. Beekman property, 25x100. Joseph Foulke, Charlotte Jr., Babylon, William B. Foulke, Mary E. B wife of and Cortlandt M. Taylor, and Catharine B. wife of and John Neilson to Catharine O'Neil. Jan. 15.
Madison st, lot 476 map Mary E. G. Beekman property, 25x100. Release mort. Isabella L. wife of Henry R. Beekman to John B. Foulke, Babylon. 1-6 part. Jan. 16 . nom Ridge st, No. 38 , e s, 100 s
six-story brick tenem't. Delancey st, $25 \times 100$,
Solomon Jacobs to six-story brick tenem't. Solomon Jacobs to Joseph Levy and Louis Goodman. C. a. G. Rose, st, No. 25 , s e s, $28.4 \times 88.3 \times 28 \times 87.10$. Rose st, No. 27 , s e s, 300.1 n e Frankfort st,
$27.4 \times 108.6 \times 19.9 \mathrm{x}$ southeast $24.3 \times$ sonthwest $27.4 \times 108.6 \times 19.9 \times$ southeast $24.3 \times$ southwest $38.7 \times$ northwest 21.10 x northwest 14.6 x northwest 8.10 x northwest 88.6 and includ ing the strip 3.6 adj the $n$ e s of the rear portion.
Rose st, No. 29, e s, $27.3 \times 108 \times 26.2 \times 108$.
Rose st, No. 31, s e s, runs southeast 109.3 southwestt 31.1 x west 12.7 x north 24.1 x east $5.4 \times$ northwest 108.1 to Rose
27.4.
Four five-story brick warehouses.
Joseph T. Preston. $1 /$ part Naxter, Jr., to Joseph T. Preston. 1/8 part. Nov. 24. 17,500
West Broadway, Nos. 120,122 and 124, ne cor West Broadway, Nos. 120,122 and $124, \mathrm{n}$ e cor Franklin st, 50x60, six-story brick building, Frederick W. Haynes, to John L. Bremer, Boston, Mass. Jan. 15 . 100,000 drick dwell'r rina wife of George Stanger. C. a. G. Jan.
17. 11,000 90.10 , two five atory brick stores and tenem'ts Foreclos. Charles E. Lydecker to Levi Samnels. Jan. 15. 50,000 th st, No. $35, \mathrm{n}$ s, $200 \mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{av}, 25 \mathrm{x} 74,10$, threestory brick dwell'g. William Wicke to George th st, No. 217, n s, 202.9 w Av C, 13.7x97.6, fivestory brick tenem't. Joseph Hechinger to Carl Glomb. Mort. $\$ 5,000$. Jan. $19 . \quad 10,000$ 10 th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 100$ e 5 th av, $49 \times 94.9$; No. 3 , twostory brick building; No. 5, one-story frame building. Estelle C. Tucker, formerly Chappell, of Nyack, N. Y., to Eliakim L., William T., Mary F., Emma C. and Abbie E. Bolles.
Confirmation deed. Q. C. Jan. 9. 6 th st, No. 549 , n s, 114.6 w Av B, 18.6x92, fourtory brick tenem't. Thomas Middeton to Fried Sollinger. Mort. $\$ 5,500$. Jan. 19 . nom

Richardson to Philomene Monarque. Q. C. Same prope
Name property.
, Jo John W. Monarque to Geor Same property. Qhilomene, wife of Henry G. Monarque to same. All liens. Jan. 13 . ncm 17 th st, No. 455, n s, 100 e 10 th av, $25 \times 92$, twostory brick building with two-story frame exPa., to Charles H. Lott, assignee Mary A Fogarty. Q. C. Dec. 16. 18 th st, No. $146, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 526 w 6th av, 23.4 x 92.1 x 21.5 Rivas, described in deed as Rivas, to Thomas Kelly. Jan. 20.
21st st, n s, bet 4th and Lexington avs. Party wall agreement. Catharine A. Palmer with Charles W. Cooper, Brooklyn. Jan. 17. non tition. Charles P. Latting to Anne M. Barne Jan. 5 .
25 th st, Nos, 425 and $427, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 325 \mathrm{w} 9$ th av, 50 x98 9, vacant.
four, No. 253, w s, 32.11 n 25th st, $16.5 \times 100$, Partition. Charles P. Latting to Anne M Barnes. Jan. 5.
26 th st, No. $229, \mathrm{n}$ s, 225 w 2 d av, 25 x 98.8 , twostory brick dwellg. William H. Kirby and Jan. 13.
28 th st, No. $427, \mathrm{n}$ s, 354.6 w 9 th av, $20.6 \times 98,9$ three-story brick dwell'g. Contract. Marie chen or Hauchen Moral to Charles S. Fischer.
Jan. 16.
28th st, Nos. 123 and 125. Subordination of ${ }^{8,000}$ power of sale of $1 / 2$ of premises to a mortgage.
Sarah B. Smith st al., exrs T. H. Smith to James A. Trowbridge. Jan. 19.
29th st, No. 121, n s, 100 w Lexington av, 25 x 98.9 , two-story brick dwell'g. Auguste Pottier to Cosmo Brailly. Jan. 17.
32 d st, No. $242, \mathrm{~s}$ s 162.6 w 2 d av, $18.9 \mathrm{x} 98.9,000$ four-story brick dwell'g. Catharine Frazier, widow, to Thomas B. Owen. Mort. $\$ 5,500$. Jan. 20 ,
35 th st, No. $329, \mathrm{n}$ s, 331.3 e 2 d av, 18.9x98.9, four-story brick dwell'g. Eliza wife of and Peter Brown, Brooklyn, to John Mitchell. Mort. 86,000 . Jan. 19.
36 th st, No. $3, \mathrm{n}$ s, 125 w 5 th av, $25 \times 98.9$, twostory brick stable. Partition. Richard M. Harison to John T. Hall, Catharine T. Schieffelin and Margaret T. Ludlow. Dec. 18. 34,000 38 th itt, No. $313, \mathrm{nns}, 200$ e 2 d av, runs east 25 x north 122.6 x west 125 x south 11.9 x southerly 110.3 x southerly 64.4 , four-story brick building and two-story brick stables on rear. Ehen Yeaman, widow, to Rachel Greenbaum.
Mort. $\$ 10,000$. Jan. 21.
Mort. \$10,000. Jan. 21.
41 st st, No. 117, n s, 162.4 w 6th av, $12.8 \times 98.9$, four-story brick dwell'g. Michael Umstadter and ano., exrs. S. Cohen, to Julia Ludwig. Jan. 15.
41st st, No. $115, \mathrm{n}$ s, 150 w 6th av, 12.4 x 98.9 ,
four-story brick dwell'g. Same to same four-story brick dwell'g. Same to same Jan. 15.
41 st st, No. 447 , n s, 175 e 10th av, 25x98.9, fourstory brick dwell'g. Julia wife of Otto A. Krauss to William Wettig and Frederick his wife, joint tenants. Mort. $\$ 7,000$.
11st st, No. 14, s s, 202.6 e 5th av, 20.10x98.2,
four-story stone front dwell'g. four-story stone front dwell'g. John R. Suydam, Sayville, Conn., to
Ellis. Mort. 820,000 Jan. 19.
Ellis. Mort. 820,000 . Jan. 19 . Same property. George W. Ellis to Harret wife of John R. \{uydam, Sayville, L. I. Mort. $\$ 20,000$. Jan. 19.
42 d st, No. $425 . \mathrm{n}$ s, 300
42 d st, n s, 350 w
story brick flat
Margaret F Dodd, Robert Taylor, 1 Williams, William S. Taylor, James L. and Homer F. Taylor, heirs Jane Taylor, to James R. Taylor. Q. C. Morts. $\$ 20,000$. Jan. 7. nopa 43 d st, No. $118, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 225 \mathrm{w}$ 6th av, $21.5 \times 100$, four-story stone front dwell'g. Walter H. Close to Harriet A. wife of David Close.
a. G. Jan. 19 .
Same property. David Close to Walter $\frac{\text { nom }}{H}$.

43 d st, No $304, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 81$ e 2 d av, $17 \times 100.5$, threestory brick dwell'g. Matilda C. Bull, extrx. story brick dwellg. Matilda C. Bull, extrx. N. J. Jan. 17 . 44th st, No. $202, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 80 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~d}$ av, 25 x 100.5 , two-
story frame building. Martin Mahon to Marstory frame building. Martin Mahon to Mar46 th st, No. 339 , n s, 180 w 1st av, 20x100.5, fourstory brick building. Mina Kroehle, widow, story brick building. Mina Kroehle, widow, Kroehle, heirs of Fred. N. Kroehle, to Ernst Keil. Jan. 15
${ }_{46 \text { th st, No. }}$ Kill 160 s s, 140 w 3 d av, $16 \times 100.5$, fourstory stone front dwell'g. Bernard Spaulding to Robert W. Forbes. Mort. $\$ 12,500$. June 19, 1884.
47 th st, No. $424, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 275 \mathrm{w} 9$ th av, $25 \times 100.5$, fivestory brick (stone front) flat. John S. Smith N. J. Mort. \$12,000. Jan. 15.

48 th st, No. $220, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 363 \mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~d}$ av $13 \times 100$. three-story stone front dwell'g. James R, Scott, Philadelphia, Pa., to Margaret A. wife 49th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 450 \mathrm{w} 10$ th av, $100 \times 100.5$, four fivestory stone front tenem'ts (unfinished). Foreclos. Frederick P. Forster to Harmon Jan. 17.
50 th st, $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}, 400 \mathrm{w} 9$ th av, $139.6 \times 100.5$, vacant. John H. H. Cushman et exrs. D. A. Cush

50 th st, $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}, 400.6 \mathrm{w} 9$ th av, $139 \times 100.5$. Martin Brophy to The Mayor, \&c., New York
 story brick dwell' Marianna Rolando story brick dwellg. Marianna Rolando, vidow, Rafaela Rolando, Rosa L. wife of Harianna wife of Fton formerly Rolando, Rolando, Charles J, and Francis B Rolando Nolando, Charles J. and Francis B. Rolando 53 d st, No. 36, s s, 370.2 e 6 th av, $25 \times 100.5$, four story stone front dwell'g. Richard W. Bucklay to Mary $R$, wife of Nathaniel w, Hatch, Brooklyn. M. $\$ 50,000$. Jan. 15 . $£ 5,00$ 54th st, No. 126, s s, 90 w Lexington av, 50 x 100.5, two-story brick stable. Henry Villard 4th st No 343 Harbuck. Feb. 14. four-story brick dwell'g. John and FritzBauer Eliza wife of and Anthony Kellner, heirs of Cath. Kaiser, and Margaretha Berg, widow o John Baum. Q. C. Jan, 8 . nom ame property. John Baum to Jan Cermak. Mort. $\$ 3,000$. Jan. 22. 1st st, n s, 27. e 11th av, $25 \times 100.5$. Release mort. 14.
same property. Release mort. Stephen no. Thayer to same. Jan. 15. 61st st, n s, 250 e 11th av, $25 \times 100.11$. Release mort. Same to same. Jan. $14 . \quad$ Stephen $\frac{\text { nom }}{H}$. proprt. 61 st st, n s, 225 e 11th av, $25 \times 100.5$. Release mort. John Ross to same. Jan. 14. non Thay property. Release 15 1 st st, Nos. $535-539, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 225$ e 11 th av, $75 \times 100.5$, three five-story stone front flats. Abraham H. Jonas to Ferdinand Boehm, Broeklyn. All liens. Jan. $16 . \quad 210,500$ Same property. Release mort. The Mutual Life Ins. Co., New York, to Stephen H. Thayer. Jan. 19.
61 st st, Nos. $355-359, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}$,225 e 11th av, $75 \times 100.5$,
three five-story stone front flats, Ferdinand
Boehm, Brooklyn, to Moss S. Phillips.
Mort. \$48,000. Jan. 19.
$102 \mathrm{st}, \mathrm{s}$ s, extdg from 10th to 11th av, 800 x 102.2, new buildings projected. George H .
 Nov. 17. Haug. Mort. $\$ 100,000$. Nov. $28 . \quad 230,000$ 34 th st, $n \mathrm{~s}, 175 \mathrm{w} 11$ th av, $54.4 \times 104.3 \times 85 \times 100.5$, Heant. Raabe. Jan. 15 . Co., New York, 6500 65 th st, s s, 250 w 8th av, $25 \times 100.5$, vacant. David Davies, assignee J. Freedman, to Eliza beth wife of Joseph Freedman. C. a. G. All liens. Aug.
Same property. Certificate of receipt of part payment of mortgage. Catharine Hays to Joseph Freedman.
85th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 110$ e $1 \mathrm{sta} \mathrm{av}, 100 \times 100.5$. Release mort. Nathaniel A. Williams, Saybrook, Conn., to Abraham Dowdney. Jan. 15. nom Cth st, No. $326, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 300$ e 2 d av, $16.8 \times 100$,
three-story brick dwell'g. Bernhard Gies to three-story brick dwell'g. Bernhard Gies to
Jacob Orth. Jan. 17. Jacob Orth. Jan. 17.
Same property. Jacob Orth to Lisette Gies. Jan. 17
th st, No. $240, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 166.8 w 2 d av, $16.8 \times 100$, threestory brick dwell'g. John D. Crimmins to Robert Froese. Mort. $\$ 6,500$. Jan. 714.
st st, No. $416, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 155 w 9 th av, $19.6 \times 100.5$ four-story stone front dwell'g. Charles S. Van Loon to Caroline F. and Kate B. Weed 73 d st, No. $432, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 485 e 10th av, $15 \times 102.2$, fourstory stone front dwell'g. Anna wife of and story stone
Charles McDonald to Margaret A. wife of Henry H. Holly. Mort. $\$ 15,500$. Jan. 15. 25,000 th st, No. $218, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 254.10 e 3 d av, $25.2 \times 102.2$ five-story brick dwell g. Eleanora $H$. Bitmann individ, and as the and Henry of Henry Bittmann, deedd to Wendel Misch ler. Mort 88,000 , dan 12 endel th 105 , 0 . Jan. 12 .
\&c Pert C Fergune of covenants, terson. Jan C. Ferguson to William M. PatSame property. Release of covenants. William M. Patteson and Robert C. Ferguson to Enoch L. Fancher. Dec. 21. th st, No. $11, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}$,222 e 5 th av, $26 x 102.2$, fourstory brick dwell'g. Julius Freudentha1,
Englewood, N. J., to Charles Lesinsky. Jan $17 . \quad$ an,
9 th st, n s, 153.4 e 5 th av, $25.7 \times 100.8$, vacant. John K. Creevey to Mathilde S. Stern. C. a. 90th st, $n$ e cor Lexington av $20 \times 100.4$. two-story brick dwell'g. Mary Bell, widow, to Theodore A. Cordler. Jan. 16.
91 st st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 57.4 \mathrm{w}$ 4th av, 20x67, three-story stone front dwell'g. Andrew J. Kerwin to Sallie Myers. Mort. $\$ 12,000$. Jan. 20. 19,500 93 d st, No. $239, \mathrm{n}$ s, 425 e 3 d av, $25 \times 100.8$, five story brick flat. Foreclos. John H. Glover to Lewis C. Tufts. Jan. 21.
th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 188$ e 1st av, 25 x 100 . Release from agreement to sell. Mary Miller, San Francisco, Cal., to Thomas Dougherty. Jan. 13. nom 105 th st, s s, 225 e 10th av, $50 \times 100.11$, vacant. 17 , Wam to Charles R. Parfitt. Jan,
105 th st, s, s, 200 e 5 th av, $75 \times 100.11$, vacant James Connor to
$\$ 15,000$. Nov. 2
07 th st, No. 155,
thew Cox to Charles Scheidecker. Jan. 20.675
Av A, es, 75 n 84 th st, $78.2 x 98$, vacant. John

Bulkley and ano., trustees for Caroline J. Bulkley, Jan. $19.18,000$ 100 st, No. clos. Same to Mary A. Bulkley. Jan. $19.8,000$ 107th st, No 163 , n s, 150 e Lexington av, 17x 100.11, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos. Same to Justus L. Bulkley and ano exrs. Jos. E. Bulkley. Jan. 19. 8.000
107 th st, No. 165, in s, 167 e Lexington av, 17 x 100.11, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos. Same to same. Jan. 19 . 8,000 07 th st, No. 153, n s, 65 e Lexington av, 17 x 100.11, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos. Same to same. Jan. 19. th st, n s, 133 e Lexington av, 3 lots, each 17x100.11. Releases mechanic's lien. Michael Reilly to Elizabeth Meehen. 3 releases, each $\$ 100$. Aug. 30. leas
30.
7th as, 65 e Lexington av, 17x100.11. Re 30.

103th st, s s, 125 w 1st av, runs east to Roosevelts lane, $x$ southeast along lane to centre of block at point near ist av, $x$ west to point 125 w of 1st av, x north 100.11 to beginning. Johanna wife of and Siegel Bernhard to Philip Bohnet. Jan. 5. 15,000 P9th st, s s, w of 3 d av. Ratification of party wall agreement. Ambrose Snow et al., trus-
tees J. S. Young, to John W. Warner. Jan. 16. 10 th st, No $234 \mathrm{~s}, 385$ e 3 d av $25 \times 110$, fourstory brick dwell'g. John Cullen to Joseph and Augusta Falvella. Mort. $\$ 8,000$. Jan. 21.

3th st, s s, 450 e 8 th av, $25 \times 100.11$, vacant. Benjamin Richards, Jr., to George C. Hollerith. Jan. 20 . s 155 e $3 d$ av $15 \times 100.10$. 13th st, No. $212, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 155 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~d}$ av, $15 \times 100.10$.
Edith V. wife of Christoper M. Beekman to Edith V. wife of Christoper M. Beekman to
Benjamin Waldron. Mort. $\$ 5,000$. Feb. 13 , 1884.

Sme property, Benjamin Waldron to John Sherman. Mort. $\$ 5,000$. Feb. 13, $1884.7,600$ 13 th st, No. $122, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 254.7 e 4 th av, 17.1 x
$100.1^{n}$, three-story frame building $100.1^{\text {n }}$, three-story frame building.
13 th st, No. $212 \mathrm{E} ., \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s},$,155 e 3d av, $15 \times 100.10$, two-story stone front dwell'g.
John Sherman to Louisa wife of Benjamin Waldron. Morts. 89,000. Jan. $19.13,950$ 14 th st , Nos. $164-168, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 220 \mathrm{w}$ 3d av, 50 x
100.10 , three three-story brick dwell'gs. Dudley R. Terrett, Jr., Brooklyn, to Sarah nom 15 th st, No. 116 s s , 130 e 4th av, 25x100.11,
five story brick flat. Frank M. Clemens to five-story brick flat. Frank M. Clemens to Charles schafer and Maria his wife, Astoria, $1.1 ., j o i n t ~ t e n a n t s . ~ Y a v ~ 14.5 \times 100.10$ Agree19 th st, s s, 110 w 2 d av, 14.5x100.10. Agreement to give party second part er ire paid. Susan P. Jordan, Brooklyn, to Anson paid. Susan P. Jordan, Brooklyn, to Anson
1 st st, s s, 300 w 4th av, $5 \times 100.11$, being a portion of No. 52 East 121 st st. Amey R. wife of Frederick Sheldon, Newport, R. 1., to Jomn nom Hughes. Jan. 10. 3 d av, $25 \times 100.11$. William Taylor to s , shn L L. Colby. Agreement as to completion of buildings and ultimate joint ownership. June 5, 1884
22 d st, No. $303, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 80$ e 2 d av, $29.6 \times 100.11$, two-
story frame building. Cornelia B. wife of George S. Drew to Mary E. wife of James T. Barry. Jan. 19.
d st, n s, 109.6 e 2 d av, runs north 9.6 x southwest 12.5 to 122 d st, x east to beginning. Wil1. Same property. Release mort. Margaret M. Dec. 1 . ${ }^{23 d}$ st, No, $130, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 315 e 4th av, $25 \times 100.11$, fiv!story brick flat. Stephen J Wr, Wright to Henry H . Meise. Morts. $\$ 18,000$. See 132 d st. Jan. 12. 103 , 35 4th av $35 \times 10011$, 25,000 story brick flat. Harry Hendrie to George M. Mackellar, Castleton, S. I. Morts. $\$ 41,000$. 3an. 19. 237 and 239, n s, 333.4 e 8th av $33.4 \times 100.11$, two three-story stone front dwell'gs. Charles A. Peabody, Jr., to William Mulry. Q. C. July 15.
24 th st, No. 342, s s, 226.6 w 1st av, $18 \times 100.11$,
three-story stone front dwell'g. Clarkson Crolius to George W. McCormick. Jan. Crol
15.
2 th th st, No. 138 , s s, 350 e 7th av, $25 \times 1009,00$ four-story stone front flat. Joseph E. McCor mack to John J. Hughes, Brooklyn. Morts. \$19,600. Jan. 15. nom 32 d st, No. $259, \mathrm{n}$ s, 253 e 8 th av, 16 x 99.11 , three-story stone front dwell'g. Henry $H$. Meise to Stephen J. Wright. Mort. $\$ 8,500$. See 123 d st. Jan. 15. $50 \times 99.11$, three threest, n s, 23.5 w dwell'gs. Forecios. Joseph Koch to Philin Bohnet. April 7, 1884. 5,30 135 th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 235 \mathrm{w} 5$ th av, $33.4 \times 99.11$, two threestory brick (stone front) dwellgs. Philip bohnet to Rachel Bernhard. Jan. 15 . 33.4 x 99.11. Release judgment. Johanna Bernhard to Rachel Bernhard. Jan. 17.
Oth st, s s, 100 w Audubon av, 25x95. Mat Brewster, Plainfield, N. J., to Fran
White. Nov, 17.

Greenwich av, e s, 229.2 s 10th st, runs north east 211.8 to centre of 10 th st on map No. 2 of John Rogers' lands, x northwest along said centre line 34.5 x southwest 191.3 to avenue, X southeast Charles Park to John M. Tilford, White Plains. Q. C. All title. Mar. 1, 1884. non George W, Tubbs to Mitchell A. C. Sub. to morts. Jan. 13.
68.9 thrav, No. 714 , w s, 60.5 s 58 th st, 20 x 68.9 , three-story stone front dwell'g. Charles Jan. 20.
exington av, No. 1348, s w cor 90th st, 20.4 x 81, four-story brick dwell'g. Eliza wife of and Frederick Aldhous to Joseph A. Mc Laughlin. Mort. $\$ 16,200$. Jan. 20.
Lexington av, n e cor 106 th st, $20 \times 82.9$.
Lexington av, s e cor 107 th st, $20 \times 82.9$ Release mort. Eugene Kelly to John David son, Elizabeth, N. J. Jan. 17.
exington av, No. 1703 , e s, 50.11 n 107 th st, $16.8 \times 65$, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos. Richard M. Henry to Rebecca E. Wil-
liams and ano., exrs. Francis B. Willians. Lrc. 17 .
Lexington av, No. 1729 , e s, 67.7 n 108 th st, 16.8 x65, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos. Frank A. Ransom to The University of Rochester, Rochester, N. Y. Jan. $20 . \quad 5,000$
Lexington av, Ns+1731, e s, 84.3 n 108th st, 16.8 $\mathbf{x} 65$, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos. Frank A. Ransom to The University of
Rochester, Rochester, N. Y. Jan. 20. $6,00{ }^{2}$ Rochester, Rochester, N. Y. Jan. 20.
exington av, No. 1707, e s, 84.3 n 107 th st, 16.8 x65, four-story stone front dwell'g. Foreclos Same to same. Jan. 20
Madison av, No. 178 , w s, 84 n 33 d st, $24.8 \times 95$,
four-story stone front dwell'g. four-story stone front dwell'g. Ellie R. wife of and William F. Morgan to Margaret T. wife of Edward L. Ludlow. Dec. 19
ermilyea av, n s, 100 w Isham st, $75 \times 125$.
Isidor Hymes to Bennett Hymes Isidor Hymes to Bennett Hymes. $1 / 8$ part.
All liens. July 28.
st av, No. 799 , w s, 41.4 s 45 th st, $19.8 \times 70$, five-
story brick dwell'g. Theodore M. Lilienthal to Abraham Vanderbeck. Jan. 14. 12,000
2 d av, No. 798 e s, 60.5 s 43 d st, 20 x 81 , four story stone front dwell'g. Rachel Greenbaum wife of Louis to Wilhelm Schroeder and Henriett av, No. 1634, e s, 50 s 85th st, $25 \times 88$, 14,00 story stone front flat. Peter Freitag to George C. Pfaff. Jan. 8.

2 d av, s e cor 84th st, $51 \times 100$
84th st, n s, 100 e 2 d av, $500 \times 100.2$.
Jane A. Colwell, widow, and devisee W. H Colwell to Eva wife of George Muller. Contract. Jan. 17.
d av, n w cor 99 th st, $75.9 \times 105$. Charles A. and William L. Dean, individ. and exrs, Margaret Dean, to Smith Ely, Jr. Q. C. Oct. 30, 1880.
100, two five-story flats, w s, 42 s 99 th st, 56 x Joseph Blumenthal. Q. C. Jan. 12
2 av , No. 2074 , e s, 50.4 s 107 th st, $25 \times 99.1 \times 25$ x99.2, four-story frame building. Katie wife of George H. Benner to Ferdinand R. Minrath. Q. C. Jan. 16.
two-story frame building st, $24.2 \times 85$ two-story
George W. Pell to Peter Miller, Jr. Jan. 17.
d av, Nos. 798 to $806, \mathrm{n}$ w cor 49 th st, The 19,000 ington Flats, five five-story brick flats. Con tract. William Taylor to Wolf Scherick. Jan. 12.
d av, No. 1686 , w s, 75.8 s 95 th st, $50 \times 100$, fivestory brick flat. Levi Jacobs to Minna Lissner. Morts. \$18,000. Jan. 15 .
x100.
100 th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 100 \mathrm{w} 3 \mathrm{~d}$ av, $25 \times 100.11$.
101 st st, s s, 100 w 3 d av, $25 \times 100.11$. Vacant.
William Henderson to Whitfield Terriberry.
Morts. $\$ 106,000$ Morts. $\$ 106,000$. Jan. 3. 107,00 th av, w s, 84 s 75th st, $18.2 \times 70$, three-story
brick dwell'g. Jakob Keller to Edward J Blesson. Mort. $\$ 20,000$. Feb. 20.
th av, e s, 50.5 s 121 st st, $25.3 \times 90$, vacant Isaac L. and Wm. W. Kip,
to Henry Gieschen. Jan.
to Henry Gieschen. Jan. 21.
5 th av, Nos. 35 and $37, \mathrm{n}$ e cor 10 th st, $80.85,000$ six-story brick apartment house. Foreclos Hoffmas Miller to The Mutual Life Ins, Co. Jan. 15
th av, No. 398, w s, 76.11 n 36 th st, runs north 21.10 x weast 125 , four-story stone front dwell'g with extensions. Partition. Richard $M$. Harison to John T. Hall, Catharine T Schieffelin and Margaret T. Ludlow. Dec.
18. 133,500 mort. The Emigrant Industrial Savings Bank to Maria T. McCormick. Jan. 17. nom hav, No. 257, w s, 65.10 n 25th st, $16.5 \times 100$, four-story brick building, with store. PartiJan. 5.
th av, No. 305 , w s, $593 \mathrm{~s} \approx 8$ th st, $19.9 \times 64$, fourstory brick store and tenem't. Foreclos. Wiliam Sinclair to Peter Dohm. Nov. 22, 8,000 Reisberger. Nov. 28.
9th av, No. 334 , e s, 20.9 n 29 th st, $21.1 \times 70$, four story brick dwell'g. Margaret J. Beaver widow, Life estate, July 28. In considerar
tion of the satisfaction of taxes, assessments, expenses incurred in repairs, and also monthly payment to grantor of
Henry D Slark s. $25.3 \times 100$, vacant. Henry D. Clark to Philander Palmer, North Salem, N. Y. C. a. G. Dec. 19.
0 th av, Nos. 249 and $21, \mathrm{~s}, 39.6 \mathrm{~s} 25$ th st, 5 th st, s s, 75 w 10 th av, 25 x 79
25th st, No. 504 , n s, 100 w 10 th av, $50 \times 98.9$ frame building, with all title in the brewing business.
William A. Ferris to Henry J. and Charles W. Ferris. $1 / 3$ part. Sub to two legacies of $\$ 16,000$ each. Jan, 17 .
0th av, e s, 50.5 s 68 th st, $50 \times 100$, one-story frame factory. George H. Favre, Mary wife of Herman Hetzer, and Mary A. wife of Martin Edinger, and Victoire F. Favre, widow, and children of Theodore Favre, to James Linden. Mort. $\$ 7,000$. Jan. 14. 10,500 1 th av, es, 50 s 74 th st, $50 \times 100$, vacant. James F: Murphy to Jacob Lawson, Brooklyn.
Mort. $\$ 4,500$. Jan. 15 . Wharfage rights Hudson River, extdg south 50 from s s of Hubert st. John L. Brower and ano., exrs. and trustees J. L. Brower and said John L. Brower and Elizabeth M. T., Abraham T. H., Ogden, Charles De H. and Florence Brower, and Effie A. Brown, to the Mayor, \&c., New York. C. a. G. Dec. 13.
Interior lot, 153.2 n 80th st and 100 w 9 th av, runs north abt $22.3 \times$ west $31.7 \times$ south abt 26 x east 30.7. Caroline A. wife of and John F. Suydam to Eli Martin, Brooklyn. Sept. 15.

## MISCELLANEOUS.

Assignment of judgment. Louis Waefelaer and George A. Wood to Johanna Bernhard. See 135th st. Jan. 10.
Bond of Charles Weisenstein to Clara Schele, as extrx. of Marie Huebner, as to guardianship of Maria W eisenstein
Certificate of election of Ferdinand T. Hopkins, Thomas Edwards, Elijah N. Williams, Frederick E. Barnes and Gideon S. Palmer, as trustees of The Madison Avenue Congregational Church.
Exemplified copy of the last will and testament of John Duncan, dec'd., with proofs, \&c.
Exemplified copy of the last will and testament of James W orster, dec'd, with proofs, \&c.
General release, more especially as to mortgage for $\$ 2,300$. Frederick $H$. Wiggin and ano., exrs. Elizabeth S. B. M. Fleming, to Victoire F. Favre, widow, et al. Jan. 15.

General assignment for benefit of creditors. John A. Cisco and Frederick W. Foote, of John J. Cisco \& Son, to Lewis May. Jan. 15 . nom General assignment for benefit of creditors. Charles P. Burdett and Samuel G. Pond, of Burdett \& Pond, to Henry M. Burdett. Sept.

## 23d and 24th WARDS.

Bayard st, s s, 100 w Lorillard st, $100 \times 313$ to Jacobst. Richard W. Robinson, Brooklyn, to Charles Burden. All liens. Dec. 9. nom Bristow st, w s, 215 s Jennings st, 20x100. God-
frey Isaacs to Harvey S. Ferry. Jan. 16. Hall pl, e s, 163.1 s 167 th st, runs east 51.7 x again east 48 to Intervale av, x 28 west 56.7 x
west 52.6 to Hall pl, x north 30 . Harvey S Ferry to Rosa Isaacs. Jan. 16
Mott st, s s, 99 e College pl, 25x100. James A. Webb, Madison, N. J., to Roderick Boland. Jan. 9.
Williamsbridge road, w s, 100.4 n Rockfield st $25.1 \times 105.2 \times 25 \times 107.2$. William S ., Charles W. and George F. Opdyke and William Peet, assignee G. F. Opdyke, to Elizabeth wife of John Cully, Jersey City. Jan. 15.
41st st, n s, 909.9 e Willis av, runs east to Brook av, x north to 148 d st, x w 6 ot 90 x south 100 x west to a point 750 e of Willis av and 123 n 141 st st, $x$ south $23 \times$ east 159.9 x south 100. Herman Stursberg, Richmond Co., to William O'Gorman. Jan. 16.
155 th st, s s, 500 e Courtland av, $50 \times 100$. John and William Hall, exrs. Geo. Hall, dec'd, to Wilhelm Conrad and Dina his wife, joint tenants. Jan. 15.
Boston av, n w s, part of sub division No 2 of lot 114 map Morrisaina, $67.3 x-$, h. \& 1 . Joseph Millett, Fairhaven, Mass., to Charles S. Simpson. Dec. 2.
Courtland av, w s, 121.10 s 157 th st, $21.10 \times 100 \mathrm{x}$ $22.10 \times 100$. Mary E. Crow to Bertha wife of Joseph Harrison. Jan. 6.
College av, se s, 25 n e Lowell st, $25 \times 100$. Phillip Schlosser to Margaret J. Goeller. Q. Macomb av,
Macomb av, e s, adj land of Carley, $50 \times 227$ to the New York \& Harlem Railroad, x51.1x Phillips and Catharine H. Norris. $1 / / 3$ share each, as tenants in
Morris av $s$ e cor 160th st, $195 \times 100$. Tomes Farmer, Cleveland Ohio, to Francis Mackin, Newark, N. J. Mort. $\$ 6,000$ int. May 15 , 1884 ; also taxes, \&c., 3 years. Jan. $3.16,500$ River av, as proposed, $n$ e cor 149 th st, runs north 350 to 150 th st, $x$ east 100 x south 324 to 149th st, x west 101.4. Release mort. Fordham Morris, trustee Julia F, Morris, to Henry L. Morris. Jan. 19.

Same property. Release mort. Fordham Morris, trustee for Julia F. Morris, to same.
Jan. 19.

River av, n e cor 149 th st, $58.4 \times 100 \times 41.7 \times 101.4$
Henry L. Morris to Franz Markgraf. Jan Henry L. Morris to Franz Markgraf. Jan
19 19.
River River av, n e cor 149th st. Agreement to build factory. Franz Markgraf with Henry L.
Morris. Jan. 19. Robbins av, e s, 325 s 147 th st, $25 \times 100$. Nicholas Buhr to Henry Siegenthaler and Cath Seaman av, n e cor Bolton road, 138.8 x 81.10 x northeast $75.10 \times$ northwest 93.9 to Prescott av, x southwest 75 x southeast 82.2 x southKingsbridge road, w s, lot 1 map of Mary Kingsbridge road, w s, lot 1 map of Mary Also lot 3 same map, on $n$ s proposed road, at Also lot 3 same map, on $n$ s proposed
Also lot 4 same map, on proposed road, adj above, $246-100$ acres.
Also lot 5 same map, same road adj above, 2 43-100 acres.
Also lot 7 same map, on n s said road, 968 w of road from Williamsbridge to Mile Square, $220 \times 100$ acres.
Also lot 6 same map, on n s proposed road, Square, 2 40-100 acres.
Also lot 8 same map, on $n$ s proposed road, 768 w of Williamsbridge to Mile Square road, 177-100 acres.
Lot 10 same map, Moholu av, s w cor road
from Williamsbridge to Mile Square, $198-100$ acres.
Lot 11 same map, on n w cor said proposed road, and road from Williamsbridge to Mile Square, $230-100$ acres.
Also lot 15 same map, on s s of proposed road, 600 w Williamsbridge to Mile Square road, $175-100$ acres.
Lot 16 same map, on s s proposed road, 800 w Williamsbridge to Mile Square road, 176-100 Lot 17 same map, on s s proposed road, $1,000 \mathrm{w}$ road from Williamsbridge to Mile Square, 1 77-100 acres.
Lot 20 same map, on s s proposed road, 1600 w of Wiliamsbridge to Mile Square road, 180-100 acres.
Lot 21 same map, at s e cor proposed road and Croton Aqueduct, 1 92-100 acres.
Lot 22 same map, at s e cor proposed road
and the Kingsbridge road, $240-100$ acres and the Kingsbridge road, $240-100$ acres. Opdyke
154.7.

| 154.7 . |
| :--- |
| Willard av, $n ~ s, ~$ |

Willard av, n s, 150 e 2 d st, $75 \times 100$.
Clinton av, n s, 135.4 e Bronx River road, 88.6 to Bronx River, x - to Willard av, x125.10 x200
Clinton av, $n$ e cor Bronx River road, $85.4 x$ 200 to Willard av, x 12 to Bronx River road, x213.
Clinton av, n w cor Bronx River road, $29.4 x$ north 100 x west 100 x north 100 to Willard av, x east 100 x south 10
Bronx River road, w s, 53.3 n Willard av, 53.3 Bronx River road, w s, 53.3 n Willard av, 53.3 x 282.9 x south 100 to x .
Opdyke av, ne cor Bronx River road, 274.11x - along Bronx River, x205.9 x north along Bronx River 149.6
Opdyke av, n w cor Bronx River road, 37.11x Also all title in
iso all title in lands laid down on maps as 1st and $2 d$ sts, Grand av, Clinton av, Wil lso land upder water Bronx River Marion av, es, 25.1 n Gambril st
98.9 x south 25 to Gambril st, $x$ east 50 x north 100 x east 100 x south 100 to Gambril st, x east 50 x north 100 x east 75 x south 100 to Gambril st, $x$ east 50 x north 100 x east 50 x south 100 to Gambril st, $x$ east 25 x north 100 x east 110 x south 100 to Gambril st, x east 15 x north 100 x east 25 x south 100 to Gambril st, $x$ east 25 x north 200 to Summit st, $x$ east 150 x south 100 x east 25 x north 100 thwest $169.1 \times$ west cross ing Gambril st, $520 \times$ north 47.9 to Gambril st, $\mathbf{x}$ west 75 x south 73.1 x west 26.3 x north 81.6 to Gambril st, $x$ west 25 x south 90 x west $52.5 \times$ north 106.11 to Gambril st, $X$ west 25 x south 115.4 x west 26.3 x north 123.9 to Gambril st, $x$ west $75.2 \times$ south 15.8 x west 78.10 to Marion
crossing Gambril st 125.10.
Briggs av, e s, 123.8 n Gambril st, $62.5 \times 41.10 \mathrm{x}$ Marion av, s e cor Summit st, runs south 25.1 x east 116.4 x south 25 x west 114.3 to Marion av, x south 25.1 x east 112.3 x south 25 x east 50 x north to Summit $\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{x}$ east 25 x south 100 x east 100 x north 100 to Summit st, $x$ east 25 x south 100 x east 69.7 x north
100 to Summit st, $x$ east 50 x south 100 x 100 to Summit st, $x$ east 50 x south 100 x east 135 x north and crossing st 150 to $\mathrm{n} s$ of
Summit st, $x$ west 575 to Marion av, x south Summit st, $x$ west 575 to Marion av, x south
along av 50 to s e cor Marion av and Sumalong av 50 to se cor Marion
mit st and place of beginning
Marion av, s e cor Potter pl, runs east 566.4 x Marion av, s e cor Potter pi, runs east 43.3 to Potter
south 43.1 x east 50 x north 4. $\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{x}$ east 250 x south 43.9 x east 100 x north 3.10 x east along Potter p 163.10 to centre old Williamsbridge road, $x$ south along road south 100 to Rockfield st, $x$ west 75 x north 100 x west 50 x south 100 to Rockfield st, x West 25 x north 100 x west 25 x south 100 to 50 x south 126.10 to Rockfield st, x west 75 x north 100 x west $75 \times$ south 100 to Rockfield st, $x$ west 200 x north 100 x west 25 x south 100 to Rookfield st, $x$ west 75 x north 127.8
x west 50 x south 127.9 to Rockfield st, x
west 50 to Marion av, x north 200 to beginning.
Summit st, n s, 275 e Marion av, 50x100.
Rockfield st, $\mathrm{s}, 476.4 \mathrm{e}$ Marion av, runs south 100 x east $25 \times$ north 100 to Rockfield st, x east $25 \times$ south $100 \times$ east $25 \times$ north 100 to
Rockfield st, x west 75 . Rockfield st, x west 75
Also all title to streets laid down in new map of New York City Private Park, as Potter pl, Rockfield st, Summit st, Gambril st, Marion av and Briggs av, from land formerly of W.
Briggs north to centre of Williamsbridge Briggs
Also land in said road opposite lands on said map.
Also all lands laid down upon map of proper ty of Geo. F. and Henry B. Opdyke, adj.
New York City Private Park in 24th Ward, New York City Private Park in $24 t h$, ard,
bounded as follows: Southerly by ss of Potbounded as follows: Southerly by ss of Pot-
ter pl, east by lands of J. J. and F. G. Potter pl, east by lands of J. J. and F. G. Potter, north by van courtland av and west
by a line extdg from Van Courtland by a line extdg from av southerly to a point on s of Potter pl av southerly to a point on n s of Potter pl
at point 144.9 e of Anthony av, thence across Potter pl to s s of said pl , excepting across Potter pl to s s of said pl, excepting
thereout lots $416,418,419,423,423,436$ to 445 inclus., $448,469,470,514,515,523,527$ to 531 inclus., 543, to 548 inclus. $559,560,677$ and 631 inclus., 543 to 548 ind 25 feet off rears. $466,471,42$.
Emeline O. Strobell, widow, and William S Emeline O. Strobell, widow, and William B. Opdyke, of New Jersey, to George W. FarB.e. $4-6$ parts. Jan 1 . Same property, George W. Farlee to William S. and Chas. W. Opkyke. 5 -6 parts. Jan. 2. nom same All title. Jan.
Tinton av, se s, 125 s w Uncas st, $25 \times 105$.
Wiiliam Vaughan to John Cahill. Jan. 13. 510
Tinton av, n e s, 154.6 se 173 d st, $26.7 \mathrm{x} 135, \mathrm{~h} \&$ merly Decker, to John W. Decker. Jan. 3. nom Same property. John W. Decker to Friedrich Breitenbach and Leopoldine his wife. Mort. 81,850. Jan. 22.
Tinton av, nes, 2076 s e 163 d st, $0.3 \times 135$ Cyrus Lawton, New Rochelle, to same. Q. C. Jan. 20.
inton av, e s, 181.4 s 163 d st, $2.4 \times 135$. Newbury D. Lawton, New Rochelle, to same. Jan. 17.
Tinton av, $n$ e s, 207.7 s e 163 d st, $2.4 \times 135$. Friedrich Breitenbach and Agnes Hoffmann, formerly Decker, to Newbury D. Lawton. Q C. Jan. 17.

Washington av, westerly cor Clay av, 100 x 100 . Partition. Charles P. Latting to Harriet M. wife of Orin S. Vredenburg. Jan. $5 . \quad 550$ of Hannah E. Northup property, 248.6 to A Anderson, $\times 200 \times 200 \times 200$ to A. Northup's land, x 218.6 to J. Devoe, x124.3x80.1x97.11x102. 7 x 200.6. Isidor Hymes to Bennett Hyn.es. 1/3 part. All liens. July 28, 1883.

## LEASEHOLD CONVEYANCES.

Ann st, No. 61 Assign. lease.
to Philip and William Ebling to Philip and William Ebling B. Turner, trustee for wife and children Bedlow, to Abraham Cohn. 21 years, from Nov. 1, 1876, per year,
Rutgers pl, No. 28. Assign. lease. Albert
Ranken to Sophia Peter Ranken to Sophia Peters.
21 st st, s s, 220 w 10th av. Consent to assign. lease. General Theological Seminary Protes tant Episcopal Church U. S., in New York, to
Calvin Oakes. Calvin Oakes.
1st st, s s, 225 w 10th av, 25x91.11. Calvin Oakes to Calvin Oakes and Thomas J. Alden,
of Oakes \& Alden. Assign. lease. nom of Oakes \& Alden. Assign. lease 1st st, n s, 175 w 1st av, $25 \times 100$. Assign. lease. Isaac and Michael Edesheimer, Clara wife of Lehman Levy, and Emma wife of Henry Dahlman to James Moran and Margaret his
8,000
wife. wife.
d av, No. 642, Assign. lease. Thomas McLaughlin to Flanagan, Nay \& Co. nom lease. Lydia A. Waldron to Abraham B. Cox et al., exrs. A. B. Cox. 3 d av, s w cor 64th st, $50.5 x 100$. Abraham B. dron 201 years from Nov 1884 pe year. For 6 months per year $\$ 1,250$ and then from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 2,250$
3 d av, e s, 125.6 n 19 th st, 19.6 x 70 . Rutherford Stuyvesant to Sarah A. Sibell, widow; 21 years, from
Same property. Assign. lease, Sarah A. Sibell,
widow, to Lewis Myers.
5 th av, w s, 25.5 n 47 th st, $25 \times 100$ Consent to assign. lease. The Trustees of Columbia College, City New York, to Cyrus A. Healy, exr. J. A. Livingston.

5 th av, w s, 25.5 n 47 th st, $25 \times 100$. Assign. lease. Cyrus A. Healy, exr. J. A. Livingston, to
Jeanneite P. Goin.

## KINGS COLNTY.

January $16,17,19,20,21,22$
Adams st, $\mathrm{n} w \mathrm{~s}, 285 \mathrm{n}$ e Broadway, $20 \mathrm{x} 95, \mathrm{~h}$ \& 1. Paul Koch to Robert Schulz,
Adams st
$\mathrm{s}, 726.1 \mathrm{w}$ Coney Island plank road, $50 \times 103$.3x50x103, Flatbush. Herman L. Sanders to Thomas H. Maher.
Adams st, se s, 375 n e Broadway, $25 \times 100$. August Grill to Leonhard Eppig.
Barbey st, w s, 150 n South Carolina av, 50x100, East New York. John Tierney to John Fensch.

Eugene C. Mulhern to Ellen A. Mulhern. Mort. $\$ 2,000$.
Butler st, s s, 169.10 e Bedford av, 20x127.9. Butler st, s s, 169.10 e Bedford av, $20 \times 127.9$.
Foreclos. Foreclos.
Moodey Moodey.
Butler st, s s, 89.10 e Bedford av, $80 \times 127$,
Foreclos. Same to same. Foreclos. Same to same.
Bainbridge st, 99 w Lewis av, $1 \times 100$. Frank Bainbridge st, 99 w Lewis ashild.
M. Ti ihenor to John C. Bushfield. nom M. Ti shenor to John C. Bushfield

Thomas Dodman to Henrietta wife of John G. Tameling.
Same property. Release mort. Lewis Morris to same.
Same property. Release mort. William Volckens to sam
Same property. Release mort. Hemrietta M.
wife of John $G$. Tameling to Frank M. wife of John G. Tameling to Frank M. Tichenor.
Bainbridge st, n s, 80 w Lewis av, $20 \mathrm{x} 100, \mathrm{~h}$ \& Mary Moore, to Thomas M. Dodman. Q. C. Correction deed. 275 no Bainbridge st, n s, 275 e Patchen av, 20x 100 his wife, joint tenants. Broadway, $n$ e s, 60 n w Van Buren st, 20x 90 , Caroline Skillman to Abraham C. Beardsley. Mort. $\$ 1,480$, and assm't. $\quad 2,40$ 162 1 edr143 3 . New Utrecht Mary M Vanden Heuvel, widow, New York to Caroline J. Stark, Dunbarton, N. H. C. a. G. nom Cook st, n s, 215.1 w Bogart st, $0.3 \times 100$. George Loffler to Valentin Weisensee.
Cook st, n s, 150 e White st, $25 \times 100$. Anton Fluegel to Edward Karutz.
Clark st, n s, 100 e Hicks st, $25 \times 100$. Release mort. John A. Lattimer and ano., exrs. H. Webster, to Henry W. T. Mali.
Clark st, s w s, 200 n w Stewart av, 50x100, Fort Hamilton. George S. Gelston to John McCarthy.
Same property. Release mort. Nina A. Meinell to George S. Gelston.
Clark st, sw s, 250 n w Stewart av $25 \times 100$, New Utrecht. George E. Nostrand to Edward H. and Ida E. Ford. Release mort
ame property. Edward H. Ford and Ida E. his wife to John McCarthy and Margaret his wife.
Clifton pl, s s, 581.4 w Nostrand av, $18.8 \times 100$. Release mort. Spencer Aldrich to William
Clifton pl, s s, 320 w Nostrand av, $18.8 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \&$
William Curry to Carrie M. Wyburn. Morts. $\$ 5,000$.
Clifton pl, s s, 525.4 w Nostrand av, $18.8 \times 100$.
Clifton pl s s 562.8 w Nostrand av $18.8 \times 100$. William Andrews to George M. Eddy. Morts. $\$ 11,000$.

15,500
Cornelia st, w s, 100 s Evergreen av, $75 \times 100$.
Adrian M. Suydam to Josephine W yant Adrian M. Suydam to Josephine W yant. 1,300 Same property. Josephine Wyant to Frank Clinton st, e s, 220.2 s Harrison st, runs south $4.5 \times$ east $105 \times$ north $20 \times$ east $7.6 \times$ north 20 x west 112.7. Divine Burtis, Jr., to Mary E. wife of Charles Perret. Mort. $\$ 15,000.25,000$ Columbia Heights, es, 125 n Pierrepont st, 25 x 100, h \& 1. Mary R. wife of and Nathaniel Columbia pl, s w cor state st, $45 \times 75$, hs \& ls. Morris Tuska, New York, to Sigismund B. Wortmann. C. a. G.
Columbia Heights, s e cor Middagh st, $25.6 \times 20$. A. Augustus Healy to Aaron Healy.

Columbia pl, s w cor State st, $45 \times 75, \mathrm{~h}$ \& 1 Foreclos. Lewis R. Stegman to Morris Tuska.
Dean $\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}$,100 w Stone av, $175 \times 107.2$. Jacob W. Erreger to Catherine Molloy. $\quad 2,500$ Ellery st, n s, 390 e Nostrand av, 60 x 100 . Theodore F. Jackson, trustee L. Wood, to Charlotte M. Goodwin. 1/2 part. 1,125 Elderts lane, w s, 100 n Union av, 50 x 102 x 50 x
$104 \mathrm{~h} \& \mathrm{l}$, New Lots. Anna E. wife of Alex 104, $\mathrm{h} \& \mathrm{\&}$, New Lots. Anna E. wife of Alex Andrews
Floyd st, n s, 168.9 e Tompkins av, 18.9x100. Matilda C. Bull, extrx. W. G. Bull, to Hetty B. Beatty, Morristown, N. J

Fulton st, se cor Boerum pl, 51.7x81x53x83.2,
h \& 1. The Long Island Saving h \& 1. The Long Island Savings Bank to William J. Sayres. Morts. and interest Same property. Mortimer C. Ogden, vice-president, to same. Morts. $\$ 83,740$.
George st, n s, 229.6 e Evergreen av, runs north 3.8 x southeast Mj. 10 George st, x 125.7 Mary wife of to Eibe D. Cordts. C. a. G.
Garden st, s w s, abt 515 s e Flushing av, 40x 100. The Williamsburgh Savings Bank to Gold st, e s, 125.9 s Concord st, $21.3 \times 82.10 \times 21.3$ x84.3. Howard J. Forker to John Allen, West Brighton, S. I. Foreclos.
Gerry st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 150 \mathrm{w}$ Harrison av, $25 \times 100$, Fernschild Fernschild.
Hawthorne st, s s, 2,879.4 e Flatbush av, 50x106. Flatbush. Frances H. wife of and Robert S,
Hancock st, $\mathbf{n ~ s , ~} 270$ e Bedford av, $20 \times 100$ Beatson J. Bell to George W. Hunt. nom Same property. George W. Hunt to Catharine A. wife of Beatson A. Bell. nom

Hancock st, s s, 100 w Tompkins av, $18.9 \times 100$. Joshua M. Brush to Benjamin Armstrong. C. a. M.

Henry st, e s, adj land of grantee, Coney P. Stockwell.

Herkimer st, n s, 80 e Brooklyn av, 20x100. $\$ 575$. $\$ 575$. property. John E. Malone to Annie wife of Edward T. Rutan. Mort. $\$ 575$. nom Herkimer st, n s, 265 e Utica av, $20 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \& 1$.
George M. Dailey to Rogene Vincent. Mort. George M. Dailey to Rogene Vincent. Mort.
81,800 .
Herkimer st, s e cor Buffalo av, $18 x 90$, h \& 1.
George R. Waldron to A Hewes st, n s, 148 e W ytheav, $196 \times 100$. Charles H. Bradford and Clara E. wife of Henry A. H. Bradford and Clara E. wife of Henry A. Hope st, late North 1st st, n s, 125 e 9th st, 25 x $165 \times 28 \times 100$. William Johnson to Ann Quinn. 1/2 part.
Hope st, late North 1 st st, n s, 75 w 8 th st, $25 x$ Hoyt st, e s, 60 s President st, 20x68. Maria M. Mundy, Newtown, Mass., to David Stirling Hooper itgaret his wife. Mort. $\$ 3,000$. 4,0 Hoop x west 0.6 x south 49.9 x west 22.4 x north 100 to Hooper st, x east 22.10 . David Levy to Frederick Fitter. 10,000 Irving pl , w s, 375 s Gates av, $-\times 101 \times 25 \times 101$. Owen Malady to Winifred Malady. FLum Same property. Winifred Malady to Bridget wife of Owen Malady. non Keap st, s s, 345 e Marcy av, 20x100. Mary A. wife of John Hanna to Ann M. Smith, widow. All liens.
Same property. Ann M. Smith, widow, to John Hanna. All liens. $5.5 \times 100$. John Leonard st, $\mathbf{n}$ w cor Devoe st, C. a. G part. Sub. to mort. $\$ 6,000$.
Same property. John C. Anderson to George F. P. Blatt. C. a. G. $1 / 6$ part. Sub. to mort. $\$ 6,000$.
Livingston st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 42 \mathrm{w}$ Nevins st, $21.9 \times 90, \mathrm{~h}$ \& 1. Max Rudiger to Clement F. Taylor. 8,750 C. Rudiger. Clement F. Taylor to Ama, 8,75

Lynch st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 287.8$ e Lee av, $18.6 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \& 1$. Margaret wife of and Nicholas Mulvihill to Maujer st, s s, 225 e Waterbury st, 25x95, h \& 1. Margaret Buchheit, widow, and Julia King, only child and heir at law of John Buchheit or Buchhaet, to Henry Muller and Elizabeth his wife, joint tenants. Eliza, heir Franz Schaefer to Eva Kellner, widow. C. a. G
Same property. Eva Kellner, widow of C. Kellner, and formerly widow of Franz Schaefer, to Edward F. Holtz.
Macon st, s e cor Marcy av, $16.8 \times 100$, h \& 1. Mathilde Morehouse to William Ziegler. 8,000 Macon st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}$,165 w Tompkins av, $20 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \&$
Walter H. Paffard to Chauncey M. Wright.

4,85 Daniel T. Hoag, exr. T. Allen to Fannie W. wife of John Oakley. All liens.
Same property. George R. Willy W. and
Tristram B. Allen, heirs T. Allen, to same. Tristram B. Allen, heirs T. Allen, to same. 1883.

McDonough st, n s, 320 w Saratoga av, $40 \times 100$.
Richard $W$. Hedger to George Covert. exch Noble st, s s, 170 e Franklin st, $25 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \& \mathrm{l}$. William Stringham to Hemry S. Reynolds, 6,000 Noble st, n s, 120 e Franklin st, $25 \times 100$. Joseph H. Willmott, heir J. Willmott, to Nathaniel Roe. 1-9 part.
Same property. Alfred F. Wilmott, Annie wife of William Dunn, and Loui e F. wife of Charles B. Young to same. 4-9 part. 84 Same property. Release mort. Mary J. Willmott to same.
Same property. Mary A., Catharine T. and Sarah J. Willmott, and Thomas E. and William J. Willmott, by J. C. Provost, guard., to same.
Navy st, e s, 118 n Fulton st, $20 \times 100.6$, h \& 1. Bessie C. Shaw to Adora Dauber. Q. C. nom Poplar st, e s, 175 s Liberty av, $25 \times 100$, New
Lots. Joseph Casey to Walter E. Smith. Prospect pl, n s, 64 e Carleton av, $21 \times 95$. Thomas G., George H., William H. and John M. Townsend, Elizabeth $H$. wife of $B$. $H$ Seaman, Mary J. wife of Talentine Downing H Townsend to J. Tan W. H. Townsend, to Jean . Townsend, widow, no Pacific st, s w s, 247 s e Clason av. 39x110 O'Connor. Mort. $\$ 2,800$. Seed to Agnes A. 4,700 Pacific st, s , 478.3 w Nostrand av, $25.4 \times 116.11$. Pacific st, s s, 478.3 w Nostrand av, $25.4 \times 116.11$.
Pacific st, s s, 445.4 w Nostrand av, 32.11 x
$16.11 \times 7.1 \times 114$.
Contract Margaret M. Casler, widow, to Ida M. wife of William H. Addoms.
Pacific st. Party wall agreeement. James F Whitney with Charles S. Whitney Pacific st, s w cor 6th av, $100 \times 82.6$.
Atlantic av, s s, 225 n Carlton av, runs west 150 x south 100 a cific st, $x$ east 127.3 to centre old Willink st, x northeast 46.9 to centre late Vasquez st, $x$ northwest 34.10 to point 205
Pacific st, $n$ e cor 6 th av, runs north 143.3 to centre of old Jamaica Pike, x northeast 123.2 x south 109.5 to centre Parmentier av, x morthwest 43.5 x southwest 112.8 to Pacific t, x west 42.6 .
Joseph Husson, Westchester, to Joseph Husson, Jr.

50,00
215 w Clinton st, $25 \times 100$ John
M. C. Frolich, Tenafly, N. J., William A Platenius and John P. Nog, Gerny, and Auw wife of John M.

Rapelye st, es, 725 n 4 th st, 25 x 300 , to Chestnut st, East New York. Frederick Coff to Anne wife of George W. Quidor.
Rutledge st, se s, 175 n e Bedford av, $25 \times 100, \mathrm{~h}$ \& 1. Emma $F$. wife of and Edward C. Moffat and William F. Fraser to Susan Sharot.
 Louis Bossert is Jo Jacob Bossert and John Auer.
Ryerson st, w s, 504.5 n Myrtle av, 20x100, ${ }^{1,700}$ Catherine wife of Charles R. Badeau to Lydia C. wife of Edward T. Forman.
Rush st, n w s, 40 n e Wythe av, $20 \mathrm{x}-$. Hiram W. Cable, individ. and as exr. and trustee $S$, P . Cable, to Sarah J. wife of Samuel M. ${ }_{6,000}$ Witing. Whiting.
Sackett st, n s, 150 e Smith st, $16.8 \times 100$. John Layton to William H. Grigg. M. 84,000 . 6,000 Sackett st, n s, 100 e Smith st, $16.8 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \& 1$. John Layton to John F. Schmadeke. Mort.
$\$ 4,000$ $\$ 4,000$.
Jommit st, sw s, 53.6 s e Hicks st, $18 x 75$, h \& 1 . John O. Schwing, Louisville, Ky., to Ann
Schenck st, w s, 209.9 s De Kalb av, $40.3 \times 100$.
Nathan B. Morse to Daniel R. Schenck and ano., exrs. D. Remsen. July 1, 1876. Samuel G. McPherson to Mary A. G. and Emily M. McPherson.
Schermerhorn st, n s, 26.5 e Boerum st, 20x74. chermertore to north, n s, land
Moses L. Scudder, Glen Cov
ander McCue. Mort. $\$ 6,000$.
Cove, L
000 ,
Bond st,
I., to Al ander Mccue. Mort. $\$ 6,000$. $\quad 9,000$ 85.7. Laura A. Talmage, widow, to Margaret Dietrich. Q. C.
John F. Froperty. and Daniel Tal$\$ 5,000$.
tarr st, s e s, 163.5 s w W yckoff av, $25 \times 100$. Alexander Campbell to Albert Hart. 1872. 250 Stockholm st, n e cor Evergreen av, 150x100. Samuel C. Keeler, as assignee Isaac L. Brun-
dage, to The Rector, \&ce. St. Barnabas dage, to The Rector, \&ce., St. Barnabas Church.
Suydam st, s s, 175 e Central av, $25 \times 100, \mathrm{~h} \& 1$. Charles Mueller to Henry Germer. Mort. $\$ 400$.
Same property. Henry Germer to Maria Mueller. Mort. $\$ 400$.
Union st, n s, 258.6 w 3 d av, 20x100. Elizabeth R. wife of Samuel P. Lee, Vineyard Haven, Mass., to Johanna wife of John Mullaney.
Van Buren st, se s, 190 n e Broadway, $36 \times 100$. Samuel W. Post to Anna A. wife of Alfred A Fardon. Release mort. Morts. $\$ 4,499$ 8,400 Same property. James H. Watson and James H. Pittinger to Samuel W. Post. Van Buren st, s s, 300 w Patchen av, 17.6 x 100 , h \& l. George Covert, Maspeth, to Hannah M . wife of Benjamin Rose. Mort. $\$ 3,250$ and interest, also taxes and assessments s60. Woodbine st, ses, 425 n e Bushwick av, 25 x
100. Maria L. wife of William B. Dickie to Mary A. Van Tassel wife of Mathew Dickie.

Warren st, s w s, $400 \mathrm{n} w$ Smith st, 12.9x100, error. Henrietta wife of and William H
3,500
Warren st, n s, 252.2 w Nevins st, $20.4 \times 100$. James B. Pendleton, New York, to Catherine
wife of Charles R. Badeau. Mort. $\$ 2,000.4,150$ Washington st, w s, 177.10 s Johnson st, runs west 54.10 to Fulton st, x south 113.6 x east 5.10 to Washington st, x north 106.1. Mary B. wife of Alfred Becar to Samuel E. Johnson, Hempstead, L. I.
Washington st, W s, 177.10 s Johnson st, runs west 45.10 to Fulton st, $x$ south 113.6 x east along land used by public x 5.10 to Washington st, x north 106.1. Margaret Elizabeth Johnson, by Harriet W. Miller, guard., and said parties individually, to Samuel E. Johnson, Hempstead. All title.
Washington st, sw s, 100 nw of road from New Utrecht to Flatbush, 100x100, New Utrecht. Washington st. Division of party wall. William Gordon to Isidor M. Bon.
Wilson st, n s, 175 w W ythe av, $-\mathrm{x} 100 \times 15 \times 100$. Theodore E. Smith to Alonzo C. Arnold, all of Norwalk, Conn. Mort. $\$ 2,500$.
1st st, e cor Denton pl, $90 \times 80$. Charles A. C. 1883 .

1 st st, n e s, 67.6 s e Denton $\mathrm{pl}, 22.6 \mathrm{x} 80$. John H. Gleason to Christina Neilson.

2 d st, n e cor Bond st, $130 \times 93.3 \times 130.1 \times 93.5$.
Foreclos. Robert Foreclos. Robert Merchant to Robert C. Embree, trustee for Mary E., Cornelia L. and Edith F. Embree. Taxes, \&c.
3d pl, s s, 150 e Henry st, $20 x 133.5, \mathrm{~h}$ \& 1. Mid pl, s s, 150 e Henry st, 20x133.5, h \&
chael McCormack to Joseph H. Haydon, New York. Mort. $\$ 6,000$.
South 4 th st, s w s, 145.6 n w 11th st, 25 x 95 . Elijah B. Bundick to John H. Miller. Re-reSouth 4th st, ne eor th
Wheeler, widow, to Frederick Whe Nancy B. Q. C. Q. C.
North 4 st, n e cor 2 d st, 242x80. James non. L'Hommedieu, North Hempstead, to Elizabeth
Seaman.
North 6th st and 1st st. Agreement as to encroachment. Weidmann Cooperage Co. with Patrick Kelly
7th st, s s, 297.10 w 5th av, $40 \mathrm{x} 100, \mathrm{~h} \& 1$.
Horace Dickinson et al., exrs. Ho George Wessel.
to

7th st, e s, 100 n North 1st st, $21 \times 100$. James K. William H., Maria L., Robert W. and David B. Shererd to Maria Shererd.

South 9th st, s s, 19.3 w 3 d st, $19.3 \times 83.3$ h \& 1 .
Cornelia S. Moore, New York, to Sarah S.
Fox. Q. C. Eliza A. Blackman, Cornelia
Same property, Eliza A. Blackman, Cornelia
M. Morrell and Eliza A. Blackman to Sarah
M. Morrell and Eliza A. Blackman to Sarah S. Fox. Sub. to mort.
11 th st , s s, 97.10 w 5th av, 200 x 100 . Samuel 11 th st, s s, 97.10 w 5 th av, $200 \times 100$. Samuel
Frost, New York, to Asa W. Parker, HempFrost, New York, to Asa W. Park, 15,000 stead, L. I. 147.10 w 6th av, 50 x 100 . North 12th st, s s, 147.10 w 6th av, $50 \times 100$. North
River Ins. Co. to Lewis O. Schnackenberg. River Ins. Co. to Lewis O. Schnackenberg.
C. a. G. C. a. G.
14th st, $n$ e s, 122 n w 3 d av, $24 \times 100$ h $\& \frac{4}{}$..$~$ Foreclos. William E. Goodge to Mary Quitty.
4th st, n e s, 98 n w 3 d av, 24 x 100 , h \& 1 . Foreclos.
East 15th st, w s, 200 s Av X, 50x100, Graves end. James S. Voorhies to James Richmond
Print, n s, 225 w 5th av, $350 \times 100$. Samuel M.
Pringle to James Weir, Jr. $\quad$. Matild
wife of John P. M. Goodwin. 7,000
$28 t h$ st, s w s, 175 n w 5 th av, 25x100.2. Francis
Whalen to Mary A. Horne.
52 d st, s w s, 300 s e 4th av, 20x100.2. Henry Hannah and ano., exrs. M. McGrath, to Michael Smithwick. 305 s e Av L, $25 \times 156.1 \times 25$ east 94th st, Canarsie. Henry Lehmann to John Usler
East 95th st, s s, 200 e Av L, 25x 100 , Canarsie Same to John F. Quigley.
East 95th st, s w s, 150 n w Av L, $194.2 \times 100 \mathrm{x}$ 191.6x100, Canarsie. Same to John N. Kopf. 700 East 95th st, s w s, 100 n w Av L, 50 x 100 , Canarsie. Same to Henry Butecke.
Av L, w cor East 94th st, 50x100, Canarsie. Henry Lehman to Mary E. Proudhomme. 30 Av L, nws, 50 s East 94th st, $140.7 \times 100.2 x$ $146.3 \times 100$, Canarsie.
East 94th st, n e s, 150 n w Av L, $50 \times 100$.
Same to Charles E. Denton.
Av L, n cor East 95th st, 80.6 to Brooklyn and Rockaway Railrcad, x347.8x71.3x345.9, Canarsie. Same to Charles J. Meyer. Canarsie. Av L, s cor East 95th st, 100x100, Canarsie.
Same to Nathaniel Davis. Same to Nathaniel Davis.
 Canarsie. Same to John N. Kopf.
Av X, s w cor East 14th st, 100x100, Gravesend. Michael Rogers to John W. Rogers. no Atlantic av, n s, 201.2 e Schenectady av, 20x100, $\underset{\text { hell. }}{\mathrm{h}}$ \& I. Oscar F. G. Megie to Herbert Tur-
Atlantic av, No. 1689-1695, n s, 298 w Utica av, 107.2x99.1. Daniel O. Calkins to John H. Wieners, Farmingdale, L. I
Bedford av. Party wall agreement. Henry Bedford av w cor Putnam. Lynch. no
Bedford av, n w cor Putnam av, 200 to Madi-
son st, x 80 .
Fon st, x 80 .
Fulton st, s s, 40 w South Oxford st, runs west $40 \times$ south $70 \times$ southeast 29.10 to Hanson pl, at point 100 w South Oxford st, x
east 33 x northwest 26.2 x northeast 14 x east 303 x 1 .
Hanson pl, n e cor South Portland av, runs north 69.11 x east 31 x northeast 68.3 to Fulton st, x south 40 x southwest 39.5 x south 72.2 to Hanson $\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{x}$ west 80 .

Fulton st, $\mathrm{s} w \mathrm{~s}, 68.5 \mathrm{~s}$ e South Portland av runs southwest $59.1 \times$ west 20 to Portland av, x south 20 x east 31 x
Franklin av, e s, 80 s Madison st, 20x90.
Franklin av, w s, 40 s Madison st, 60 x 80
Park pl, n s , 100 e Clason av, 150x131.
Dudley R. Terrett, Jr., to Sarah A. Terrett. 1-9 part.
Clermont av, ws, 238 n Myrtle av, 21.7x78.3x 21.3x78.1. Alice W. Evans to Therese Evans. Mort. $\$ 1,500$.
De Kalb av, s s, 61.8 e Nostrand av, 19x50. Ellwood H. Fisher, Jersey City, to Charles E. Fisher, Jersey City. $/ 2$ part. Q. C. nom Flushing av, n s, 162 e Throop av, $25 \times 110.3$ in two courses to Thornton st, x25x96.6 in two W. G. Bull, to Hetty B. Beatty, Morristown, N. J.

Grand av, e s, 377.5 n Gates av, $63.2 \times 87.1 \times 59.10$. | Release mort. Charles R. Lynde to Joseph |
| :--- |
| I. Kirby. |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { I }\end{array}$ | Grand av, e s, 258 n De Kalb av, $100 \times 100$, hs \& Is. Hugh King to Margaret wife of Patrick

W illiams. C. a. G. Greene av, w s, 260 n Knickerbocker av, $20 \times 70$ x20x68. Edwin A. Bradley, Montclair, N. J., to Lydia Low

Greenpoint av, n s, 200 e Provost st, 25x95. Charles Fincke and ano., exrs. and trustees A. Mann, Jr., and Charles A. Mann et al. James Hughes.
Kingston av, ne cor Herkimer st, 100x200.1x 104.6x2\% 0.7 , ne cor Herkimer st, $100 \times 1$

Kingston ar, Orville Millard to Benjamin Armstrong. Lafayette av, No. 1055, n s, 84 e Reid av, $16 \times 100$ h \& 1. William T. Mills to Lillie wife of Henry H. Evans. Mort. $\$ 1,350$.
Lafayette av or pl, n w s, 250 n e Broadway, runs northeast 200 x northwest 200 to Kossuth pl, X southwest 25 x southeast 100 x
southwest 175 x southeast 100 . James Debevoise to Anna A. wife of Alfred A. Fardon.
x100. Anna A. wife of Alfred A. Fardon to James Debevoise.
afayette av or pl, s e s, 350 n e Broadway, 20 x
Lafayette av or pl, s e s, 350 n e Broadway, 20x
100. Abraham J. De Bevoise, Jamaica, L. I.
to Mary S. wife of Gabriel De Bevoise. 1,000 Lafayette av, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 250 \mathrm{e}$ Lewis av, runs east 75 x north 194.4 x northwest 12.11 to Kosciusko st, x west 69.5 x south 200 .
Lafayette av, n s, 175 e Lewis av, 25x 560.11 x
Lafayette av, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 45$ ) e Lewis av, 66.8x94.11x
67.8. gore.

Van Buren st, s s, 175 e Lewis av, 50 wfo ix 71.8x8.1.
an Buren st, s s, 450 e Lewis av, $75 \times 100$. Foreclos. Gerard M. Stevens to James M. Baldwin, as trustee of the heirs at law of
Freeman Dodd, dec'd. Lafayette av or pl , ses, 330 n e Broadway, 40x 100. Gabriel De Bevoise to Abraham J. De Bevoise, Jamaica, L. I. Broadway, $127.5,000$ north $52.11 \times$ northwest abt 55.3 x northeast 74.5 to Broadway, $x$ southeast 178.5. Angeline A. Murray, widow, to Alfred J. Pouch. 13,000 Lexington av, n s, 126 e Patchen av, runs east 546 x north 52.11 x northwe east i. 4.5 to Broadway, x nowth 100 x west $160 \times$ south 100 . Anceline A. Murray and ano., exrs. R. M. Demill, to Angeline Demill widow.
Lexington av, s e cor Throop av, 225x100
Mary D. wife of Charles A. Whedon to Jo-
seph C. Hoagland. Mort. $\$ 4,000$. $80 \times 100$
Lexington av, s s, 345 e Sumner av, 80x100.
Lewis av, n w cor Quincy st, 150x 100.
Thomas H. Brush to Giddings H. Pinney. 9,000 Mort. $\$ 3,300$.
Lexington av, sws, 322 s e Jefferson st, 119.9x 25 x 115 , New Utrecht. Christian A. Krahe to Louis C. Nordenberg, West haven, Conn. 125 Liberty av, n w cor Van Siclen av, $50 \times 100$,
New Lots. Mary A. Miller to Margavet Kreutzer. 2,050 Meserole av, $\mathrm{ns}, 125$ e Newel st, $25 \times 10, \mathrm{~h} \& 1$. Thomas Connelly, New York, to Thomas Fleming and Bridget his wife, joint tenants. C. a. G.
Same property. Bridget wife of Thomas Flem--
2,000 ing to Thomas Connelly, New York. $\quad 2,000$ $J$ Randall to William $G$ Miller J. Randall to William G. Miller. $1 /$ part. nom Metropolitan av, s s, 11.9 e Bushwick av 25x 100. John Ruoff to Margaret Pfeiffer. Mort. $\$ 1,100$.
Same property. Margaret Pfeiffer to Margaret Nascau or som Samuel Self, Smithville South, L. I., to John
J Randall and Willian G Miller. Mort 88.040 Nort.

Nostrand av, n e cor Quincy st, 100x75. Rose Howe, widow, to Wray S. Littlefield. 10,000 Park av, s s, extdg. from Sandford st to Walworth st, x 100 deep, excepting portion taken for Park av, hs \& is. Margaret and Edwar strong, to Ephraim E. Hitchcock, Pelham Manor, N. Y., Charles Gay, London, England, John A. Dermody and Edward Armstrong Brooklyn, and G. Osmar Reynolds, Pelham Manor, N Y 188
Same property. Ephraim E. Hitchcock et al., $\$ 17,500$.
Pat hen av, es, 80 n Lexington av, $40 \times 86$ Angeline A. Murray to Alice B. wife of Smith
Putnam av, s s, 250 w Throop av, 40x100. Re-
lease mort. Caleb S. Woodhull to A, Orville Millard.
Putnam av, s s, 50 w Throop av. $40 \times 100$.
Hannah E. wife George B. Stoutenburg to A. Orville Millard. Mort. $\$ 13,000$. 20,000

Rochester av, e s, 18.9 n Atlantic av, i4.10x68. Frederick and John Dhuy to Lawson Jones,
12,000 Mort. $\$ 1,000$.
Rockaway av, w s, 200 s Sackett st, 50x100, hs
\& ls, East New York. Catharine E. Haynes to Emma A. Beigel. All liens. 200 s BroadRockaway av, late Paca av, w s, 200 s Broad-
way, $25 \times 100$. East New York. Howard way, e5x100, East New York. Howard Sumner av, w s extdg from Hart st to Pulaski st, 200x 100 . Richard G. Phelps et al., exrs J. M. Phelps, to Edwii O. Phelps. Taxes, assmts., \&c.
Sumner av, e s, 40 s Macon st, 20x95. Henry L. B. Marks av, s s, 16.6 e Rogers av, $16.6 \times 9.5$ three-story brown stone dwell'p $10.6 x 95$ whree-story brown stone dwell' . Sarah F . wife of Theodore W. Sutton, Albany. 5,000 Union av, s e cor Shepard av, 100xi00, East New York. Julius W. Sidell to Charles E. Tashington av, w s, 173.10 n Park av, 50 x 100 Elizabeth L. Howe widow, to S . Willets Haviland and Henry T. Shotwell. 5,50 Willoughby av, s s, 40 e Grand av, 40x 80 , hs \& ls.

## \& ls.

Edward J. Barber to Christopher C. Watson. Willoughby No 459 h \& 1 Contract Daniel B. Norris to Caroline E. Spangenberg, Plainfield, N. J.
dav, es, 100 s 2.d st, $20 x 100, h$ \& 1 . David s. Arnott to William J. Veit.
3 d av, w s, 40 s 6 th st, 40 x 100 . George Schmidt to Thomas Gunvaldsen. All liens. nom
tina E. wife of George Schmidt. All liens. nom 5 th av, westerly cor Union st, 23x92. Michael Kavanagh to Patrick Brennan. Mort. $\$ 5,000$.
5 th av, e s, 20 s 8 th st, 20 x 80 h \& 1. Sarah A. wife of and William J. Smith to Robert J Smith. Mort. \$4,500.
6 th av, w s, 20 s 13th st, $32 \times 90$.
6th av, w s, 100 s 13 th st, 48 x 90 .
6 th av, w s, 164 s 13 th st, $36 x 90$
Sampson B. Oulton to Samuel Frost, New
York. Morts. $\$ 19,000$.
6 th av, w s, 20 n Berkeley pl, 20x100. Homer Freshman. Mort.
7 th av, es , 100 n 39 th st, $34.10 \times 350 \times 49 \times 350$. The Long Island Savings Bank to Patrick J. Long Is
Same property.
Ogden, vice-pres-
7 th av, e s, 40 n 39th st, $60 \times 100$. The Long Island Savings Bank to William J. Bannerman
Same property. Mortimer C. Ogden, vice-pres-
th av, ne cor 39th st, 20x100.
39 th st, n s, 100 e 7 th av, $100 \times 100$.
The Long Island Savings Bank to Edward Egolf and John A. Lott, Jr. Same property. Mortimer C. ident, to same.
7th av, e s, 20 n 39 th st, $20 \times 100$.
9 th st, $\mathrm{ns}, 200$ e 7 th av, 50 x 100 .
Mortimer C. Ogden, vice-president, to Corne lius S. Stryker.
Same property. The Long Island Savings Bank to same.
10th av, s w cor Braxton st, 277.3x100x288.8x 100. Melaine wife of and Sixth Carl Kapff to Charles G. Koss. 1/2 part.
Lot on block bet Hawthorne and Winthrop sts, at point 245.9 e Flatbush av, $15 \times 80$, Flatbush. Frances H. wife of Robert S. Walker to Catharine L. wife of William K. Williamson. 400 Same property. Release mort. Abraham Lott, exr. Sarah T. Cortelyou, to Frances H. wife of Robert S. Walker.
Lots 26 to 28,45 to 48,53 to $56,86,87,101$ to 108 , 114 to $118,146,149,150,156$ to 163,194 to 196 , 202 to 224 , inclusive, map H. Lehmann property, Canarsie. Release mort. Elizabeth Binns and ano., exrs. J. Binns, to Henry Lehmann et al.
Mill road, w s, 172.8 s Hubbard st, 57.9x762.6, crossing Centre st and extdg. to Gravesend Bay, x 57.5x 759.4 , Gravesend. Joseph L. Potter to Samuel Potter.
Plot at Gravesend, being lot 7 map John J. Voorhees et al. Edmund Williams to James Holloran.
Assignment for benefit creditors. Benjamin G. Latimer to Daniel B. Stearns.
All title grantor in real estate of John G. Latimer, dec'd. Brainerd G. Latimer to Frederick B. Latimer.
All real estate of Margaret E. Johnson, dec'd. Release, \&c. Mary B. Becar, guard. Alfred J. Becar, Jr., to Samuel E. Johnson. nom Same property. Release, \&c. Mary B. wife of Alfred Becar, Mary J. and Pauline Becar
to same. and William E. Leary to John M. Knox, Jr., exr, Jane A. Keating.

## WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

December 25 to January 21-inclusive.

## EASTCHESTER.

Wildey, Olive-William H. Bard, w s 7th av, Mt. Vernon, $100 \times 105$.
ard, Wiliam H.-John Oprindi, same prop-
Horton, David-Amelia McIntosh, w s 3d av, Mt. Vernon, $100 \times 105$
Hyde, Howard J., et al., by Helen M. Hyde,
guard.-William H. Morton, lot on ses eld
acres.
Hyde, Talman P., et al., heirs at law of James Fee, Samuel-Richard Collins, w s 4th av, 25 x
105 . 3,00
Same-same, tract on $n$ s highway leading rom hite Plains to Tuckaboe station, adj
Manning, William, and Elizabeth G. and George
Disbrow-Ellen G. Manning, lot No. 64 on se
s Ridge st, on Fisher map.
Vanderoef, William C
Railroad av, 80x125.
Stevens, George-John O. Stevens, lots Nos. 182 to 185, inclusive, on map of lots at Fleetwood also lots Nos. 1,3 to $8,15,17,21,22,25,26,2$
31, $125,128,137$ and 138 on map as above. Stevens, lots Nos. 172 to 175 , inclusive; also lots Nos. $1,3,4,5,6,7,8,15,17,21,22,25,26$,
$27,31,125,126,127$ and 138 on map of Fleetwood.
Brown, Susie R. and Lowell V.-Rose Kaufman, s s 4th av, village Mt. Vernon, 70x100.
Meeks, Albert V., et al., exrs. Joseph W. Meeks -Mary W. F
Wilbur, Euphemia A.-Urlaville A. Wilbur, w s 3d av, Mt. Vernon, $50 \times 105$.
Morgan, Charles V., exr. of Abijah OakleyAugust Blatz, n s Ünion st, 225 e Howard st, $20 \times 100$.
Yates, Robert, trustee of Louis Burger-Wm W. Horton, ${ }^{\text {S }}$ Sernon, $50 \leq 100$.

McGrane, Bartholomew-Patrick Kane, es 8th Kane, Patri Darlin Darling, Alfred B., and Charles Crary-Edgar K. Brown, es Summit av, 400 n Sidney av, at
Chester Hill. Chester Hill.
Helling, Charles-Wilhelmine Weber, w ${ }_{2,000}^{\text {s }}$
Franklin av, 140x141.
,
Lang, John-John Lang, Jr
West Mt. Vernon, 25x100.
Eastchester Savings Baak-Wm Macy lot
Eastchester Sa West st at West Mt Verno No. Heydenreich Emile George Ehrbar, lot on es Railroad av and lot on $n$ w s Greenwich st, Railroad av
Mealio, Lewis, Jr.-Wm. C. Mealio et al., exrs., \&c., of Lewis Mealio, lot No. 930 on map village of Wakefield.
Stockman, Josephine M.-Minott C. Kellogg, Stockman, Josephine M.- $100 \times 105$
McLean, Allan-Wm. W. Harrington e McLean, Alt $28 \times 81$
Houghton, William
Union av, abt 25x81. Coudert, Charles, exr. of Francis D. LewisGeorge Mead, w s 4th av, Mt. Vernon, 50x 105.

Dusenbury, Charles - Charles A. Hodgman, $\mathbf{n}$ shighway leading from Tuckahoe to YonkHodgman, Mary E.-Charles A. Hodgman same property. Jones, Julia O.-Ann
Mt. Vernon, 100x105. Smith lot No 766 on Mt. Vernon-Annie Bard, William H.-Joseph Hessell, w s 6th av at Central Mt. Vernon, 50x100.
Mee, Charles M.-Emma Wilsea, es 7 th av, Mt. Vernon, 100x105. Bayles, Theodore F., et al., exrs. of Gabriel L. Purdy-Reformed Dutch Church of Yonkers, w s 9 th av Central Mt. Vernon, $50 \times 100$. 82 x Ferris, George D.-William W. Wilson, lot 82x
165, adj lands of H. Wilson and J. Leary. 100 MAMARONECK.
Stivers, Jerome-Rufus M. Stivers, lots Nos. 175 and 174 in block No. 21 on w s Park av; also lots Nos. 2 and 3 in block No. 5 on map of Larchmont Manor.
Burke, John-Arthur T. Hoffman, abt 3 acres on e s land late of Pamelia Dougherty, adj. cemetery.
Clap, Mortimer R.-Walter Leonard, lot No. 9 on es Main av.
Flint, James L, et al., exrs. of Thompson J. S. Flint-Mary W. Woodruff et al., trustees of Marcus P. Woodruff, lots Nos. 5 and 6 in block No. 3, also Nos. 6 and 7 in block No. 13 on map of Larchmont Manor
Clapp, Mortimer R.-Ethelinda D. Dayman, lot on es Mamaroneck av, adj old mill, known as
No. 1 on map of Kirby. No. 1 on map of Kirby.
Carpenter, Jonathan H.-James W. Goodwin, Fisher, Charles, et al., by Mathias Banta, ref.Jisher, Carroll, old Boston Post road, adj. land of Sarah Cornell, 30x ${ }^{9} 5$. 300 ol Sar, Willio D, Dan
Palmer, William D.-Daniel L. Palneer, lot on w s Mt. Pleasant st, 1 nown as Morrel
orchard. orchard.
Same
Same-Sarah E. Beckter, same property. 700 Gibson, John-Rushanna Merritt, lots Nos. 26
and 27 on s U Union av at Vashingtonville. 500 Same-Samuel H. Merritt, s s Clay st, 50 x 100 . NEW ROCHELIE
Robinson, Eliza R.-Martha E. Dickerman, abt 14 acres on Weaver st road, adj land of $R$. C. Diers, Herman W.-J. Warren Lawton, exr. of Diers, Herman Lawton, lot on s w cor Warren st and Union av. 450 Lawton, J. Warren, exr. of William LawtonHerman W. Diers, lots Nos. 63, 64, 65 and 66 on Hudson, Alexander B.-Charlotte Jenkins, lot No. 1 Park pl, at sw cor Echo av and Sound View st, abt 3 acres. 12,000 Underhill, Minnie B.-Eugene B. Underhill,'s Underhill Eugene B, John $Q$ and Minni0, $B 00$ Underhill, same property. 10,500 Disbrow, Susan W.-Wm. E. Moore, ses Lafayette st, 577 n e Franklin av, 50 x 150 Kreither, Valentine, by Charles G. Banks, ref. -Mannetta Diel, s w cor Washington av and Union pl, abt 100x100
Le Count, William H.-Adrian Iselin, Jr., Titus Mill property on w s creek, adj L. Davenport.

Sutton, Charles H.-Enoch H. Gurney, lot No 121 on map of Pelhamville, on s s 3d st. $\quad 500$ Gurney, Enoch H.-John Bos, same property. 450 Black, Mary G. W. and Robert C.-Charles R. Gilbert, lots Nos. 155 and 156 on w s EsplaMackay on map of Chestnut Grove Divi. King -Town of Pelham, lots Nos. 669 to 672 on City Island.
Collins, Marion-Howard Y. Stillman, lots Nos, 350, $551,352658.659$ and 660 on map of prop. erty of
Stillman, Howard Y.-Thomas Collins, same proparty
westchester
Van Name, Hannah C.-John W. Eckersley, gore lot No. 9 on sw cor 22d av and Kingsbridge road.
Hubbard, Murray-Lucy L. Skerret, s s Centre
st, 25 silo

Chichester, Rosenna and James - Catharine
Welch, lot No. 224 on ss ist av, village Wake-
field. field.
Adee, James T. -W m. E. Ferris, lots Nos. 242 to Brome, Frederick-Tallman P. Hyde, n s Elliot av, at Olinville, $45 \times 125$.
Allaire, Anthony-Catharine Hill, 6 acres on w s Old Boston road on map No. 2, of Olinville.

Bussing, John, Jr. - Jacob Werner, n e cor 15th st and Av B, at Unionport, 108x405. 3,000 white plains.
Citizens' Savings Bank-Sarah S. Banks, lot on s s New York Post road, adj Rev. Robt. W. Harris, 7 acres.
Dusenbury, William F.-Nathan H. Hand, lot and residence abt 15 acres on e $s$ Broadway, Smith William A -John F. Buckhout, n s Ridge st, adj. lot of Charlotte Buckhout, 50x 300.

Maney, Richard-George Purdy, New York Post road, 185 ft e Brookfield st, 50x108. 225 Buckhout, John F.-Wm. A. Smith, lot on w s Madison av, adj Chas. D. Sutton.
Buckhout, Charlotte-Wm. A. Smith, lots Nos. 20 to 23,40 and 41 , on n W cor Lake and Warren sts, 100x150.
Ferris, James M.-Mary A. Baldwin, triangular lot on $n$ s lands of grantee.
McCarty, Catharine-Ellen A. McCarty, lot No. 501 on s s Clinton av.

## YONKERS

Ball, Clinton H., et al., by Jacob F. Miller, ref. William H. Ball, e s Depot st, 90 n Hudson st, $30 \times 100$.
Conant, Cornelius D., William S., Charles C. and Frederick K., and Gertrude C. HarwayElizabeth W. Fols, lot No. 122 on e s Buena $\underset{100}{ }$ ista av, 100 feet from n s St. Mary st, 25 x 100.

1,200
Mutual Life Ins. Co.-Thomas Sykes, lots No $10,12,14$ and 16 on es Vineyard av, 313.6 n Ashburton av.
Dyckman, Susan-George H. Morris, abt 322 acres on Sprain road, at intersection with north line lands of John T. Martin, and lying partly in town of Greenburgh.
Delavan, Edward
Ravine av, 50x100. 10
Skinner, Sarah-Joseph Canopi, e s School st,
Dee, Mary E.-Arthur J. Burns, lot on s s Main
Dee, Mary E.-Arthur J. Burns, st, 50 w Riverdale av. Pendergast, James W.-Declan Troy, s s Parker st, 30x102.
Mitchel, Flavius J., et al., by J. F. Brennan, ref.-Marvin O. W Lut 654 Ackerly, Orville B.-Ann E. Middlebrook 2 s s Lamertine av, 100 e Warburton av, 25 x
Finley, Margery-James Kinnier, lots Nos. 43 and 44, on s s Yonkers av 75 w Oak st, also lot ons, 49 Scoal st,!30x74

## MORTGAGES.

## NEW YORK CITY.

Jandary $16,17,19,20,21,22$.
Auld, Robert, to William R. and Lispenard Stewart, trustees L. Stewart, dec'd. 47th st, due Nov 13, 188, , 10 th av $27 \times 100.5$. Jan. 13, due Nov 13,1889 $5 \%$ 20,00 Bernhard, Rachel, to The New York Savings BANk. 135 th st, No. $19, \mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 235 \mathrm{w}$ 5th av, 16.8 x99.11. Jan. 17, due June 1, 1886, $5 \%$. 7,000 Same to same. 135th st, No. $21, \mathrm{~ns}$, 251.8 w 5th av, 16.8x99.11. Jan. 17, due June 1, 1886, Braily, Cosmo, to Alexander S. Webb and ano. trustees for Catharine $S$. Coles, dec'd. 29th st. P. M. Jan. 17, due Feb. 1, 1890, $5 \%$ 9,000 Brenner, Emma, to Julie, wife of Abraham Fitz. 71 st st, s s, 85 e 1st av, 28x75.3. Jan. 15, 1 year.
Barretto, Gerard M., to The East River Savivgs Inst. 35th st, n s, 325 e 11th av, 100x 98.9x99.10x98.9. Jan. 17, 3 years, $5 \%$. 46,000 Barry, Mary E., wife of and James T.. to Oscar Purdy et al., exrs. J. Purdy. 122d st. P. M. Jan. 19, 3 years, 5 .

2,500
79 th Blumenstiel, Alexander, to Julia Aarons. 79th st, s s, 80 e 4th av, 20x102.2. Jan. 16, 1 year, Boehm, Ferdinand, to Henry B. Schieffelin. 61 st st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 225$ e i1th av. P. M. Jan. 16, 3 years. yame to Fanny K. Crosby. Same property. P. M. Jan. 16, 3 years. Newburg 61 ,00 st, n s, 275 e 11 th av, P. M. Jan. 16, 3 ys. 15,00 Same to Edward S. Willing, att'y for A. B. Willing. 61stst, n s, 250 e 11th av. P. M. Jan. 16, 3 years.
Same to Stephen H. Thayer. 61st st, n s, 275 e 11 th av, 25x100.5; 61st st, n s, 225 e 11th av, 25x100.5. Jan. 19, 6 months. 3,000 Bornkamp, Henry, to The Equitable Life ASSUR. Soc., U. S. 9th av, e s, 50.4 n 95 th st, $25.2 \times 88.1 \times 25.4 \times 85.6$. Jan. 19, due Jan. 1, 1886.
property. Jan $19,1,000$

Same to same. 9th av, e s, 25.2 n 95 th st, 25.2 x $85.6 \times 25.4 \times 83$. Jan. 19, due Jan. 1, $1887.1,000$ Same to same. Same property. Jan. 19, due
Jan. 1, 1887. Britton, Euge wife of Matthew Leoklyn, and Adeline M, wife of Matthew Leavy, to Horace F. Hutch26.2 x 92 3. Jan. 10,1 year, $5 \%$. 20,000 Burne, John C., to Thomas R. A. and William H . Hall, of W m . Hall's Sons. 109th st, s s , 100 w 2 d av, 150 x 100.10 . Sub. to prior morts. Sept. 30, 7 months. holm st, e s, 115 s Jennings st, 20x100. Jan. 17, 3 years.
Blesson, Edward J., to The Mutual Life Ins. Co., New York. 4th av, w s, 84 s 75 th st,
18.2 x 70 , Jan. 20 , due Mar. 1,1886 . 15,000 Bookman, Jacob, to Charles A. Haas, Munich,
Germany. 62d st, $n$ s, 200 e 5 th av, 25 x
$10 J .5$. Jan. 15,3 years, $41 / \% \%$, in gold. 15,000
3 d av, n w cor $7 \mathrm{cst} \mathrm{st}, 22.2 \mathrm{x} 75$. Jan. 15, 3 years, $41 / 2 \%$, in gold.
ame to same. 71 st st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 75 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{3d}$ av, runs north 42.2 x west 5 x north 20 x west 20 x south 62.2 to 71 st st, $x$ east 25 . Jan. 15,3
yearz, $4^{11} \%$, 3 , in gold. Brodsky, Bertha A., with Charles A. Binder and ano., trustees, both mortgagees. Agree-
ment as to priority of mortgages. Jan. 19. nom ment as to priority of mortgages. Jan. 19. nom
Blesson, Edward J., to George L. P. Chambers, guard. 4 th av, w s, 84 s 75th st, $18.2 \times 70$.
 Bookman, Jacob, and Simon Bing, Jr., to The
United States Life Ins. Co., New York. Elizabeth st, s e cor Hester st, $50 \times 50$; Hester st, s s, 50 e Elizabeth st, 24.6 x 75 . Jan. 22, , 20,000 due April 1, 1888, $5 \%$.
Breitenbach, Frederich, to John W. Decker.
Tinton av, e s, 154.6 s 163 d st. P. M. Jan.
22 , due May 15,1885 . 22 , due May 15, 1885.
Same to Susan M. Jones, Huntington, L. I.
Tinton av, e s, 181.4 s 163 d st, 2.4x135. ExTinton av, e s, 181.4 s 163 d st, 2.4 x 135 . Ex-
tends lien of a certain mort. to cover above tends lien of a certain mort. to cover above nom
strip. Jan. 17 . strip. Jan. 17 .
Collins, Theresa i., wife of Jeremiah J., to Wil$\mathrm{liam}_{275} \mathrm{w}$. Beardsley, Poughkeepsie. 10 th av , 25 th th st, s s,
, 00.5 . Sub. to mort. $\$ 32$,275 . Wan. 20,1 year. 000. Jan. 20, 1 year.
costello, Michael, to Miriam Fisher. 70th st, s due Jan. 21, 1890, 5 \%. $19.7 \times 100.5$. Jan. 14,00 Same to Anson P. Stokes et al., exrs. and trustees Caroline P. Stokes. 70th st, No. $160, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}$, years, Crippen, Ellen, wife of and Thomas B., Nyack, S. Underhill. 19th st, s s, $100 \mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{av}, 20 \mathrm{x}$ 84. Jan. 2 years. 1,50 O'Connor and James Doneldsa 250 w 10 th av, $25 \times 100.5$. Sub. to mort. $\$ 32,-$ 000 . Jan. 20, 1 year.
Same to Newman Cowen. 50th st, s s, 300 w 10th av, $50 \times 100.5$. Jan. 20, demand.
Same to William J. Beardsley, Poughkeepsie. 50 th st, s s , 325 w 10 th av, $25 \times 100.5$. Sub. to morts. $\$ 34,000$. Jan. 20, 1 year.
Candler, Mary, to James R. Candler, as trustee James Candler, dec'd. 33d st, n s, 221.8 w 2 d av, $18.4 \times 98.9$. Jan. 13, installs., $5 \%$.
aogan, 1eresa, to John Bell. 1st av, w s, 75 n 13 th st, 25.10x100. Jan. 14, 6 months. 3,000 ordler, Theodore A., to Mary Bell, widow.
90 st, Lexington av. P. M. Jan. 16, 3 years, $5 \%$.
Dougherty, James J., to The Emigrant Industrial Savings Bank, City New York. 78 th st, $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}, 80.3$ e 2 d av, $19.6 \times 102.2$. Jan. ${ }_{7,0}^{16}$,
1 year. 1 year.
Dowdney, Abraham, to Mary A. King et al., trustees for Edith'E. King. 95th st, n s, 100 w 3 d av, 2 lots, each $16.8 \times 100.8$. 2 morts., each $\$ 4,500$. Jan. 15,5 years, $41 / 2 \%$ \% 9,000 Same to same. 95 th st, n s $\mathrm{s}, 166.8 \mathrm{w}$ w 3 d av, 2
lots, each $16.8 \times 100.8$. 2 morts., each $\$ 4,500$. lots, each $16.8 \times 100.8$. 2 morts., each $\$ 4,500.00$.
Jan. 15,5 years, 41 q . Jan. 15,5 years, $41 / 2 \%$.
Same to same, as trust
Same to same, as trustees for Mary Le Roy
King. 95 th st, n s, 133.4 w 3 d av King. 95 th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 133.4 \mathrm{w}$ 3d av, 2 lots, each $16.8 \times 100.8$. ${ }^{2}$.
Same to Mary A. King Newport, R. 10,000 n s, 100.10 e 1st av, $100 \times 100.5$. Jan. 15, 5 years, $5 \%$.
Darrah, Sarab, wife of Thomes to Tere, SAVINGS BANK, City New York. 124th st, $n$ $\mathrm{s}, 200 \mathrm{e}$ 8th av, 2 lots, each $25 \times 100.11$. 2 morts. each $\$ 12,500$. Jan. 20, 1 year.
Same to Frederick Ernst. Same property. Two Daly Francis, devisee Mary A. Daly, to M,000
Daly, Francis, devisee Mary A. Daly, to Mar25x98.9. Jan. 9,3 years.
Same to same. $\quad 33 \mathrm{~d}$ st, n
x 98.9 . Jan. 9,3 years.
Deneufville, Anna M. Solomon B and Sa 1,000 M., and Maria L., Anna A. and Emil Halbran and William Evans to James Curry. 4 th st, w s, 80 s West 12 th st, 20x56.9. Jan. 20,1 year.
Darragh, Sarah, wife of Thomas, to Peter W. Lynch, Brooklyn. 123 d st, n s, 75 e 6th av 25x100.11. Jan. 20, demand.
Ferguson, Robert C., to Robert Jaffray 76 th st, s s, 125 w
$\mathrm{Jan} .22,1888$.
Ferris, Henry J. and Charles W., to William A. Ferris. 10 th av, w $\mathrm{s}, 39.6 \mathrm{~s}$ s $25 \mathrm{th} \mathrm{st}, 39.6 \mathrm{x}$ s , 100 w 10 th av, 50 x 98.9 , with machinery, \&c. Jan. 17, $\$ 15,000$ in 3 years and $\$ 5,000$ in in-
stalls.
ink, John W., to Andrew Ewald. 50th

200 w 9th av, $25 \times 100.5$. Jan. 15, "due, Aug. 1, Friess, Louis, to Susan wife of John J. Decker. 31st st, $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}, 212.6$ w Sth av, 18.9x98.9. Jan. 1, 5 years. 6,000 Falvella, Joseph and Augusta, to John Culleu. Ferguson, Catharine, wife of and Robert, to Mary wife of James Renville, Greenwich st, No. 266. P. M. Jan. 5, 1 year, 5\%. 12,000 Furst, Isidor, to George Owen and ano., exrs. and trustees J. McCormick. 119th st, No. 524, s s, 373 e Pleasant av, $17.10 \times 100.11$. Jan. 19,3 years, $5 \%$
Farley, Terence and John T., to Edward Oppenheimer and Isaac Metzger. 9th av, s w cor 73d st, 102.2x200. Jan. 19, 1 year. 10,000 Gillie, James B., to Mary D. wife of Martin Ungrich, Newark, N. J. 10th st, n s, 225 w 10th av. 25x100.11. Jan. 16, 6 months. 2,000 Glomb, Carl, to Joseph and Bertha Hechinger ${ }_{5} 7$ th st. P. M. Jan. 19, due Dec. 25, 1888,000 5
Gieschen, Henry, to Isaac L. and Wm. W. Kip, exrs. L. W. Kip. 4th av. P. M. Jan, 21, 2 years, $5 \%$.
Guilleaume, Charles L., to Ida Ehrich. 76th st, No. $46, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 182 w 4 th av or Park av, 18.8 x 64.2 x east 0.8 x south 38 x east 18 x north 102.2; 76th st, No. 52, s s, 133 w 4th av or Park av, $17 \times 102.2,76 \mathrm{th}$ st, No. $56, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{s}$,100 w 4th av
or Park av, $18 \times 102.2$. Jan. 22, 1 year. 12,000 Hallaran, Marcella T., wife of and Michael, to The Harlem Savings Bank, City New York. Party of second part already holds mort. of Party of seconcemises. Jan. 21, 1 year, $5 \%$. 2,000 Haug, Gotthold, to John Ross. 11th av, sie cor $62 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{st}, 100.5 \times 100$. Jan. 22,4 months. 4,000 Same to same. 1204 av, s w cor $62 d$ st, 1034,000
x 100 . Jan. 22,4 months. Same to same. 62 d st, s s, 100 e 11 th av, 6 plots, each $100 \times 100.5$. 6 morts. each $\$ 34,000$. plots, each moxths. 22,4 month 204,000 Same to John B. Smith. 62d st, s e cor 11th av, 100x100.5. P. M. Nov. 28, due Aug. 1, Same to same. 62 d st, s s, 400 w 10th av, 1000 xiove to P.M. Nov. due Aug. 1, 1885. 16,66 Same to same. 62d st, s s , $200 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{10th} \mathrm{av}$,
$100 \times 100.5$. P. M. Nov. 28 , due Aug. 1,1885 .

Same to same. 62d st, s s, 600 w 10th av, 100 ${ }_{x 100.5}$. P. M. Nov. 28, due Aug. 1. 1885, 16,667 Same to same. 62d st, sw cor 10th av, 100 x Same to same. 62 d st, s s, 100 w 10th av 100 x100.5. P. M. Sub. to mort. $\$ 34,000$. Nov. 28, due Dec. 31, 1887 .
Same to same. 62 d st, s s, 500 w 10th av 100 x 100.5 . P. M. Sub. to mort. $\$ 34,000$. Noy 28 , due Dec. 31, 1885 . 16,667 Same to same. $\quad$. Sub. to mort. $\$ 34,000$. Nov.

Henderson, William, to Nelson H. Salisbury, assignee for T. J. Crombie. 4th av, se cor
113th st, 100x185. Sub. to all morts. Jan. 15 , 6 months.
Howard, Elizabeth S., widow, Newport, R. I., $\begin{array}{ll}\text { to Adam Kropf. } \\ \text { 19th st, } 23 \times 79.9 \text {. } & \text { Jan. } 14,3 \text { ye. 337, w s, } 91.10 \mathrm{n} \\ 1,500\end{array}$ Hendrie, Harry, to William Mackellar. 123d st, $n$ s, 35 e 4 th av, $35 \times 100.11$. Jan. 6, 1 Hatch, Mary R., wife and Nathaniel W. T., $\underset{\text { Brooklyn, to Richard W. Buckley. }}{ }$ 53d st, No. 36, s s, 70 e 6th av. P. M. Jan. 15. due
Jan. $19,1886,5 \%$. Haughwout, Rachel, Northfield, S. I., to Charles H . Miller et al., trustees J. Miller, dec'd. 2 d ${ }_{5}^{\mathrm{av},} \mathrm{w}$ s, 20 n 32 d st, 19x62. Jan. 20, 3 years, Hall, John T., Catharine T. Schieffelin and Margaret T. Ludlow to Anna R. Roosevelt. 5th av, No. 398, w s. 76.11 n 36th st. P. M. Dec. 18, 3 months, 5
Same to same. 36th st, No 3 W., n s, 125 w 5th av. P. M. Dec. 18,3 months, 5 \%
Same to Mary L. Hall, guard. Elizabeth L., Valentine G., Edward L., Edith L., and Maude L. Hail. Same property. P. M. 'Dec.
 Same to same. 5th av, No. 398. F. M. See
above. Dec. 18,3 months, $5 \%$ above. Dec. 18,3 months, $5 \%$.
Hanan, James and John H., to Lorillard Spencer. White st, Nos. 115-121, s e cor Centre st, $93.8 \times$ southwest $99.2 \times$ northw est $11.4 \times$ northeast 4.6 x northwest $10 \times$ northeast $22.2 \times$ west 67.7 to Centre st, $x$ northeast 80. Jan. 15, 3 years, 5 \%. 60,000 Harrison, Bertha, wife of Joseph, to Mary E. Crow. Courtlandt av. P. M. Jan. 6, $3^{3}$ Harvey, Edward, to Angeline M. Barrett. 145d st, s s, 212.6
years, $5 \%$.
Holly, Margaret A., wife of Henry H., to Nicholas F. Palmer, trustee Frances B. Hegeman, dec'd. 73 d st, No. 432. P. M. Jan. 15, due June 24, 1887, $5 \%$
Holzwasser, Jonas, to Lena Baum. East Broadway. P. M. Dec. 30, due in Jan., 1888, 5 \%.
Hughes, James J., to Charlotte F. Trowbridge, Brooklyn. Jenings st, ns, 122.3 w Bristow st, 600 20x177x20x175.7. Jan. 17,3 years. Harmon, Susan, widow, to Felix Connor, trus-

tee for Frank Sowerly's children. 122d st, n $\mathrm{s}, 317 \mathrm{w} 1 \mathrm{st}$ av, $16 \times 100.11$. Jan. 19, installs. 3,250 Johnson, Marie S., wife of Peter C. I., to Henry | J. Burchell. 10th av, ne cor 68th st, |
| :--- |
| 100.5 x |
| 1,500 | 125. Jan. 15, due Mar. 1, 1885.

Jones, Robert, to John Burlinson.
$115]$ e 3 d av, 15 x 100.4 . Sub. to mort. $\$ 6,000$ Jan. 19,3 years
Kelly, Thomas, to Manuel E. de Rivas 1,250 st. P. M. Jan. 20,5 years or sooner 5 . 6,000 Knabe, Diedrick and Henry, to Michael Umstadter and ano., exrs. S. Cohen. Grand st, Same to same. Grand st, No. 16. P. M. Jan. Keil, Ernst, to Gustav A. and William Krais 46th st. P. M. Jan. 15, due Jan. 1, $1888,5 \% .2,500$ Kohn, Charles D., to Zion Widow and Orphans Soc., City N. x90. Jan. 16, demand, 5
Kolman, John, to Waclav Vanecek. 164th st s w s, 150 n w Washington av, $50 \times 100$. Jan. 15 , Kaufman, Felix. to Aaron Hoffman. 124th st, ns, 150 w 3d av, $100 \times 100.11$. Jan. 5, 1 year Lachenbruch, Henrietta, to Isabella Held. 62 d st, No. 114 E., s s, 117.6 e 4 th av, $18.9 \times 100.5$. Levi, Simon, to The Kings County Savings Inst., Brooklyn. 2 d av, e s, 51.4 n 107 th st, $25.6 \times 75$. Jan. 17, 1 year, $5 \% .48,000$
Same to same. 2 d av. e s, 25.10 n 107 th st, 25.6 x75. Jan. 17,1 year, $5 \%$. 8 , 8,000 Same to same. 2 d av, n e cor 107th st, $25.10 \times 75$.
Jan. 17,1 years, $5 \%$. Lissner, Minna, widow, to Levi Jacobs. 3d av, ${ }_{5 \%} \mathrm{~s}$, 75.8 n 94th st. P. M. Jan. 15, 1 year, ${ }_{2,250}$ Same to same. 3 d av, w s, 75.8 s .95 th-st. P. M. ${ }_{2,250}^{2,250}$ Ludwig, Julia, to Michael Umstadter and ano., ${ }^{\text {ex. M. Jan. }} 15,1$ year, $5 \%$. Same to same. 41 st st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{S}, 150 \mathrm{w}$ 6th av. P. M, $\mathrm{M}_{7}$
Lynch, Richard H., to Alexander S. Hunter. 1 stst , No. $85, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 155.7 e 1 st av, $21.3 \times 50 \times 22.8$
Love, Thomas, Rutherford Park, N. J., to The Mutual Life Ins. Co., New York. Hudson st, Nos. 243 and 245 , w s, 185 n Watts st, 25 x 80 . Jan. 19, due Mar. 1, 1886. 12,000 Ludlow, Margaret T., wife of Edward L., to Francis $H$. Weeks. Madison av, w s, $84^{\prime} n$ $5 \%$ st. 1. M. Dec. 19, dion 30,000 Laird, Mrry C., wife of and James H., to The 16th st $20 \times 65$. Jan. 21, 1 year, $5 \%$. 10,500 McGillivray, Hugh, and Peter G. Arnot, to"John M. Canda and Johm P. Kane, of Canda \& Kane. 89th st, s s, 158.11 e 4th av, $51.1 \times 100$. 6 McMahon, William, Fordham, to Josiah Valentine. 2 d av, s e cor William st, $116 \times 100$. Mackin 1 year, to Thomas B. Coddington Morris av, se cor 160 th st. P. M. Jan. 20 due July 1, 1885.
same to same. Morris av, s e cor 160th st, $195 \times 100$. Jan. 20, due July 1, 1885. 5, F co Markgraf, Franz, to Henry L. Morris. River
av, 149th st. P. M. Jan. 19,8 years.
1,000 Marshall, Edmund C., to Emanuel Einstein et al., exrs. and trustees Judith Einstein. 110th $\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}, 150 \mathrm{w} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ av, $50 \times 100.10$, omits the entire Martin, William A. to Irwin McDowell, trustee H. Burden. Bowery, Nos. 45 and 47 , es, runs east 69.8 x south 40 x east 7.6 x south 20 x east 119.7 x north 62.8 x west 30 x north
37.4 x west 159 to Bowery, x south 39.10 . Jan. 20, due Dec. 1, 1889 , or sooner, $4^{1 / 2} \% .22,000$ McCormick, George W., to Clarkson Crolius. 124 th st, s s, 226.6 w 1st av. P. M. Jan. ${ }_{7} 15,000$ Merritt, William J, to Francis M. Jencks. 127 th st, s s, 185 e 7th av, 27.6x99.11. Aug.
15, demand. Miller, Annie, wife of Edward, to The Dry Dock Savings Inst. 76th st, s s, 79 w MadiMoran, James, to Isaac and Michael Edesheimer, Clara Levy and Emma Dahlman. 21st st, n Clara Levy and Emma Dahlman. 21 st st, $n$
$\mathrm{~s}, 175 \mathrm{w}$ 1st av, $25 \times 100$. Leasehold.
Jan. 17 , S, 175 w 1st av, $25 \times 100$. Leasehold. Jan. $2,0,0$
Martin, Eli, Brooklyn, to Caroline A. wife of st and 100 w 9 th av. P. M. Sept, 15, 1 yr. 2,500 MeGrane, Hugh A., to The Franklin Savings BANK, City New York. 38th st, s s, 52 w 9th av, $24 \times 98.9$ Jan. 16,1 year, $5 \%$. Arnot, to William McNabb. 89th st, s s, 158.11 e 4th av, $50.1 \times 100.8$. Sub. to all morts. Jan. 16, av, April $15,1885$.
Mischler, Wendel, to Eleanora Maier, Brooklyn, and John and Henry H. Bittmann. 76th st, No. 218, s s 254.10 e 3d av, $25.2 \times 102.2$ Jan. 15,1 year, $5 \%$.
Miller, Maria and Anthony to William H.
Kirby and ano., exrs. W. H. Kirby. 26 th st. K. M. Jan. 13, due Jan. 15, 1890, 5 \%. $\quad 6,800$ Murray, Joseph P., to Robert Willets et al.; exrs. S. Willets. 24 th st, s s, 81.6 e 1 st av, 3 lots, each 25x98.9. 3 morts., each $\$ 17,000$. Jan. 51,00 Same to Edwin A. Bradley and George C. Currier, of Bradley \& Currier. 24th st, s s, 106.6 e 1st av, 25x98.9. Sub. to mort. $\$ 17,000$. Jan.
21,2 months. Same to same. 24 th st, s s, 131.6 e 1st av, 25 x 98.9. Sub. to mort. $\$ 17,000$. Jan. $21, \underset{3,150}{2}$
months. months.
Same to John Bottomley. 24th st, s s, 81.6 e 1st av, 25x98.9. Sub. to mort. $\$ 17,000$. Jan. 22, 2 months.
Same to Robt. S. and C. E. Anderson, trustee of
av, 25x98.9. Sub. to morts. $\$ 20,150$. Jan. 21, due Mar. 10, 1885.
98.9 same. 24th st, s s, 131.6 e 1st av, 25x Mar. 10,1885
same to sam. 98.9. Sub. to morts. $\$ 19,000$. Jan. 21, due Mar. $10,1885$.
Myers, Lewis, to Sarah A. Sibell, widow. 3 d av, es, 125.6 n 19 th st, 19.6 x 70 . Lease. Jan. 22, due Jan. 21, 1890, $5 \%$
Nones, Joseph B., to Irving Van Wart. 49th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 237.6 \mathrm{w}$ 6th av, 20.10x100.5. Jan. 22, 5

Nones, Joseph B., to the trustees of Robert College, Constantinople 31 st st, No. 355, , | s. 231.3 e 9 th av, $18.9 \times 98.9$. Jan. 19, 3 years, |
| :--- |
| 5,00 | 'Gorman, William, to Sheppard Gandy, trus tee John Gandy, dec'd. 138th st, n s, 150 w Alexander av, 250x100. Jan. 15, 1 yr, 5\%. 17,000 Same to same. 142 d st, s s, 320 e Willis av, 2 lots, each $15 x 100$. 2 morts., each $\$ 3,000$. Jan. 15,3 years, $5 \%$

'Neil, Catharine, to Charlotte B. Sands et al Madison st. P. M. Jan. 15, due Jan 19, 1890, $5 \%$
Pelzer, Bernhard H., Jersey City, to George G Williams and ano., trustee Kate Jackson, dec'd. 8ith st, No. 111, n s, 106.8 e 4th av, $26.8 \times 100.8$. Jan. 16 , due Jan. $1,1890,5$ \%. 10,000 ame to same. Jan. 16 due Jan 1, 1s90, 5 o 10,000 Price, Walter S., to William J. Light and Thor as Louther, of Light \& Louther. 132 st, s s.
notes.
faff, George C., to Robert Willets et al., exrs,
S. Willets. 2d av. P. M. Jan. 8, 5 years $5 \%$.
Same to Samuel T. Valentine and Charles Griffen. Same property. $2 d$ mort. Jan. 8 , Roll, George, to William R. Thurston. 7th st, Raabe, Henry, to the Standard Oil Co., New P. M. Jan. 15, 2 year Reisberger, Margaretha, to Peter Dohm.
av, w s, 59.3 s 2th st, $19.9 \times 64$. P. M. Nov. 28,3 years, $5 \%$
icharos, Lewis D., to Matilda Myers. Co nelia st, Nos. 27 and $29, \mathrm{~ns}, 122.3$ e Bleecker
$\mathrm{st}, 42.2 \mathrm{x} 97.6 ; 9 \mathrm{th}$ av, es, $16.9 \mathrm{n} 43 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{st}, 33.5 \mathrm{x}$ 59. 1 part. Jan. 17, note

Rieger, Christian, to The East River Inst. Willis av, $n$ e cor 144 th st, $50.2 \times 100$. Jan. 16, 1 year 5
Rosenstein, Moritz H., to Jane Robert, New Utrecht, L. I. Bleecker st, No. 102, s s, 49 e Greene st, $23 \times 130$. Sub. to court yard. Jan 22, due Feb. 22, 1889, or sooner, 5 \%. 15,00 Rowell, Elizabeth D., widow, to The Mutual Life Ins. Co., New York. West Farms to 100 acres; also plot adj 4,666-10,000 acre. Jan 21, due Mar. 1, 1886.
Siegenthaler, Henry, to Nicholas Buhr and Mary his wife. Robbins av, es, 325 s 14 th st, 25x100. Jan. 21, due Jan. 1, 1886.
21, due Jan. 1, 1888
Safft, Gustav H., to Charles R. Safft. 38th ${ }_{5}^{5 t}, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}, 75$ e 6 th av, $25 \times 98.9$. Jan. 2, 5 years, Same to Albert R. Safft. Same property. Jan. 2,5 years, $5 \%$. Same to Ida C. Safft. Same property. Jan. 2,000
Samer
5 years, $5 \%$
Same to Emma W. Safft. Same property. Jan. 2,5 years, $5 \%$
Same with Charles R., Albert H., Ida C. and Emma W. Saft. Agreement that above mortgages have no preference one over the Schnitzlein, Frederick J. and Theodore A. to Frank Demuth. 9th av, e s, 49.5 n 37th st, $24.8 \times 100$ Jan. 17, due Jan. 1, 1886.
3,000
chofield, Joseph L., to Annie W. McKee.
62 d Schofield, Joseph L., to Annie W. McKee. 62 d
st, n s, 75 e 3d av, $25 \times 50$. Jan. 16, 1 year. 1,500 Smith, Sarah I., wife of William, Hudson, N Y., to James C. Rogerson. Indefinite lane running from Albany Post road to Hudson River R. R. station at Riverdale, centre line adj Mrs. Petrulio's, abt 3 acres, 24th Ward. Jan. 14, 5 years.
Sullivan, John, to The Emigrant Industrial Savings Bank. Greenwich st. P. M. Jan.
16,1 year. Stonebridge, Margaret, wife of Charles, to Anna A. Cooper. Columbia av, n s, extdg. from Monroe to Madison avs, 200x150. Jan,
1,1 year.
Schwarzler, Joseph, to Julius Lipman. 5 th av mand. 12,040
Schuck, Frederick, to Henry Wiener, Phila delphia, Pa. Eastern Boulevard, w s, extdg. Mar. 4, 1885,5\%. Same to Eliza Wiener, Philadelphia, Pa., trustee H. Wiener, dec'd. Eastern Boulevard, $n$ w due Mar. ${ }^{4}$,
Slevin, Daniel, to William Richards. 109th st,
Spaulding, Rosanna, wife of Bernard, to Robert $15 \times 100.5$. Aug. 7 , collateral for lumber
Steinmetz, Eiizabeth, wife of John H., to Charles A. Furl. n. 20 , demand
Samuels, Levi, to Alexander Hamilton et al. trustees Liverpool \& London \& Globe Ins.

Co. 6th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 125 \mathrm{w}$
M . Jan. 15 av, 25 x 90.10 . P . M. Jan. 15, 3 years, $5 \%$.

Same to same. 6th st, n s , 150 w 1st av, 25 x
 Schuck, Frederick, to Eliza Wiener, Philadelphia, trustee of Pauline Sill. Eastern Boulevard, n w cor 84th st, 102.2x119. Jan. 20, due Mar. 4, 1885, 5 \%.
Smith, John B., and Lucie A. wife of and John A. Billingsley, heirs T. H. Smith and Sarah B. Smith, widow, to Jacob B. Crane, zad st, n s, 370 w 9th av, 20x98.8. Jan. 20, due Jan. Same, individually, to James A. Trowbridge. 28 th st, n s, 300 w 6th av, 50x98.9. Jan. 19, Spitzer, Louis, to Pauline A. Morgan. 76th st, s s, 223 e Av A, $125 \times 108.9 \times 126.8 \times 87.11$.
Jan. 20 , due Jan. 21, 1890. Stanly, John T., to Abigail Hughes and ano., exrs. J. Hughes. 18th st, n s, 375 e 10th av, The East River nat. Bank, City New York, mortgagee, with Richard Worthington. Lafayette pl, No. 28. The bank agrees to reconvey above premises to party second part whenever he shall request it if his liabilities to bank are all settled, \&e. July 30 . nom onnerman, Caroline, wife of Nicholas, to Car oline Lazzari, Westchester. Williard av, n s,
325 e 3 d st, $25 \times 100$. Jan. 1, 2 years. Taylor, William, to John L, Colby s, 300 e 3 d av, 25x100.11. June 7 , 3 mos. 1,500 Thomson, Mary W., widow, Brooklyn, to Elizabeth R. Schroder. 9th av, e $\mathrm{s}, 2,2.9 \mathrm{n}$ Traphagen, William C., and Nathaniel Jarvis, Jr., to Mary L. Smith, widow. Riverside av $n$ e cor 81st st, rums east to point 200 w 11 th av, x north to centre line block bet 81st and 82 d sts, x west to Riverside av, x south to beginning. This mortgage being given to secure amount remaining unpaid on two mortgages held by party of second part on same property. Jan. 2, 3 years. 42,000 Trunk, Ludwig, and Argelica his wife, to ${ }_{2100}$ Samuel Hyman. Broome st, No. 236, n s, Trillich, Adam, to William R. Rose. 8th st, n s, 75 w ist av, $25 \times 85.11$. Jan. 22,1 year. 2,00
Vanderbeck, Abraham, to Claus F. Molzen. 1st av. P. M. Jan. 15, 5 years, $5 \%$. 6,000 olz, John, and Rosa Hoffmann, to Heinrich $24.1 \times 100$. Jan. 1,5 years, $5 \%$. 10,000 an Tassel, Charles E., to The American Baptist Home Mission Soc. 1266 sth st, ss, 430 w ame to same. 126th st, s s, 450 w 5 th av, 3 lots, each, 20x99.11. 3 morts., one on each lot, \$15,000. Jan. 21, 1 year, $5 \%$. 45,000 126th st, s s, 410 w 5th av, 20x99.11. Jan. 21, 1 year, $5 \%$.
99.11 . 126 th st, s s, 430 w 5th av, 20 x Wiley, Susan S., wife of George W., to Jacob Goldberg. Bank st, ss, 103 w 4 th st, $22.1 \times 75$. Jan. 19, 3 years, 5
White, Frank, to John L. Brewster, Plainfield, N. J. Av A. P. M. Nov. 17, demand. 20,335 Same to same. Same property. Building loan. Jan. 17, demand.
Williams, John and William, to Albert Blum, exr. L. Blum. 54th st, n s, 150 e 9 th av, 25 x Jan. 21, 1890,5. Building loan. Jan. 19, due 7,000 Oork, Emma M., wife of and Frank, Jr., to The United States Trust Co., New York. 20 th st, s s, 233.4 e e 4th av, $26.8 \times 114$. Jan.
21 , due Jan. $22,1885,5 \%$. Waldron, Walter B., to John G.: Payntar. 3 d av, s w cor 64th st, 50.5x100. Lease. Jan. 16,
due Jan. 15, 1894, installs., gold, Walker, Alexander, to Mary D. wife of Martin Ungrich, Newark, N. J. 104th st, n s, 200 w Weed, Henry M., to William Haviland. Bowery, Nos. 273 and 275 , e s, $43.3 \times 75$; 1st st, parts. Jan. 15, 3 years. hite, James M., Jr., Brooklyn, to Sarah A. Sands. 3 d av, se s, 0.4 ne 145 th st, 5oxi. 6 arner John W. to THE EM. Warner, John ., City New York 109th Savings bank, Clyo Jork. 109th st, s Whiting, William D., to The United States Life lns. Co., City New York. 75th st, n s , 65 e Madison $\mathrm{av},-\mathrm{x} 81.10 \times 20 \times 81.10$. Jan. 19,
due April $1,1886,5 \%$
20,000 Worthington, Richard, to The East River NAT. BANk, New York. Lafayette pl, No. $\$ 20,000$. Deed recorded as mort. July 30 nom Young, William, Brooklyn, to Jane A. Tobey. Young, William, Brooklyn, to Jane A. Tobey.
Eastern Boulevard, e s, 25.8 s 85 th st, 25.6 x 98.9. Jan. 19, 1 year, $5 \%$. 3,000 Yori, Horace, and Emilio Dini to The Williamsburgh Brewing Co. Roosevelt st, No. 14, lease and fixtures of saloon. Jan. 19, demand.
orrection of an error, so as to make certain mortgage by A. H. Jonas become due not mortgagee.

## KINGS COUNTY.

Jandary 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22
Althans, Charles H., to William M. Ingraham. Carlton \&v, ws, 239.4 n Atlantic av, $18 \times 100$. Jan. 16,3 years.
dams, Bella G., to Aaron Strauss. Myrtle
st, nw s, 181.6 n e Broadway, 22x95. Jan. 17, due Jan. 15, 1886
Allen, John, West Brighton, N. Y., to Mary Harrison, New York. Gold st. P. M. Jan 3, 1 year, $5 \%$
Armendinger, Maria wife of Jacob, to Theresia Bill, widow. Jefferson st. se s, 250 s w Hamburg av, 25x100. Jan. 1, 3 years, 3,000 The Williamsburgh Savings Bank Java st, The Williamsburgh Savings Bank. Java st, ${ }_{5}^{\text {s s. }}, 175$ e West st, $25 \times 100$. Jan. 21, 1 year, Andrew, Nicholas T., to Anna E. Fautz derts lane. P. M. Jan. 21, due Feb. 1, 1888. 400 Andrews, William, to Addraetta Goodwin. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { clifton pl, No. } 270, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{s,} \\ 18.8 \times 100 \text {. Jan. } 22,3 \\ 3 & \text { years, } 5 \% \text {. Nostrand av, } \\ 4,500\end{array}$ Same to Spencer Aldrich, New York. Same property. Jan. 22, 1 year. IV, Margaretha w.
 Chesney, 16.4 Same to Theodore B. and Henry A. Willis, of T. B. Willis \& Bro. Monroe st, n s, 416.8 e Same to John H. Woodhouse and Valentine Stortz, of Woodhouse \& Stortz. Monroe st, n s , 400 e Ralph av, $16.8 \times 100$. Jan. 22 , due July 1, 1885.
Bauer, John, to Carl Goess. Siegel st, n s, 125 w Graham av, 25x100. Jan. 21, due Jan. 1,
Barry William J., to Carrie B. wife of George W. Hunt, Jr. 18th st, s w s, 125 s e 7th av, Baird, Alexander, to Spencer Aldrich. Greene av, n s, 490 e Bedford av, $60 \times 100$. Jan. 17 , Bloomer, George P., to Henry H. Adams, as county treasurer of Kings Co. Broadway, sw s, adj land William $H$. Furman dec'd, $54.6 \times 10$. to Brooklyn and Jamaica plank road x 5 Buckley, Jan. 19, due Aug. 30, Front st, $\mathrm{s} \mathbf{w}$ cor Green lane, $17.4 \times 45 \times 17.5 \times 45$ Nassau st, n s, 50 e Adams st, 25x100. Jan 17, due Jan. 1, 1887 . Berger, Charles, to William Journeay, West field, N. Y. Union av, w s, 100 n South 5 th Branaran Catharine widow, to The Williamsburgh Savings Bank. North 5th st, s s, 100 e 6th st, $25 \times 18.3 \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}-$; North 5th st, s, 75 e 6th st, $25 \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x} 36.9$; also interior lot, being rear part land conveyed by L. Hart man to John Kent April 6, 1836, runs west $51.9 \times$ south $50 \times$ east $62.1 \times$ north 51 . Jan. 17,1 year, $5 \%$.
Brennan, Patrick, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ to ${ }^{\text {T Michael 'Kavanagh. 5th }}$
av, westerly cor Union st, 23x92. P. M. Dec. 16, 10 years, 5
Same to same. 6th av, southerly cor 15th st, 18 x75. Dec. 16,10 years, $5 \%$. 6,000 Broderick, Bridget, widow, to: Caroline Hesse. Quincy st, ss, 80 e Lewis av, runs south 25 x West x south $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \mathrm{x}$ east x west 20. Jan. 17, due Jan. 1 . 1889.

Brush, Thomas H., to Daniel S. Arnold. 4th av, w s, 20 s Bergen st, 40 x 82.10 . Jan. 1, due
in 1886.000 Baumann, John, to Leopold Bauer. Aberdeen st, $n$ w s, 100 n e Broadway, runs northwest 100 x southwest 5 x south 106.6 to Aberdeen st, x northeast 41.9. Jan. 1, due July 1, 1889,
5,00
Corwith, Henry N., to Charles Fincke and ano exrs. and trustees Abijah Mann, Jr. Bedfor av, e s, $17 \pi .5 \mathrm{n}$ Atlantic av, runs east 116 north $16 x$ west $20 x$ again west $100 x$ south 20. Jan. 20, 3 years.
Same to same
. Atlantic av, 20x116x20.6x111.6. Jan. 20, 3 years. Mary, to William Baltz. 2d st, w s 73.9 s South 10 th st, 18 x 50 . Jan. 17, due Jan. 4, 1888
Constant, Mary A to Agnes H. Davies. Ful ton st, s s, 75 w Howard av, 25x200 to Herkimer st; Herkimer st, n s , 300 w Albany av, 20 x100; Bergen st, n s, 230.4 w Bond st, 19.5 100. Sub. to mort. $\$ 3,500$. Jan. 16, due July

Connelly, Michael J., to Frederick W. Dunton. Elm st, $n$ w cor Central av, $37.4 x 71 \times 21 x 75$. Cook, Henry, to Joseph Weil. Prospect st, se cor Vernon av, 125x 100 ; Erasmus st, s s, 118 e lot 6 now opened as a street, $32 \times 75$. Jan. 16, 10,50 ostello, Christina, wife of and John, to Davi Sp cor Morgan av, 19x $72.6 \mathrm{x} 20.1 \times 66$. Jan. 17, 3 years.
antlon, Edmund, to The Dime Savings Bank, Brooklyn. 14th st. P. M. Jan. 19, 1 yr. 900 onklin, Wilbur H., to Agnes H. Davies. 15th due July 1, 1885 . 3,500 Corwith, Henry N., to Peter W. Lynch. Bedford av, e s, 157.5 n Atlantic av, runs east 111.6 x north 36.6 x west 20 x again west 100 to Bedford av, $x$ south 40. Jan. 20, demand.

Doherty, John, to Charles M. Field et al., as trustees for Alice C. Smith. Clinton av, w s, 366 s Fult
Donnelly, Thomas to Marvin Cross, Sharlock Austin and John H. Ireland, of Cross, Austin Co. Pacific st, n s. 554.8 w Franklin av, 25
Davis, Charles E., to Julius W. Sidell. Union
av, Shephard av. P. M. Jan. 21, due Feb.
1, $1890,5 \%$ Dietrich, $5 \%$ merhorn st. P. M. Jan. 15, 2 years. $\quad 700$ Dahlbender, Charles M., to William Gans. Ewen st. P. M. Dec. 4, due Jan. 1, 1888, 2,000 Evans Evans, Therese E., to Edward Viehman. Clermont av. P. M. Jan. 19, 3 years.
Fardon, Anna A., wife of and Alfred A., to James Debevoise. Lafayette pl or av, n w s,
325 n e Broadway. P. M. Jan. 2, due May 1, 1886.
Same to Phebe R., wife of George R. Kissam. Lafayette av, nw s, 250 n e Broadway, 75 x 100. Jan. 10,5 years.
Freshman, Mary H., wife of and Edward N., to Edward D. Sniffen. 6th av, w s, 20 n Berkeley pl, 20x100. Jan. 14, due Dec. 4, 1885. 2,500
Fitzpatrick, Marion A., wife of and James C.,
to The Mutual Life Ins Co., N. Y. St. Felix to The Mutual Life Ins Co., N. Y. St. Felix
st. es, 180 n Hanson pl, 15x70. Jan. 17, due Mar. 1, 1886.
Fleming, Bridget, wife of and Thomas, to Thomas Connelly, New York. Meserole av, n s, 125 e Newel st, $25 \times 100$. Jan. 20, 5 years, ${ }_{1,200}$
Ficken, Johm, to Gustav Kraetzer. Liberty av, s w co
years.
Fardon, Anna A wife of and Alfred A 1,800 ardon, Anna A., wife of and Alfred A., to
George Kissam. Van Buren st, ses, 190 n e George Kissam. Van Buren st, s es, 190 n e Grening, Paul C., to Robert Willets et al., exrs. Saml. Willets. Madison st, s w cor Throop av, $24.8 \times 100$. Dec. 20,5 years, $5 \%$, 9,000
Same to same. Madison st, s s, 24.8 w Throop
av, $20 \times 100$. Dec. 20, 5 years, $5 \%$. 5,000
Same to same, Madison st, s s, 44.8 w Throop Dec. 20, 5 years, $5 \%$. 36,000 Gregory, William D., to Hester A. Gregory Degraw st, s s, 250 w Smith st, $25 \times 100$. Jan.
Goodwin, Matilda, wife of and John P. M., to James Weir, Jr. 27th st. P. M. Jan. 17, Gleisch, Catharine F., widow, to The Williamsburgh Savings Bank. Withers st, n s, 150 e Lorimer st, 50x100. Jan. 16, 1 year, $5 \%$ 2,500 Van Buren st, se s, 154 n e Broadway, 18 x 100. Jan. 14, due Feb. 1, 1885.

Goldsmith, Herman, to George W. Evans Gold st, e s, 21.3 s Concord st, $21.3 \times 50.4 \times 21.3 \mathrm{x}$ 51. Jan. 9, collateral security.

Grasman, Louisa, wife of Henry, to Sarah H. Powell, New York. Heyward st, s s, 75 w Marcy av, $129 \times 100$. Jan. 15, 3 months. 2,000 Grant, Letitta, wife of and Frederic, to George - V. Turner. Henry st, e s, 101 s Harrison st, $\begin{array}{ll}24 \times 110 \times 27 \times- \text { Jan. } 19,1 \text { year. } & 10,000 \\ \text { Hanold, Charles H., to Augusta C. Mason. } 9 \text {.h }\end{array}$ Hanold, Charles H., to Augusta C. Mason. 9th
st, n s, 323.9 e $2 d$ av, $25 \times 100$. Jan. 2, due Jill, Richard, $5 \%$.
Hill, Richard, to J. Lott Nostrand. Washington st, New Utrecht. P. M. Jan. 20, due May 1, 1886.
Haacke, Frederick W., to The Dime Savings Bank of, Williamsburgh. South 4th st, n e cor 7th st, 47.3x51. Jan. 17, 1 year, $5 \% 12,000$ Chitchcock, Ephraim E., Pelham Manor, N. Y. Charles Gray, London, Eng., and John A. Dermody, Edward Armstrong, Brooklyn,
and G. Osmar Reynolds, Pelham Manor, N, and G. Osmar Reynolds, Pelham Manor, N. Y., to Margaret Armstrong and ano., exrs. and trustees James Armstrong. Park av,
w cor Sandford st. P. M. Oct. 1, 1882, 1 year, $5 \%$. 17,500 year, $5 \%$.
Heubach, A
Henrietta wife of William H. Short Wi, to Henrietta wife of William H. Short. War-
ren st. P. M. Jan. 19,5 vears, installs Holtz, Edward F., to Otto Huber. McDougall st, Ralph av. P. M. Jan. 13, due Jan. 1, Hartmann, George B., to Maria wife of Peter Schmitt. Kingsland av, sw cor Richardson st, 50 x 100 . Jan. 1, 5 years, $51 / \frac{\%}{\%} \quad 600$
Higginson, Sarah A., to John O'Brien, New York. Dean st, s s, 160 e Smith st, 20x100. Dec. 20, 1 year, $5 \%$.
Herte, Elizabeth, wife of Franz, to Russell William M. and Roswell H. Johnson, of Johnson Brothers. Middleton st, s e s, 380 n e Harrison av, 20x100. Jan. 20, 1 years. 92 Jehnson, Samuel E., to Harriet W. Miller, guard. Margaret E. Johnson. Washington Fulton st, $x$ south 113.6 x east 5.10 to W ashingtonst, $x$ north 106.1. Jan. I 7 , installs. 1,51 Johnson, Samuel E., Hempstead, L. I., to The Germania Savings Bank, Kings Co. Washington st, w s, 177.10 s Johmson st, runs west 45.10 to Fulton st, $x$ south 113.6 x east 5.10 to Washington st, $x$ north 106.1. Jan. 16, 1 year, $5 \%$.
Same to Mary B. Becar. Same property. Jan. 16, 3 years.
Johnson, Susan and Francis, to Frances A. De Beer, Boston, Mass. Plot at Gravesend in the North Woods, contains $11 / 4$ acres, with right of way to Plank road. Jan. 12, 3 years. Kayser, Theodore, to Otto Huber. Graham av, w s, 50 n Scholes st, runs west 75 x north 50 x
west 25 x south 100 to Scholes st, x east 25 x west 25 x south 100 to Scholes st, x east 25 x north 25 x east 75 to Grah
Dec. 30, due Jan. 1, 1890. W. Weed 12,00
Kipp, Andrew, to Clara N. Weed. Himrod st,
s e s, 80 ne Evergreen av, 20 x 100 . Jan. 13 ,
s e s, 80 ne Evergreen av, 20x100. Jan. $13{ }_{2}$,
1 year.
Kreutzer, Margaret, to Mary A. Miller. Liberty av, Van Sicklen av. P. M. Dec. 12,8
years.
1,800
years.
Kiernan

1. Spencer st, es, 375 n Park av, 25x100. Jan, Kohlmann, Mary E. wife of and John, to Henry Wilker. Nostrand av, s e cor Lafayette av, $20 \times 100$ Nov. 15,3 years, $3 \%$
Kirby, Joseph I., to Margaret Hendrickson, Jamaica, L. I. Grand av, e s, 377.5 n Gates av, $18 \times 43$ to centre line road from Brooklyn to Bedford, x southeast to point $3 \pi .5 \mathrm{~W}$ Gates Kelly, Peter J., to Patrick Rogers. Warren ${ }_{10} \mathrm{st}_{2}$ vears, $5 . \%$ n w Court st, 20.9x62.6. Jan, Krait, Marie J., to Peter P. Schoonmaker. Flushing av, s s, 50 w Sandford st, $50 \times 100$. Nov. 1, 1884, 3 years. $\quad 2,700$
Kuhn, Frank, to Henry C. Martens. Elizabeth st, n e cor Dwight st, 25x100. Leasehold. Jan. 20, 1 year.
Littlefield, W ray S., to Rose Howe. Nostrand av, Quincy st. P. M. Jan. 16, 1 year, $5 \% .9,500$ Lewis, David W., to Benjamin B. Sherman, New York. Cumberland st, n w cor Atlantic $51,6 \mathrm{x}$ southwest 12.9 to Atlantic av, x south east 100.11 Jon. 21,3 yeare 58 east 10.1 Jan. 1,3 years, 5 . Mullaney, Johanna, wife of and John, to Eliza ${ }_{5} \mathrm{st}$ P. M. Dec. 15, due Jan. 1, 1888 . Union 500 Mulledy, Maria, wife of Patrick, to Frederick Bruce, Southampton L I 9th st S 919 w Bruce, Southampton, L. 1 . 9th st, s s, 91.9 w $\tau 2.6$ to beginning. Jan. 16, due Jan. 1, 1888, Marsland, Richard, to Noah Tebbetts. 11th st
 $\$ 3,500$. Nov. 6 , due Sept. 1, 1885 . 500 Same to same. 11th st, s s, 214.6 e 4th av, 16.8 x 100. Sub. to mort. $\$ 3,500$. Nov. 6, due Sept. 1, 1885 .
McGarry, Lula P., wife of John, to Joseph Kahn. Gates av, n s, 100 e Patchen av, 75 x 200 to Quincy st. Jan. 17, 4 months.
McLaughlin, Michael J., to Thomas S. Strong. Lafayette av, s s, 60 e Lewis av, 39.10x90. Jan. 16, due May, 1, 1885.
Meyers, Adelaide J., to James H. Watson and James H. Pittinger. Herkimer st. P. M. Jan. 15,3 years.
Mitchell, Catharine, widow, and Thomas Mitchell to Owen McManus. Union st, s s, 38 w Columbia st, $22 \times 80$. Jan. 15, 4 years. 949
Molloy, Catharine, to Mary B. Van Tuyl. Dean Molloy, Catharine, to Mary B. Van Tuyl. Dean st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}^{2}, 202$
May 1, 1889 Same to same. Dean st, n s, 188 w Stone av,
$44 \times 107.2$. Jan. 1, due May 1, 1889. 1,700 1, 700 Same to same. Dean st, n s, 144 w Stone av,
$44 \times 107.2$. Jan. 1, due May 1, 1889. 1,700 44x107.2. Jan. 1, due May 1, 1889.
Same to Elizabeth R. Prior, Roslyn, L. I. Dean
st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}$,100 w Stone av, $44 \times 107.2$. Jan. 2, due May 1, 1889.
Mulledy, Maria, wife of Patrick, to Alva A
Pearsall. Greene av, s s, 126 e Patchen av, 16 x100. Jan. 15, due Jan. 1, 1888, 5 \%.
Same to Charlotte A. Bruce, Southampton, L,
I. Greene av, s s, 190 e Patchen av, $16 \times 100$.

Mumby, Albert H., to John Carle \& Sons. All
Mumby, A
title in estate Robert Mumby, dee'd. July 5 , 1884, note.
Same to same. Same property. Sept. 30, 1884,
Magilli,
Magilligan, John, to William Mackenzie, Bowdon, Great Britain. Union st, n s, 212 e 7 th Marsland, Richard, to William H. Bierds. 11th Marsland, Richard, to W av, $33,4 \times 100$ Sub to $\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{s}$ s, 19,10 e Jan. 16 av, note.
morts. $\$ 8,500$. Jan. Sub. 1,000 McKernan, Eliza, wife of Michael, to Robert Brady. 23 d st , n s, 125 w 6 th av, $25 \times 100$. Jan. 1, 3 years. 1,50 Moore, Elizabeth, to Sarah H. Tuttle, Poughkeepsie, N. Y. Powers st, s s, 125 w Ewen st, Muller, Henry to Margaret Buchlirt Maujer st. P M. Jan. 16, 4 years, 5 \% 600 McBride, Hugh, to James Miller. Court st, No 299, es, 38 n Degraw st, 20x abt 90. 1-6 part. Morris, Charles E., to Sarah wife of Samuel Dean. President st, s s, 417.8 e Smith st, 16x 97.11. Jan. 1, 3 years, 5 \%. Mulledy, Maria, wife of Patrick, to Frederick Bruce, Southampton, L. I. Greene av, s s, 1, 1888, $5 \%$
Miller, John H., to Robert C. Embree, exr. J. $W$.Morris. South 4th st, s w s, 145.6 n w 11th st, $25 \times 95.10 \times 25 \times 95.7$. Jan, 15,5 ys. 2,000
Mulledy, Maria, wife of Patrick, to Annie H. h, wie of Patric, 158 e Patchen av, 16x 100 . Jan. 15, due Jan. $1,1888,5 \%$
Nelson, Charles, to Julia O'Neil, Anna and Mary McCarty. Shepherd av. P. M. Sept. 10, dne Aug. 1, 1885.
O'Connor, Agnes A., to Mary A. Seed. Pacific st. See Conveys. Jan. 15, due Jan. 17, 1886. 200 Post, Emma A., wife of Samuel W., to Otto Lang. Lafayette av, s s, 250 w Reid av, 20 x 100. Jan. 14, due Mar. 15, 1885.

Potter, Samuel, to Joseph L. Potter. Mill road. P.M. Dec. Mi, due in Dec. 1889 . Pfohmann, Hichael, Wow \&elz, Jr., and lantic av, s e cor Cypress av, 110x93.3. Jan. lantic av,
Post, Samuel W., to James D. Lincoln. Van Buren st, ssess, 226 n e Broadway, $18 \times 100$. Jan. 22, due July 1, 1885.
Pouch, Alfred $J_{\text {. }}$ to Angeline A. Murray and M. Jan. 15,3 years, $5 \%$. Lexington av. 25,00

Powderly, John, to James Campion. Eagle st, ns, 295 e Franklin st, 25x100. Jan. 14, due Quilty, Mary, widow, to The Dime Savings Bank, Brooklyn. 14th st. P. M. Jan. 19, 1 year.
Rosenstock, Meyer, to Paulina Schwed. WolJan. 1, 10 years, $5 \%$ s. Russell, Ann, wife of and John, to The South Brooklyn Savings Inst. Summit st, s w s,
53.6 s e Hicks st, $18 \times 75$. 1 . M. Dec. 30 , 1 year, $5 \%$
Russell, Susanna E., wife of Walter, to William J. Sayres. Hancock st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 100 \mathrm{w}$ Nostrand av, 140×100; Hancock st, s s, 140 w Nostrand Ravdall, John J., and William G. Miller to Charles H. Reynolds. Manhattan av, ${ }_{5}^{21.1} \mathrm{n}$ 4th st, $28.3 \times 100$. Jan. 15, 5 years, Same so same. Manhattan av, w s, 49.4 n 4 th st, $28.9 \times 100$. Jan. 15,5 years, $51 / \%$ \%. Van 7,000 Rose, Hannah M., to George Covert. Van Bu-
ren st, s s, 300 w Patchen av, 17.6x 100 . Jan.
16, 1 year.
Rappold, William F., to Louise Rappold. Tomp-
kins av, w s 90 n Stockton st, $22 \times 90$. Jan. 1, 5 years, $5 \%$. 3,000 Ruhl, Gertrude, wife of and John, to The East New York Savings Bank. Liberty av, s s, 50 Read, Edwin O., to The Phœenix Ins. Co. Rem sen st, n s, 333 w Court st, 23x100. Jan. 22, 1 Redfield, Catharine A., wife of James H., to Jane wife of Joseph F. Fradley. 7th av, ses, 120 s w Lincoln pl, 20x99. Jan. 22, due July 20, 1885.
Sauer, Mary, wife of Bernhard, to Theodore $\mathbf{F}$. Jackson. Evergreen av, s w s, 26.8 s e
Troutman st, $25.2 \times 109.4 \times 23 \times 99.1$. Jan. 20, 1 year.
choles, Henry B., to William Van Anden and ano, exrs. Isaac Van Anden. Hewes st, 3 years, $5 \%$

10,000 Salomon, Sarah, to Joseph C. Levi, as trustee De Bruins lane, lots $8,9,10$ and 16 map 3 building sections, New Utrecht. Jan. 19, due May 1, 1889. Collateral security for 6 morts.
Sullivan, Philip, to John Morton. Patchen av year, Charles F., to Hugh W. Hamlyn, Hobo ken, N J Bergen st, ns 2 . Hamlyn, HoboJan. 20, 1 year. 1,000 Schmersall, Christopher, to John H. Willets, Harrison, N. Y. Franklin av, s w cor Bergen $\begin{array}{ll}\text { st, } 61 x-\text { x97.6x80.7. Jan. 1, } 3 \text { years, } 5 \% & 8,000 \\ \text { Schulz, Robert, to Paul Koch. Adams st. P. P. }\end{array}$ Sigourney, William H., to Anson R. Flower Sigourney, Clifton pl, n s, 458.4 e Nostrand av, $16.8 \times 100$. Clifton pl, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}$,458.4 e Nostrand av, $16.8 \times 100.0$ Spelman, Sarah A., wife of William C., to Abel Crook. Willow st, No. 121, se s, 1 , 1 yr. 4,500 Springsted, Caroline A., wife of James C., to Springsted, Caroline A., wife of James C., to st, n s, 150 w Oakland st, $25 \times 100$. Jan. 1, 5 years, $5 \%$. 2,000 Smith, John H., to John Cassin. Monroe st, 50x194.10. Feb. 7, 1874, 3 years, $7 \%$. 100 Spafard, Henry A, to George F. Seymour, av, 19.2x100. Jan. 2, 2 years. 1,500 The Reformed Protestant Dutch Church of South Bushwick, to The Williamsburgh Sav ings Bank. Himrod st, n w s, 175 s w Ever green av, runs northwest $58.1 \times$ southwes west $6 \times$ southwest 115 to Bushwick art southeast 102.2 to Himrod st, x northeast 187.2. Dec. 24, 1 year, $5 \%$. 12,600 Toulmin, Hector, to Charlotte Handley. Fulton st, $\mathrm{ns}, 45.7 \mathrm{w}$ Spencer pl, runs northeast $58.7 \times$ north $2.8 \times$ west $6 \times$ north $5.5 \times$ west x southwes Veit, William J., to David S. Arnott. 3d av P. M. Jan. 16, installs.
Vath, John, to Henrietta Bowman. Baltic av Vath, John, to Henrietta Bowman. Baltic av n s, 77.6 e Jefferson st, 25x100. Jan. 1, 1 year. 51 Van Zandt, Margaret E., Bogert. Tillary st, s, 77.9 e Pearl st, 25x100. Jan. 21, demand. 1,350 Victory, James, to Michael Dencglock. Newel st, es, 175 n Nassau av, 25x100. Jan. 8,
due Jan. 1, 1890 , Williams. Margaret, wife of and Patrick, to John W. Stout, Jr., and ano., exrs. and trustees R. Stont. Grand av, e s, 340 s Wil loughby av, 6 lots, each 16.8x100. 6 morts., Wessel, George, to Horace Dickinson et al, Wessel, George, to Horace Dickinson et al.,

exrs. Isaac Henderson. ith st. P. M. Jan W20,3 years. 1,850 Wood, Mary E., wife of and William, to Cors e 4th av, 20x80.2. Jan. 20, due May 1,188 , 3,500 Same to Silas Davis, New York. Prospect av, s s, 60 se 4th av, 20x80.2. Jan Same to Helen G. Stoddard, extrx. Edward | Lammer. Prospect av, sw s, 20 s e 4 th av, 20 |
| :--- |
| $\times 80.2$. Jan. 20 , due May 1, 1888 . $\quad 3,500$ | Same to William Williamson. Prospect av, southerly cor 4 th av, $20 \times 80.2$. Jan. 20, due

May 1, 1888.
Same to same. Prospect av s w s, 40 s e 4 th
av, 20x 80.2 . Jan. 20 , due May 1, 1888 . $\quad 3,500$

Wyburn, Carrie M., to William Curry, Clifton pl P. M. Jan. 20 , due May $19,1885,5 \% .800$ Weller, Sarah J., widow, to Mary A. Cullan.
Madison st, $n \mathrm{~s}, 468$ e Reid av, 14.3 x 100 . Jan. ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Madison}_{3}, 3$ years. n s, 468 e Reid av, $14.3 \times 100$. Jan 400 $\begin{aligned} & 3,3 \text { years } \\ & \text { Wieners, John H., Farmingdale, L. I. }\end{aligned}{ }^{400}$ Daniel O. Calkins., Atlantic av, Nos. 16 Ies9 to 1695. P. M. 4 morts., each $\$ 1,000$. Jan. 8 , 5 years.
Wells, Mary A., wife of Henry E., to H.
4,0
S. Christian. 17th st, n s, 75 e 8th av, $25 \times 100{ }_{2}$ Nov. 25,1 year
W. Cabs, sarah J., wife of Samuel M., to Hiram Rush st, n w s, 40 n trustee Daniel P. Cable. 20, installs., 5 Sub, to above mort. Cable. Same property. Wyant, Josephine, to Adrian M. Suydam. Cornelia st. P. M. Jan. 15, 5 years.

1,000

## MORTGAGES --- ASSIGNMENTS

## NEW YORK CITY

January 16 to 22 -Inclusive.
Anderson, Henry H., ref., to Robert W. De Forest and
Adee, Titus K. and T. H., admstrs. of Sarah E. Adee, to James T. and Titus K. Adee, Emily A, wife of George W. Hall, Charles T. Adee and Ellen L. wife of Stephen D. Ward, all of Williamsbridge.
Adee, Titus K. and Charles T., and Emily A. wife of George W. Hall and Ellen L. wife of Stephen D. Ward, all of Williamsburgh, to James T. Adee.
Brewster, John L., Plainfield, N. J., to Henry E. Merriam.
Brodsky, John E., to Charles A. Binder and
ano., trustees Barbara Hausaman, dec'd. Bull, Matilda E., extrx. W. G. Bull, to
Hetty B. Beatty, Morristown, N. J. 4 Hetty B. Be
Couk, Christian, to George Frolich
Chambers, George L. P., guard. to Mary Blesson, trustee of Hu
and John R. Blesson.
Same to same
Collins, Charles H. New Hartford, to the Charter Oak Nat. Bank, Hartford, Conn.
Decker, John W., to Charles H. Willson and Chas. L. and Allen W. Adamis, of Willson \& Adams.
Dorsett, Robert. to John W. Decker.
Dinkelspiel, Henrietta, to Mathilde Oppenheimer and Bertha Metzger.
Du Bois, C. M., \& Co., composed of Charles M. Du Bois and Walter S . Cunningham, to Hewitt Boin.
Dunn, Thomas, and Thomas Lyons, to The Brainerd Quarry Co.
Flack, Nancy ${ }_{\text {L }}$ E., Rome, N. Y., to]Martin B. Brown.

Frazier, Charles, to Gideon Fountain.
Frees, John, to Frederick Dillemuth and Catharine his wife.
Frye, Minnie, to Charles L. Schifferdeeker, Mamaroneck, N. Y
Fuller, Charles A., to Gideon Fountain.
Gerety, Joseph L., to Johanna Betsche.
Gillman, Helene, Mamaroneck, to August P. Hassey.

Guggenheimer,E liza, to Joseph Schnetter.
Same to Katharine Elias.
Gunning, Lucene, Norwalk, Conn, to John E. Cronly.

San:e to same.
Hagenbacher, Gotlieb R., and Doris, Brook-
lyn, to Michael C. Gross.
Hersent, George A., et al., Wm. H. Hey decker, to William A. Heydecker
Same to same
Same to same.
Howard, Maret:a W., to Henry J. Schenc
as trustee of Virginia $W$. Blanchard.
Iselin, Adrian, Jr., and Columbus O'D., to George A. Hersent et al., exrs. Wm. H.
Heydecker.
Same to same
Kearney, James to Dennis W. Moran
Same to same.
King, Charles,'to Henry Nassoit.
Kingsland, George L., Ambrose C. and C. F. Kingsland, exrs. A. C. Kingsland, to George L., et al., as above, trustees Albert A. Kingsland.

Knickerbocker, Helen L., Albany, to HarLawrence, Isabel
Lawrence, Isabella, to Henry E. and Thos. T. Lawrence, trustees for Cath. L. Olmstead.
Lesinsky, Henry, to Julius Freudenthal, et al, exrs. Z. Staab.
Lehmaier, Martin H., and ano., exrs. B. Gehmaier, to Lena Lehmaier, Frankfort, Lissner Mi
Abraham L. Jacobs. J. J. Lissner, to Abrana
$\$ 7,000$.
Montgomery, James L., to John H. Henshaw, trustee
McCormack, Fannie, to Sophia A. Kinnan, exr. Alex. B. W. Kinnan. Brooklyn.
Merritt, Catharine M., Brooklyn, to Simon Michel and Frederick Sobel
Middlebrook, Frederic J., Brooklyn, to Mary N. Howell.
Macy, William H. and ano., exrs. and trus-
26,000
20,000
3,000
2,000
2,000
5,000
ees Elias Hicks, to Mary H. Franklin and Matthiesen, Adolph, exr. of Claude or Claude T. M. Gignoux, to Wm. M. Johnston.
Muxiow, Jane B., to Peter A. H. Jackson Pfaff, George C., to Peter Frieitag Robinson, Edward, to William Weismann, Frankfort-on-the-Main, Germany. Robinson, Mary A., to same
Schneider, John and ano., exrs. B. Koke, to Smiten V. Tripp. Seabury, Mary R. Seabury.

Sander, Christian, et al., exrs. A. Sander, to Albert G. Morgenstern, exr. of C. L Morganstern, in trust.
Sands, Samuel S., guard. R. C. Sands, to Frederic J. Middlebrook, Brooklyn. Schaud, August, to Louisa A. Campbell widow.
Simmons, Ovid T., Saugerties, N. ¡Y., to Louisa J. Ashforth.
James D. Fish, J., Trenton, N. J., to Steers, Abraham, to Sarah H R. Fish Same to same.
Sterling, Edward C., to Alexander MeSorley.
Stevenson, David, Jr., exr. D. Stevenson, to Morris Littman.
Swain, Edgar, to Catharine Swain, Jersey City.
Swain, Catharine, extrx. S. E. Swain, to Edgar Swain.
Thurston, David, trustee of Eliz. H. Austen,
to stephen H. Olin and ano., new trustees, for same.
Tomkins, Isaac B., to Richard H. L. Towns end.
Tallon, Patrick, to Edward B. Cobb.
Zeller, Lorenz, to Harriette S. H. Hills

## KINGS COLNTY.

January 16 to 22-Inclusive.
Babcock, Catharive L., to Charles R. Lynde. $\$ 2,500$ Barber, Josephine K . and Margaret L., Warehouse Point, Conn., to Arnold Lohkamp.
uil, Matilda C., to Hetty B. Beatty, Mor Same, as exr. ${ }^{\text {W }} \mathrm{m}$. G. Bull, to same.
Same to same.
Barnswell, Thomas F. to James Sweet
Barre, William, to Augusta C. Jenks.
Boekel, William, to Alexander Buderus
Campbell, Joseph, admr., \&c., Elizabeth Campbell, to Henrietta Pearsall
Clement, Henry, et al., exrs. G. Nostrand to Thomas Mcllroy.
Conger, Clarence R., to John Van Cott.
Covert, George, to Joseph Seitz.
Deblin, Louisa, to Henry and Mary Eisemann.
Dunning, William H., et. al., trustees Jacob A. Robertson, dec'd, to Mary Nielson. Eisemann, Joseph, to Henry Eiseman.
Ecks, Emilie to Ernst A. Hintz, Hawley,
Fowler, Annie Y., to David Barnett.
Harbeck, John H., et al., exrs. E. Harbeck to Wm. H. Harbeck.
Same to same.
Hinrichs, Louis, to Emanuel M. Friedlein. Holt, George C., assignee of Ferdinand Ward, to Alexander M., William A. and Alfred T. White.
Johnson, Samuel, to Albert Van Nostrand. Lincoln, Stillman P., to Asa W. Parker.
Laidlaw, Henry B., as Chamberlain of the
city of N. Y., to Florence Munsell, Rye,
Levi, Joseph C., as trustee to James M Hedges.
Same to same.
Same to William De Nyse.
Same to William De Nyse.
Same to Harriet D. De Nyse.
McManus, Owen, to Cecilia Cahill.
Meehan, James, exr. Edward Clark,;'to'Jane
Oakes.
Same to George W. Underhill.
Miller, Adrianna, to Jossphine Eisemann
Meehan, James, exr. E. Clark, to Abraham Underhill.
Nathan, Nathaniel L., admr. L. Nathan, to
Nathaniel L. Nathan. Nathaniel L. Nathan.
Palmer, Samuel A. and ano., exrs. D. A Boughton, to Lucy A. Myers
Parker, Asa W., to Josiah S. Packard.
Peet, Elizabeth, to Christopher Postera
Powell, Sarah H., N. Y., to Susan A. Hend Rose, Thomas Jer bay, L. I.
Rose, Thoo Wiliam Narah Rose.
Redwood, William R., to Fanny wife of
Richards, Maria, to Elias Mead, as admrx. of Peter Hulst.
Robbins, Esther G., Oyster Bay, to Jane C
Titus and ano., Admrs. Lydia T. Post.
Rogers, Samuel B., Jersey City, to George
W. Stake.

Sayres, William J., to Margaret HendrickSame to same.

## Same to same.

Schrader, August, to Morris S. Thompson and Charles G. Koss,
Stutzmann, Magdalena, to John Ulber.
Schmitt, Barbara, wife of Christian, to
Steffens, Giseman.
cum and Gesina M., widow, to Kate A. Lis

Tremble, Adrianna, to Elizabeth Binns. 1,000
The New York Lite Ins, Co. to The Ger-
mania Savings Bank, Kings Co.
Titus, Jacob W., Oyster Bay ${ }_{\text {L L L I. I., to Henry }}$
1,000
Webber, John, and ano., exrs. S. D. Moul-
ton, to Franklin W. Moulton. D. Moul- nom
Winterberg, Annie M., to Herman Lohmann.
Wood, John R., to Anna B Cox nom
Weidmann, Paul, to Paul Weidmann, Jr. 16,000
Weidmann, Paul, Jr., to The German Sav-
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { ings Bank, Brooklyn. } & 16,009 \\ \text { Wierk, John P., to William Wilkens. } & 3,000\end{array}$
JUDGMENTS.
In these lists of judgments the names alphabetically arranged, and which are first on each line, are those
of the judgment debtor. The letter (D) means judgof the judgment debtor ${ }^{\text {(*) The letter (D) means }} \begin{aligned} & \text { judg- } \\ & \text { ment for deficiency. }\end{aligned}$ means not summoned. ( $\dagger$ ) signifies that the first name is fictitious, real name being unknown. Judgments entered during the week, and satisfied before day of publication, do not appear in this column but in list of Satisfied Judg. ments.

## NEW YORK CITY.

17 Allan, William, as assignee, \&c., for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Edwin Butler, Jr., and W. V. } \\
& \text { Miles.-R. H. IForestal. . . . . . costs }
\end{aligned}
$$

cum and Orissa M. Lavely.

Auerbach, Aaron - Julius Oppenheimer
17 Aquist, Sarah-Lena Boniface..costs 19 Angell, Thomas-Henry Parry 20 Alden, John-E. L. Herrick........
21 Arnson, Bernhard-Augusta Wilzius-
 22 Anderson, Jessie-John Bohnet.. 17 Bonynge, William F. - Emily M. Richards, as extrx., \&c........... 17 Brooks, James W.-Richard Arnold 17 Bonfort,John B,otherwise \} Lena Bon17 Bonifazius, John iface. costs 17 Bates, Martin, Jr.-Emma W. Ely. 19 Baker, Thomas J.-J. P. Terry costs 19 Beach, George-G. W Van Siclen. 19 Bergerhoff, Charles-H. A. Ricker 19 Benedict, Russell W.-The Fifth Nat. Bank, City N. Y.................... 20 Bates, Martin J........................ 20 Bates, Martin-John Korne....... $20 *$ Buchman, Raphael-R. M. Moore...
20 Bramhall, William H.-C. M. Mose man.............................................. 20 Bramhall, Edmund the same. 20 Bancker, Maria A.-I. H. Herts.
21 Belmont, Edward J. \}F. L. Gillies.. 21 Butcher, F. G.-Frederick Marjen hoff.
21 Birdsall, Wallace P................................ 21 Bell, James-Leopold Wise .......... 21 Buchman, Raphael-F. W. Otheman 21 Blodgett, William K.-Rulof Lyles.
Brett, James E.
Brett, Gustavus A.
H. F. Mc-
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Brett, Gustavus A. } \\ \text { Brett, William G. }\end{array}\right\}$ L. F F. McBrett, Pierre V. A.
Brett, Martin W. $\quad$......costs Brett, Martin W., Jr.
Bendix, Benni-David Wolff.
22 Ballenberg, Samuel the same...
$22 *$ Broome, Tom T. -J. C. Beatty
17.
$\begin{array}{ll}163 & 07 \\ 303 & 22\end{array}$
2,300 22 Baumgarten, August - Cordelia E.
$\$ 15332$
19031
16649
10428 88792

1,120 55
${ }_{323} 61$ 9906
21188

8895
18698
18698
1,94942
16649
47946
47946
31899
31899
6885
2750

8429Co.

18653
45991
23 Buddensick, Charles A.-Max Danziger.

38184
$28_{\text {Boker, John }}^{\text {Bohn }}$, Garret Cosine.... 2,56267
*Baxter, Eliza G. ك B B Billat
23 Bond, William-C. B. Bingley ..... 16 Campbell, Andrew D.-S. B. Vial. 16 Cohn, Hyman-David Gutmann...
the same-Leopold Sternberger 16 Curtis, George T-H. T. J. Lohman. 17 Conlon, Edward-I. C. Ogden.....
17 Conlon, Edward-I. . M. Davis...
17 Cosgrove, Sylvester judgments, total

## 19661

 21526
1677 1,67763
84142


985
1,20801
, 237
69
1,728 08
5,795 30
4675
81945



| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Conger, Abraham B. } \\ \text { Conger, Clarence R. } \\ \text { Conger, Arthur B. } \\ 7 \\ \text { Conger, Herbert T. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sarah M. |
| Duryee |

17 Conger, Herbert T. $\}$ Duryee
Conger, Clarence R., sole .. costs 1375 exr. and trustee or
19 Cornell, Charles G.-W. H. Hays. 19 Connolly ${ }^{\text {tPPatrick-Philip Ebling. }}$ 19 Condon William H
 19 Cushman, Henry M.-H. M. Graves 16800

|  |  |  |  | D.-Clotilde |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 19 H |  |  | 12145 |
|  |  |  |  | $21^{*}$ Meyer, Meyer, Morx Moritz $\}$ C. H. Joy......... |  |
|  |  |  | 252 | 21 |  |
|  |  | 19 Huntington, Collis. P-The Mayor, |  |  |  |
|  |  | , Charles id. - H . Y. Browne.. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { in, } \\ \text { ik, } \end{gathered}$ | (674 | Sn |  | 22 Mack, Max-James Talcott |  |
| well, Charle |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Refine } \\ \text { Franki } \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 21 Carolina Construction Co |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 22 man .agrd, John-Simon Lauterbach. <br> 23 Herison Josenh A L Carroll, |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{139}^{166}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 17 Pucciarelli, Rocco-Eugene Jones. 19 Price, John R.-R. R. Sewall..... |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% |  |
| Abram H.-Standa |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | nes, James L . - The Fulton Nat. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | son |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ Dantrscher |  |  |  | a |  |
|  | 08 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 17 Klinger, Jacob-Joha McMaton 17 19 Koller, Christiana - Jacob stortz, |  |  |  |
|  | 3,511 7 | 19 Kyle , Mathew-Timoti |  |  |  |
| 16 Fish, James D.-The Nat: Broadway |  | 20 Keiley, William: S.-Auguste Noel, |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { the } \\ & \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~J} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | tor |  |
|  |  |  |  | 19 Reynolds, Terence-Harriet G. Wil- |  |
|  | ${ }_{4}^{1.332} 12$ | Joseph-Adolph Weiss...... man, Charles - S. G. Sryan... |  |  | 3,966 |
| 19 Fisher, Frederick W. -J. H. Beames |  | He | 17 | 20 Reichenberg, Nathan-Simonds MIfg. |  |
| ist, HeI | 141 |  |  | ${ }^{20}$ Read, Cassius H.-William Tum- |  |
|  | 2,035 ${ }^{37}$ | chers |  | 20 Ritershofer, Katharine-Jonas Meobi |  |
|  | 2482 |  |  | 20 the same-Christine Gericher |  |
|  | \% 54558 | 22 |  | 20 Ran |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16 Lisener Gizere- Gabries 16 Lee Elizabeth M - Elenor Markeilie |  |  |  |
|  |  | parthe |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1666} 20$ |  |  |
| Grant, Ulysse |  | eon, Abraham |  | 22 Ro |  |
| enwald, |  | , er, |  | 22 Reart, Edw |  |
|  | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| politan N |  |  |  |  |  |
| the san |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 503 | 22 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{322} 8$ | 020 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{7}^{1973} 86$ |  |  | 19 Stone, Charles-H. M. Graves. costs |  |
|  |  | 17 Manahan, Thomas-Huch Melmnes. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20}$ Goodman, Berrhard J. M. Mar |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| aum |  |  |  | 20 Skeele, Frank H. - H. L. Browne .. |  |
| enb, |  |  | 19031 97019 | ${ }^{20}$ Sch |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ strr |  |
| Leavimary | 11217 |  | 3819 |  |  |
| Bank, N. Y |  | Ch |  |  | 1,702 45 |
|  |  | 20. Meyers, Abraham-F. B. Wendt. |  |  |  |
|  |  | tin |  |  |  |
|  | 1,053 15 |  | $20+$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Howard, James S.-G. L. Schuyler |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

21*Salamonski, T.-Joseph Hinds...... 21 Stein, Wiiliam E.-R. G. Glendin
21 Stietz, Otto-Elizabeth Matthews.
21 Schepp, Mary-Andrew Schepp.costs
22 Steele, Clarence S.-J. S. Cox......
Soman, Daniel
Soman D. C. Beatty
2 Studwell, George S.-S. R. Percy Sommerich,Solomon Edward Luek Sommerich, Joseph emeyer.... 23 Silberstein Bertha-Max Von Keller Stasze
${ }_{23}$ the same tham-Thomas Dolan.
23 Strauss, Adam-Samuel Schwab
23 Streeter, William H.-John Sloane
Smith, Charles E.-Hannah S. Ran dall.
21 Smith, Michael-James Talcott.costs Smith, Ebenezer-Star Rubber Co. Tunison. Jeremiah-J. F. Pidcock
20 Truax, Henry S.- -2 amuel Street.... ber
True, Charles L-Mary M. Terry..
21 Taylor, John W.-E. F. Brockner. derer
Tanenbaum, Moses-Tobias Levien.
22 Taylor, Walter A.-S. K. Wilmert
16 The Keyser Stove Works-John Mer The W
The Wortendyke Mfg. Co.-Cathalina Lambert
7 The N. Y. Silk Mfg. Co.-The Met, Nat. Bank, N.
the same the same..
The Guardian Fire and Lite Assur ance Co.-L. E. George
17 The Madison Club-C. H. De Witt
17 The Oakville Co.-The Double Point ed Tack Co
17 The Negaunee Concentrating Costs F. W. Williams .....costs 19 The Smith \& Griggs Mfg. Co.-The
19 The Manhattan Railway Co.-Paul Graville
20 The Elephant Building Co.-J. C. Schoonover
20 The Mayor, Alderman, \&c., N. Y.Thomas Kelly
20 the same-M. J. Kelly
20 The Nat. Exhibit Co.-D. B. Wilmot
21 The Metropolitan "L" Railway Co.
21 The N. Y. Telephone and Telegraph Co.-The Washburn \& Moen Mfg. Co.
We Revere Concentrating Co.-W. W. Rockwell, as recvi Wrightson.
21 The Calaveras Water and Mining Co-W. H. Brown.
We Mayor, Aldermen, \&c., N. $\dddot{\mathbf{Y}}$ the same Jott, as exr., \&c.
22 The U. S. Albumen Mfg. Co.-E. E. Waters.
22 The N. Y. \& Sea Beach Railway Co.
22 The Delaware, Lackawanna \& Western R. R. Co.-H. N. Sherman.
22 The Goodenough Co.-F. B. Van Siclen
23 The Greenwich Ins. Co., City N. Y. The N. Y. "L" R. R. Co........costs 23 The Manhattan Railway Mattlage 19 Van Loon, Jenny-Lewis Hopner... 21 Van De Car, John E.-S. V. White. 22 Veranm, Mary S.-A. M Cotte...... Ward, Ferdinand-The Nat. Broadthe same the same............
Wells, Joseph K.-C. J. Osborn, as reevr., \&cc. ..... 6 judgments, total 17 Wallach, Joseph R.-Henry Lewis.. ${ }_{17}$ Wallach, Raphael-Chaie Messinger. 17 Woodhull, Alice J. J. Martin, as
17 Woodhull, Harriet ' illenweber, Bertha-Lena Bittee....
Boni-
20 Weeks, Solomon-Thomas Seckel...................................
20 Weed, William A.-A. R. Whitney.
20 Wallach, Joseph R. Yamato Trad-
Wallach, Abraham ing Co
20 Wight, Rezin A.-J. C. Bomberger
20 'Wilson, James-J. M. Hodgson
20 Wood, Calhoun-J. T. Bates..
20 Wells, E. Matilda, extrx. of Susan Kip-A. W. Speir
21 Whitman, Daniel C.-The East River Nat. Bank, City New York.
21 White, Matthew-Joseph Kuntz
21 Witti, Calvin-R. C. Pruyn.
21 Weld, De Forest-James McCreery.
22 Walter, Henry-Harry Geigerman, J,", assigned to Jacob Berger.....

54959

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

10925 , 50553

005

| 71 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- |

25240
12529
398
88
26164
1,254 16
5,578 14
,,․24 07
3,373 97

89338
21394
99568
10550
2,867 60

17137
1,14689
32,13595
83,70640
3,61447
2,486 66
6955

7660

10474
28964
28964
65125
38229
71019
67,43690
71,42574

| 2,41161 |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}, 095$ |

12936
126334
1,363 34

41937
32822
6855
9932


## SATISFIED JUDGMENTS.

NEW YORK.
January 17 to 23-inclusive.
Andrews, William D., and George H.-Ade § Baldwin, John M.*Bishop, John W.-Metropolitan Nat. Bank,Baker, Clinton G.--Importers' and Traders'
Nat. Bank, of N. Y. (1885) .................Burlinson, John-Vincent Micas. (1885) ...Blood, Oliver Howard-J. H. Heroy (1883).
Same-Adolphus Liebenroth. (1883).Same- Adolphus Liebenroth. (1883)....
Burbridge, Franeis and James-Leopold Vo

Cassidy, James - N. A. McCove. (187\%)
Christopher and Tenth St. R R Co.-Siegfried
*Collins, Joseph H.-Unexcelled Fire Works
Co. (1885)
Cokefair, Charles C.-J. F. Wyckoff. (1885)
Chapman, George D.- W. Fiske. (1884):
*Chapman, George M.-Adolph Levene. (84)
Curtis, Henry M. \& A. H. Armfield. (1884).
Coon Wm. H.
Clinton, James C.- H. B, Marchbank. (1878)
Demill, Richard M., exrs. of-J. A. Kernochan. (1884).
Donnellon, Cornelius E.-Rowe \& Denman (1876).

Drunna, James J. W. Phyfe. (1884)
Dunker, John F.-John Allen. (1884)
Daly, Francis, exr. of Mary A.-Martha E.
Finkelstein, Max-E. M. Drake. (18iテ).
French, Phoenix W.-G. R. Thompson. (84). Favre, George-Carlo Garibaldi. (1884) ......
*Gutierez, Wm. S.-C. S. Frost. *Finck, Andrew-People of State N. Y
(1883) Howard. Charles N. and Juliette-Kate Ward, admrx. of Wm. Lintz. (1881)
 Hinners, Frederick-Emily Weeks. (1885) Hinners, Frederick-Empolitan Nat. Bank
*Hale, John H.-Metropol


Same same. $\operatorname{same}$ (1879)..................... Gil-
Keller, Joseph H. and Pierre P.-R. mour. (1884)
Kane, Matthew, Jr.
Lawton, George B.
E. H. Adickes. (1885) Lehman, Henry-L. E. Schoonmaker. (' 82 ) Loew, William L.-Jos. Walsh. (1884). Lansdell, Henry-E. R. Wilcox. (1884)... Leland, Charles and Warren - Patterson
Luerssen. George F-George Hillabrand.
Lowenhaupt, Henry $\}$ Wm. Hulbert. (1876) Moore, Francis B.-Isaac Brockway, (1884). McCool, John B.-N. A. McCool. (1877)..... Manhattan Rubber Co.- (1885).................. *Moss, Joseph E.-Baldwin Mfg. Co. (1884) *Mobre, Thomas W. W. E. Ives. Nat. Bank of N. Y. (1885)

*Naylor, Joseph and Henry-1. A. Nemetty.
 Phelps, William-City Nat. Bank of Pough

11,23802
1,083 04
13000
18081
2,520 29
9884
10750
69412
5,15676
49337

3,78287
$3436 \%$
24982

44606
8260
88852
5797
57
854

Palmer, Charles P.-C. H. George. (18it).. | 3,4334 |
| :--- |
| 1, |

$\qquad$

| the same- $\qquad$ Charles Menke..... the same-Margaretha Haus- |
| :---: |
|  |
| the same - Hanna Flo |
| the same-Carl Brau |
| the same The Eleventh Ward |
| Bank, City N. Y |
| the same Jacob Keller |
| the same - Friederike Wang- |
| ler |
| the same - George App |
| the same-Philip G |
| Weed, George |
| Wilson, Harry D. A. F. Mitchell |
| Williams, Angelo W. |
| Wilber, Zenas F.-The Metropolitan |
| Life Ins. Co |
| Walton, Francis Theodore-Be |
| Wallach, Jos |
| Wallach, Abraham f min |
| Wilshusen, John, as assignee |
| Charles Glade - Oswego Starch |
| Factory. |
| Wraa, Christian |
| Wraa, Amanda Ann C. Morton |
| Siebert |
| Wallach, Joseph R. , Russell Mur- |
| Wallach, Abraham i ray. |
| Watson, George W.-J. L. Cavanagh |
| Young, Isaac S.-Tobias Levien. |

## KINGS CODNTY.

17 Algie, Peter and William H.-N. Mc Callum.
17 Angell, Thomas-H. Parry
0 Avery, Robert and Virginia C.-T. 2 Alden John
16 Boller, Edward-M. Herrick...
17 Bracken, William S. -H. J. Schenk Brown, Delamore C.-W. T. Kosi uski.
19 Burnham, Avon C.-A. A. Webster Beilstein-Broadway R R Co eeckman, Thomas H.-G H Gran niss.
16 Colman, Richard B.-L. de Gum@ens 1 Coombs, Gustavus-H. J. Schenck. Conlon, Edward-I. C. Ogden. the same-W. M. Davis. the same the same the same
21 Clark, Edgar B.-C. P. Gildersleeve 22 Case, Henry-H. S. Manning
6 Dorrance, John G.-Chatham Nat Bank, N. Y Daily, guard. ad litem-Brooklym City \& Newtown R, R Co ...... 17 De Mill, Robert A., and ano., exrs Richar
21 Driscoll, J. J.-P. Kohlmann. . 21 Dey, David P.-H. W. Johnson 20 Emmens, Frances-Manhattan Railway Co.
17 Fowler, Edward-A. T. Hurd.. 1 Ferguson, John D.-F. G. Howe 21 Fowler, Edward-S. B. Smith. 22 Finney, Patrick-M. Gava 17 Glaus, Adam-M. Low
20 Gilbertson, Richard-H. Guion the same-C. M. Russell.
19 Hope, Aaron D. - Nat. Bank of Republic N Y.
19 Hanion, James-W. G. Ross
19 Horton, Charles-A. S. Cook.
20 Haines, Charles D.-H. L. Browne. 19 Ihne, Fiedericka H.-M. J. Suydam 16 Johnson, Leonard L. -Chatham Nat. $21 * J a c k s o n$, Benjamin V.-H. W. W. John kon.
21 Kelly, Joseph-S. Lewis . .
22 Kimpton, Jr., Edward-H. G. Pres ton.
20 Lewis, Samuel-J. Kessel
20 Lindon, Francis V. - W. B. Bokee. 17 Mackey, Jr., John-G. W. Fleet. Murray, Angeline A., and ano.. exrs.
Richard M. De Mill-J. A. Kernochan
19 Martin, Harvey-F. Kimpel......... 19 McCormick, Francis-J. C. Carlin 19 McCormick, Francis-J. C. Carlin
1 McCormick, James-J. Ostram...... \& Newtown Railroad Co.
19*Nash, Eugene-C. Eckhardt..........
16 Oetjen, Richard-H. Wischmann.
21 O'Hara, Hannah-J. J. Scott.
$21 *$ Pelletier A -H W. Klein. .
16 Rueger, Herman-J. Johnson
19 Ruw Michael-E M Wien.
20 Read, Cassius H. $-W$. Tumbridge 20 Rogers, Charles W.-T. G. Strong 21 Reed, Mary-J. H. Hemtz

Richardson, Enoch J.-S. E. Dunlevy
16 Starkenstein, Louis-J. Hoffen ... 16 Seebeck, Frederick-H. W. Leonard 17 Sullivan, Daniel-Brooklyn City R.

15873
-

6965
19390

TM

22954

44990
61669
19599


## MECHANICS LIENS

## NEW YORK OITY.

 17 Same property. Murdough \& Duffel agt 17 Av A, n e cor 55 th st, $100.5 \times 80$. John L. Corr agt Randolph Guggenheimer, Henry Clausen and George W. Totten, owners; 7 Sixtieth st, n s, 150 w 10th av, 25x100. George
Russhon agt Charles Kopp, owner and contractor
19 One Hundred and Twenty-first st, No. 230, s s 300 e 3 d av, 25 feet front. John L.
Colby agt William Taylor 19 Sedgwick av, w s, 375 s Stone monument, Frank Lockwood agt John M. Blood \& Co., contractors; Archibald Buchanan, owner. Theodor Jacobi agt John Honrahan, con19 Fifty-seventh st, No. 38 E ., s. s. abt 150 e Madison av, 25 feet front. Isabella War-
ren agt John Riesinger and Morris B. Cohen, contractors, and Matthew Rock, 20 St . Nicholas av, w s, 25 n 156 th st, $25 \times 100$.
Dennis Phalen agt Henry Moll, owner; Daniel Phalen, contractor. 20 Same property. Wm. Short agt same. ${ }_{20}^{20}$ Same property. Nich. J. Phalen agt same. Same property. Wm. F. Phalen agt same..
Twenty-sixth st, No. 304 E., $\mathrm{s} s$ s, abt 100 e
2 d av. Asa B. Kellogg, assignee of John 2d av. Asa B. Kellogg, assignee of John
F. Van Loon, agt Joseph Jonnston........ 20 S steenth st, Nos. 431 and $433 \mathrm{~W} . . \mathrm{n}$ s, 375 e L. Richardson, Philomene and Henry G. miah J. Collins. Nos. 317 to 323 E., n s. 225 e 2 d av, $100 \times 100.5$. Patrick Ryan agt Fran 22 First av, in w oor 88th st, known as Nos. 1701 to 1709 1st av and 335 East 88th st. Charles
M. Dubois \& Co. agt Louis H. Platt, for account of Catharine Platt. H. Platt, for 2 st . Nieholas Wridge agt Michael Murphy st, Nieholas av, n e cor 12 th st, $111,6 \times 100$.
Robinson \& Booth agt James Cassidy, Eightr-ninth st YOS 108 and 110 E
158.10 e 4 th av, 51.1 ft front. James J. Jones agt Hugh McGillvaray and Peter G.
Arnot, owners..................................
23 Riverview Terrace, No. 7 , w s, bet 58 th and 59 th sts, 20 ft . front. J. I S. Conover \& Co.
agt Mrs. John W. Ball, reputed owner, agt Mrs. John W. Ball, reputed owner, 23 Seventy-seventh st, s s, 151 w 3 d av, 15 ft . front. Hebrew Orphan Asylum, L. Bucki
$\&$ Son agt Louis F. Frome and Joseph Hirsch, reputed owners, and Alexander C. McKenzie, debtor.

## KINGS COLNTY.

Jan.
Jan
eph Ryan agt William H. Algie, Jos
6 Eleventh eard Matthew
Eventh st, n s. 95.9 w 5th av, 333.9x100.
Fox \& McCarthy agt Henry R. Low, Lewis Rhodes and Wm. W. Reynolds, owner,
and L. Rhodes and W. W. Reynolds.
16 Conover st, e cor Sullivan st, 100 x 300 .
Joseph Ryan agt William J. Preston, own-
16 Withers st, n . s , 150 e Lorimer st, $50 \times 100$. owner, \&c
17 Same property. Samuel Self agt Frances 1 Withers st No and Leonard sts, $50 \times 100$. William Schindele agt Mrs. Gleisch, owner, and John Wilson.
17 Leonard st, No. 235, s w cor Powers st, 25 x Reynolds, owner, and James Wilson Martin
17 Bergen st, s w cor Franklin av, 27.6x60 Thos. R. Sheffield agt Christian Schmersal, owner, and Jacob Schoch..
17 Fifty-second st, s s, 200 w 4 th av, $20 \times 100$.
John H. O'Rourke agt George Mackmin John H. O'Rourke agt George Mackmin owner..
19 Ciermont av, No. 438, w s, 110 n Fulton st
$17.6 \times 100$. Wm. F. Guinan agt George W Addinsell, owner, \&c
19 Manroe st, Nos. 847 to 855, inclusive, n s, 350 e Ralph av, 100x100. Thomas H. Radecliff agt Margaretta and John Bauer, owners,
19 North
Thomas R. Sheffield agt John Conselyea owner, and Jacob Schoch, Howard Boyce and John Conselyea.
19 Sixth av. No. 536, w s, 25 n 15 th st, $25 \times 100$.
T. B. Willis \& Bro. agt Elizabeth Cullen, T. B. Willis \& Bro. agt Elizabeth Cullen,

19 Howard av, s e cor Fulton st, 100x50. Louis \&oss.
19 Bleecker st, s w cor Evergreen av 20x100. Thos. $R$. and Jacob Schoch and Edward

19 Same property. August C. Colberg agt Edward Monanan, M.: Owner, and Jacob
20 Monroe st, Nos 847 to 85 inclusi
e Ralph av, $100 \times 100$. Thomas H. Radecliff agt Margaretta and John Baner, owners
$21^{\text {T}}$ Same property. T. B. Willis \& Bro. agt
22 Sandford st, No. 102, w s, 300 n Myrtle av, 25x100. Cross, Austin \& Co. agt Mary 20 Flushing av, n w cor Franklin av, runs wes 120.9 X north 196.10 x northeast 24.2 to Wallabout st, x east 106 to Franklin av,
x south $200.4 . \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{F}$. Burroughs \& Co. agt x south 200.4 H. F. Burroughs \& Co. agt
Alexander Dugan...........................
20 Same property. Patrick Sheridan agt same. 21 same...

Broadway, Nos, 641 to 647 , cor Flushing av.
Wilson \& Johnson agt The Estate of Jacob Herle, owner, and Franz Herte.
20 Sandford st, No. 78 , w s s 106 s Park av, 25 x ner, and J. McCurdy.....................
20 Eleventh st, n s, 95.9 w 5 th av, 333.9 x 100 ,
Hobby \& Doody agt Henry R. Low, owner, Hobby \& Doody agt Henry R. Low, owner,
and Lewis Rhodes and Wm. W. Reynolds.
21 Same property. Graff \& Co. agt same.
Withers st; Nos. 79 and 81, n s, 150 e Leonard st, 50x100. Jacob Frillich agt Frances
Glesch, owner, and John Wilson, Mathew Glesch, owner, and John Wilson, Mathew 23 Broadway, Nos. 1436 and 1438
Vollweiler agt Dora Fagan, owner, \&c. 23 Flushing av, n s, 100.9 w Franklin av..
E. W. McClave \& Co. agt Alexander

23 Fifty-second st, $n$ s, 320 e 3 d av, $20 x 100$. Spence Bros. agt John B. Larkins and
Mary E. his wife, owner, \&c...............

## SATISFIED MECHANICS' LIENS.

$\underset{\substack{\text { Jan } \\ \text { Iz } \\ \text { Si }}}{ }$
Seventeenth st, No. 245 E., n s. Harris
Aronson agt George H. Hardy and Sidney
Aronson agt George H. Hardy and
Webster. (Jan. 8, 1885 ) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ e 3d av. Sayer \& Co. agt Silas M. Styles.
(Jan. 13, 1885). First av, s e cor 72 d st, $102 \times 113$. Charles Leonard agt Tracy and Van Loon. (Aug
19 Same property. Nolan \& McGrath agt. 25, 1884) James L. Montgomery. (Aug 19 Same property. John E. Maher agt same. 19 Same property. Thos. Fitzgerald agt same. 19 Same property. G. L. Sareadi agt same.
10 Same property. Leander Stone agt same
10 One Hundred and Fifteenth st, Nos. 114 and 116 E, \& s, abt 125 e 4 th av, George M
Fisher agt Clemens \& Haenschen. (Dee. 18, 1884)
19 Eastern Boulevard or Av A, No. 1063, w s, Patrick and ant Bennett P15 and


## KINGS COUNTY.

## January 16 to 22 -inclusive

Flushing av, Franz Herte agt the estate
of Jacob Herle. (Jan 7 , 18s5) ..... 09500of Jacob Herle. (Jan.7, 1885)........... $\$ 4$,
ame property. J. William agt same and
Franz Herte. (Jan. 13, 1885). $6.7 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ E.42180
Flushing av. Jacob H. Werberloskey agt
the estate of Jacob Herle, owner,
Franz Herte. (Jan. 9) . ..... 5000
adway, Nos, 643,645 and 647 , s e cor Flush-
ing av. John Holz and M. Horn agt estat
Same property. Theodore Martin agt same
(Jan 6).................................... ..... 31000
21000
Same property. Philip Bossert, Louise Herle, ..... 58000
308844 th st. s s, 185.10 e 5th av. Perth Amboy Terra
(Dec. 1, 1884)45353
Central av, No. 451, w s, 20 s Ivy st, 20 x 100.
Jacob Ketterle agt Philip Miller, agent for1100
BUILDINGS PROJECTED

## NEW YORK CITY.

## SOUTH OF 14 TH street.

Division st, Nos. 184 and 186, one six-story brick tenem't and stores, 44.10x68 and 47, tin roof; cost, $\$ 25,500$; owner, Lewis Krulewitch, 192 Division st; architect, Adam Munch. Plan 30.
Hester st, No. 51, one five-story brick tenem't, $22.6 \times 38.6$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 10000$; owner, Betisa Sitenctein, 55 Hester st; architect, Wm. Graul. Plan 43.
Grand st, No. 388, one five-story brick tenem't, $25 \times 71$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 22,000$; owner, Solomon Bachrach, 375 Grand st; architect, Wm. Graul, Plan 44.
Centre st, Nos. 138 and 140, one six-story brick and iron front buildg, 43,6x111.7 and 106.3, metal roof; cost, $\$ 35,000$; owner P. Lorillard, 3
Mercer st; architect, Jno. B. Snook; builder, not Mercer st, architect, Jno. B. Snook; builder, not contracted for. Plan 45.

## between 14th and 59 TH sts.

31st st, Nos. 338 and 340 E., one five-story brick factory, $50 x 50$ tin roof; cost, $\$ 14,000 ;$ own $r$, Fred. Bauer, 594 Broadway; architect, F. Jacob10 th av, w s, 50 n 52 d st, one one-story brick workshop, $20 x 50$, gravel roof; cost, $\$ 500$; owners, Devlin \& Graham, 551 W. 51 st; builder, P. Darcy. Plan 35.
36 th st, Nos. 352 and 354 W ., one four-story brick school house, $42 \times 63$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 38,000$; architect, D. J. Stagg, 146 Grand st; builder, Wm. B. Pettit. Plan 48 .
54th st, foot of West, one-story frame stone W. and T. Morton, 361 West 42 d st. Plan 46 .
between 59 TH and 125 TH streets, east of 5TH AVENUE.
Madison av, se cor 77th st, one six-story brick and stone apartment house, 41x102, brick arch roof; cost, $\$ 140,000$; owners, Wm. B. and Ed. Franke, 1267 Broadway; architect, Wm. B. Franke; builder, Ed. Franke. Plan 39 .
tenem'ts, 25x65, tin roofs; cost each 181,000 . tenem'ts, 25x65, tin roofs; cost, each, $\$ 12,000$; tect, Arthur L. Meyer; builder, W. F. Lennon Plan 41. brick tenem'ts and stores, $25 \times 84$ and 92 , tin roofs; cost, each, $\$ 14,500$; owner and builder, Henry J. Burchell, 58 E. 53 d st; architect, F. S. Barus, Plan 36 .
10th av, s w cor 62 d st, four five-story brick tenem'ts, $25 x 83$, tin roofs; cost, each, $\$ 18,000$; owner, Gotthold Haug, $1766 \mathrm{3d}$ av; architect, G. W. Spitzer. Plan 42.

NORTB OF 125 TH NT
134th st, No. 652 W. one one-story brick and frame boiler room, 25 fi30, iron roof; cost, $\$ 1,600$; owner, B. Benike. 47 East 78th st; architect, W. D. Wines; builders, Noyes \& Wiaes, Plan 38. dwell'gs, $15,6 \mathrm{x} 55$, tin and slate roofs; cost, each $\$ 9,000$; owner, E. H. M. Just, 35 Great Jones st; architect, M, C. Merritt, Plan 33 .

13 th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 161.6$ e 8 th av, four four-story brick dwell'gs, $15.6 \times 54$, tin and slate roofs; cost, each, $\$ 9,000 ;$ owner and architect, same as last. Plan 34.
$1415 t, \mathrm{n}$ s, 100 e 6th av, one two-story frame dwell'g, $20 \times 30$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 1,000$; owner,
Daniel A. Fitzpatrick, 139 th st and 6 th av. Plan Dani
40.

## 23D AND 24 TH WARDS.

134th st, n w cor Brown pl, nine three-story brick dwell'gs, $16.6 \times 40$, tin roof8; cost, each, 84,500 ; owners, David T. Davies, 134th st, s w cor Brown pl , and Anthony McOwen, 3d av and 150th st; architect, David T. Davies. Plan 31.
Jerome av, junction 1i0th st, one one-and-a-half-story frame stable, 13x25; cost, \$150; owner James E. McDonnell, on premises. Plan 37.
150 th st, s s, 70.3 e Morris av, one two-story brick dwell'g, ?2 x 35 , tin roof; cost, $\$ 5,000$; owner, William Morrissey, 446 East $13 t h$ st; architects, Berger \& Baylies; builder, Peter Daly. Plan 47 , 157 th st, n s, 75 e Courtland av, one two-
story frame dwell'g, $25 \times 25$, tin roof: cost, $\$ 600$; owner, Adolph Kennemann, on premises; architect, A. Arctander. Plan 49.

## KIVGS COUNTY.

Plan 54-Ellery st, ns, 150 w Marcy av, one two story frame (brick filled) shop, $35 \times 20$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 600$; owner, Jose Nolan, 375 Throop av; architect and builder, J. O'Brine.
S5-Ellery st, n s. 150 w Marey av, two twostory and basement frame brick filled) dwellgs, $20 \mathrm{x} \frac{5}{5}$, tin roofs; cost, esch, 82,$500 ;$ owner, Jose Nolan, 875 Throop av; architect, J. O'Brine. $56-49$ th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 120$ e 3 d av, one two-story frame
dwell'g, 17.6 x 36 , tin roof; cost. 82,000 ; owner dwell'g, $17.6 x 36$, tin roof; cost. 82,000 ; owner,
Mary $J$, Bowman; architect, H. L. Spicer; buildMary J. Bown
er J. Abram.
57-Marnolia est, s s, 170 e Centrai av, one threestory frame (brick filled) tenem't, 25x52, tin roof; cost, $\$ 4,500$; owner and builder, Fr. Keiser, Magnolia st; architect, Frank Holmberg.
58-Cedar st, $\mathbf{s , s}, 96.4 \mathrm{w}$ Myrtle av, three threestory frame (brick fllled) stores and tenem'ts, 20 x
42, tin roofs; cost, each, 83,500 : 0 owner 42, tin roofs; cost, each, 83,500 ; owner and butlder, Fr. Herr, 778 Broadway; architect, John Herr.
$59-\mathrm{McDonough}$ st, n s, 320 w Saratoga av,
two two-story and basement frame (brick filled) two two-story and basement frame (brick filled) dweilgs, $16 x 36$, tin roofs; owners, Mrs. R. Walsh,
1752 Fulton st; architect and builder, M. Walsh. 152 Fulton st; architect and builder, M. Walsh. 60-Evergreen av, Nos. 77 and 79 , George st, two two-story frame (brick filled) tenements, $00 \times 40$, tin roofs; cost, each, $\$ 2,800$; owner, Conrad Popp, 79 Evergreen av;
Schrempf; builder, John Schneider.
Schrempf; builder, John Schneider. four three story and basement brown stone dwell'gs, 21x50, with one-story extension on rear 10x12, tin roofs, wooden cornices; cost, each, sis,000; owners and 62 -Guernsey st, e s, 102 s 4 th st, one three-story frame factory, 50 x 58 , and two one-story extenframe factory, $50 \times 55$, and two one-story exten-
sions, one 20 x 33 of brick, and one $11 \times 20$ of frame filled in, gravel roofs; cost, $\$ 4,500$; owner, architect and builder, Samuel Self, 142 Manhattan av. 63 -St. Marks av, n s, 225 w Rockaway av, one two-story frame dwell'g, 20x30, tin roof; cost $\$ 700$; owner, Maria Bauer, 101 McDougal st; mason, C. Bauer; carpenter, not selected,
64 -Bainbridge st, n s, 158 w Reid av, one two story and basement brick dwell'g, 20x43, tin roof, wooden cornice; cost, 84,000 ; owner, Kate Acor, builders, Lewis Acor and C. Linikin.
$65-23 \mathrm{~d}$ st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 325$ e 5 th av, one one-story frame stable, $13 \times 13$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 40$; owner, T. Merritt. 66 -Palmetto st, No. $80, \mathrm{~s}$ s, 350 e Bushwick av, ne three-story frame (brick filled) tenem't, 25x 55 , tin roof; cost, $\$ 4,000$; owner and builder, David H. Scott, 762 Monroe st; architect, Ernest Deanis.
67 -Sullivan st, s s, 200 w Richards st, one-one-siory frame carpenter shop, 21x25, felt Thomas Bromell, $8 \%$ Wolcott st.
68-Franklin av, e s, 75 n Park av, one tree story frame (brick filled) tenem't, 20 x 40 , tin roof; cost, $>3,500$; owner, August C. Hodderson, cor Fon: builders, Mr. Collins and Williams Bros. 69-Bergen st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 268$ e Clason av, one threestory brick tenem't, $20 \times 43$, tin, gravel and felt roof, wooden cornice; cost,
T. W. Swinm, $89 \pm$ Gates av,
70-Sumner av, s w cor McDonough st, $\$ 11,000$; owner. W. A. Cons av; architect, Th. Engelhardt; builders, G. Leh$\operatorname{man} \&$ Sons and M. Metzen.
71-Broadway, No. 791, e s, 25 n Adam st, one four-story brick store and tenem't, $25 \times 60$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 8,000 ;$ owner, J. M. Otto, 453 Grand st;
arehitset, Th. Engelhardt; builders, J. Rauch and architsct, Th. Engelhardt; builders, J. Rauch and J. Rueger. story frame tenes, 122 e Harrison av, one three owner and architect, Jno. Platte, 24t Lynch st $73-22 \mathrm{~d}$ st, s s 100 e 6th Platte, 244 Lynch st. dwell'gs, $16.8 x=0 ;$ tin roofs; cost, each, $\$ 1,500$ owner and architect, Henry Pieper, 3052 d st builders, J. Thompson and A. Wordstrom.

## ALTERATIONS NEW YORK CITY.

## 62-3d av, No. 1398, one-story brick exten-

 sion, 22x29, gravel roof; cost, 31,800 ; owners,Ed. D. Jones and W. J. T. Duff, 1417 3d av; builder, John Farrell.
$63-23 \mathrm{~d}$ st, Nos. 28 and 30 , centre partitic: in basement and first story to be taken out, also store
front altered; cost, $8800 ;$ lessees, J. S. Conover \&

64-Gouverneur slip, foot of Gouverneur st, take down and rebuild casterly wall and internal alter ations (to be fitted up for reception hospital) cost, $\$ 9,000$; owner, City of New York Commis-
sioners Charity and Correction, 663 d av; archisioners Charity and Corre
tects, N, Le Brun \& Son.
tects, N, Le Brun \& Son.
65-8ith st, No. 164 E., new show window in store front; cost, \$200; owner, Philipine Lucas, on premises; architect, John Brandt.
66-East Houston st, No. 374, build up opening in party wall; cost, $\$ 75$; owners, Jacob Ash and A. Solomon, on premises; builders, B. Schaaf \& $\mathrm{Son}_{6}$
$67-33 \mathrm{~s}$ st, No. 372 W., raise roof 2.6 and a fourstory brick extension, 21 x 19 , tin roof; cost, $\$ 5,000$; owner, Catharine Taylor, on premises; architect . E. Hudson; builder, not selected
and basement s, ene-story and basement brick extension, 10.4 and 10x 37.9 Kilpatrick, 353 East 7 sth st; architects, D. \& J. Kilpatric
69-Broadway, No. 1279, new store front and repairs to front wall; cost, $\$ 500$; owner, Ed. A Morrison, 49 West 47 th st; architect, J. E. TerMorris
hune.
hune. Market st, No. 11, raise attic to full story, new flat roof; cost, $\$ 2,000$; owner, Morris Alexander, 97 East Broadway; architect, L. H ${ }^{7} 1-3 \mathrm{~d}$ st, No. 28 E., partitions in top story and repairs to extension; cost, 8800 ; owner Geo. Stange, 761 st av; architect, $W \mathrm{~m}$. Graul.

35th st, No. 110 E., bulkhead on roof over seuttle opening; cost, $\$ 30$; owner, James Cloherty, on premises
$14.6 \times 8$ tit, o. 5 W., two-story brick extension 14.6x8, tin roof: cost, $\$ 4,000$; owner, J. F. Degener, 74 4th wh No. 262 E., one-story brick extension. $15.6 \times 18$, tin roof, and store front in basement; cost, $\$ 1,000$; owner, Abraham Wertheimer on premises; architects, Berger \& Baylies; builder, John Kraft.
$75-10 \mathrm{th}$ av, se cor 52 d st, one-story brick extension, $14 \times 25$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 1,500$; owner, Thos. McEntegrat, on premises; architect, Jas, W. Cole; builder, John Jordan.

76-162th st, ns, 250 e 3 d av, one-story frame extension, $12 \times 15$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 350$; owner, Mary S. Burnett, W
builder, F. Bourne
77 -7th av, e. s. 25 s 33 d st, one story brick extension, $25 \times 22$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 600$;owner, Louis Ungriah, 160 West 33d st; architect, M. Louis Ungrich; builder not selected.
78-9th st, No. 705 East, threestory brick extension on front, $25 \times 9$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 1,200$; owner, George Muller, 230 East 3d st; architect, Chas Sturzkober, 288 East 10th st.
in base st, No. 50 .W., remove partition wall in basement and put in iron girder and columns, new store front, and fit up for business purposes, cost, $\$ 1,200$; lessee, W. J. Demorest, 21 East 5ith
st; architect, Andrew Craig, builders, Drummond \& Jones.
80-Rivington st, No. 234, repair damage by fire; cost, 81,000 ; owner, Martha R. Ba
West 25 th
st; builder, Thomas Joyce
81-22d st, Nos, 437 and 439 E , take off three upper stories and put on new flat roof; cost, 23 d st wner, F . Rhoner \& Co, 48 and 40 East lected.
82-5th av, No. 1404, one-story brick extension, $14 \times 21$, tin roof: cost, 500 ; owner, Louis Reiss, on premises; architect, John Brandt.
83-129th st, n w cor 10th av, four-story brick
 $16 \times 2$ and $23 \times 27$, tin and slate roof; cost, $\$ 85,000$; Board of Educ New York, S. A. Walker, presd J. Stage, 146 Grand st; builder, Joseph Spears. $84-3 \mathrm{~d}$ av, es, 200 n 169th st, four-story extension (on front) to Grammar School No. 61, 94.6x 56 , tin and slate roof; cost, $\$ 85,000$; owner and architect same as last; builder, Thomas Overington.
85 -Thomas st, Nos. 11 and 13, and $8 \%$ and 84 Worth st, three-story brick extension, 20.1×16.7, iron and glass roof; cost, $\$ 4,000$; owner, New
York Real Estate Assoc G, P Slade, treas. 110 York Real Estate Assoc. G. P. Slade, treas., 110
Leonard st; architect, Richard Berger; builder, Leonard st;
Wm. Slade.
Wm. Slade. No. 43, internal alterations; cost, $\$ 150 ;$ lessee, Jacob Vogel, 140 2d st; architect, Wm. Graul.
extension and No. 377, take down rear wall of extension and put in iron girder; cost, $\%$; owner, James Birchett,
tect, M. F. Finney; builder, nor selected.
ment, partition the E., new store front in basement, partitions to be taken out and fitted up for store; cost, $\$ 500$; lessee, Henry Kalbfleisch, 321
6 th st; architect, J. Boekell; builder, Joseph 6th st;
Hauser.
89-S e cor Centre and Walker sts, internal alterations; cost, $\$ 50 ;$ owner, P. R. Weiler, 693 Madison av; architect, Jno. B. Snook; builder, not selected
inside New st, No. 56, changing stairs and column inside of building; cost, 82,000 ; owner, G. Godfrey Gunther, 239 E. 14th st; builder, Henry 91-M
$91-$ Madison st, No. 398, alterations on third floor; cost,
Stanton st.

92-East Broadway, No. 280, repair damage by fire; cost, 8750 ; owner, -; builder, Henry Wallace, 71 Centre st
93-3d av, No. 1515, three-story brick extension, 25.6 x 39 tin roof; cost, $\$ 5,00$ J; owner, Lou
Braecht, 1493 Sd av; architect, John Brandt.
$19.8 \times 42$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 1,200$; owner, Moses
Goldberg, 25 Ay B; architect, Goldberg, 25 Av B; architect, E. W. Greis. and -Courtland av, w s, 100 n 148th st, raise one and part two stories, new flat roof: also a threestory extension, $10 \times 20$, tin roof; cost, $\$ 2,800$, owner, Jacob Loeffler, on premises; architect, Adolph Pfeiffer; builder, Geo. Mand.

## KINGS COLNTY.

19-Greene av, n w eor Evergreen av, add one story, alter to store and two flats; cost, $\$ 1,100$ W. Smith. $W_{2}$. Smith.
$17.6 \times 10.6$ th th No. 352, one-story frame extension, $17.6 \times 10.6$, tin roof; cost, \$180; owner, Gustav
Pantan, on premises; builder, F. Matthiesen. Pantan, on premises; builder, F. Matthiesen.
21-Lewis av, w s .25 s Vernon av, interio alteration; cost, $\$ 2,000$; owner, St. John Baptist Church, Willoughby av; architect and builder, J. T. Perry. frame extension, 25x 38 , gravel roof: cost, $\$ 150$; owner, James Baird, Bushwick av, bet Powers st and Ainslie st: builder, J. W. Lamb.
23-Willoughby av, n s , west of Raymond st, add one story; cost, $\$ 1,000$; owner, F. G. Smith, 352 Washington av; builder, C. Becker.
24-Kingsland av, No. 75, add one story; cost, $\$ 500$; owner, William Kies, on premises; architect, George Hillenbrand; builder, L. Erk.

## MISCELLANEOUS.

BUSINESS FAILURES.
Schedule of assets and liabilities filed for the week ending January 2

|  | Liabilities. | Nominal Assets. | Real Assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Becker, Christian | - \$8,616 | \$7,880 | Assets. |
| Barclay \& Havens | 684 | 203 | \%2 |
| Cohen, Harris | 3,108 | 1,703 | 677 |
| Davenport, Wm. E | 9,738 | 7,739 | 6,354 |
| Fersenheim, R. L | 5,520 | 4,031 | 1,937 |
| Falk, Charles. | 2,453 | 319 | 181 |
| Hulzhiser \& Buckman | 23,287 | 15,652 | 7,848 |
| Heymann, Sigmond | 2,353 | 1.978 | 1,227 |
| Hobart, Frank B | 27,401 | 21,521 | 14,443 |
| MeNulty, John C. | 21,053 | 17,222 | 13,606 |

## Jan.

19 Becker, Christian (dry goods, 21943 dav ), to Henry 21 Aates, George G. (ruftings and laces, 6 Howard st), 21 to Samuel B. Hamburger: preferences, $\$ 50$. 21 De Vries, Eli (stationery, 186 Willia
20 Farina, Antoninus (boots and shoes, 692 3d av), to Charles Barsotti; preferences, $\$ 3,331$.
22 Falk, Charles (butcher, 295 Tht a av), to John Falk.
20 Goodman, Myer (tr
$19 \begin{gathered}\text { preferences, } \\ \text { Kaufman, Julius (produce. West st), to Archer L. }\end{gathered}$
Pedian, preferences, \$1.091. A. Humphrey; pre
20 Ryder, William E., to Jaffray A. Humphrey; pre-
17 Riley, John A., and Charles S. Freer (firm of John Riley \& Co., jewelers, $8_{60}$ Broadway), to Josiah H. Benton; preferences, $\$ 9,000$.

Worthington, Richard (book publisher, \&c., To
Broadway), to Charles Jenkins.
KINGS COUNTY.
Jan. General assignients
17 Dugan, Alexander, to David Stone. Stearns.
${ }^{2} 1$ Raber, Alois
Weishar, George J. ${ }^{\prime}$ to Theo. Kayser.

## APPROVED PAPERS.

Resolutions passed by the Board of Aldermen call ing for the following improvements have been signed by the Mayor during the week ending January 17, 1885 Indicates that the Mayor neither approved nor ob repaving.
9th st, from 1st av to Av A.
11th st, from Av B to AvD
11th st, from Av B to $A v \mathrm{D}$.
12th st, from Av A to 2 a

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE bOARD OF ALDERMEV aFFECTING REAL ESTATE.

* Under the different headings indicates that a resolution has been introduced and referred to the appro-
priate committee. + Indicates that the resolution has passed and has been sent to the Mayor for approval. $\ddagger$ Passed over the Mayor's veto.

$$
\text { NEW York, January 19, } 1885 .
$$

## regulating, grading, \&d

102d st, from 9th av, to Riverside Drive. *
155th st, from 8th av to McComb's Dam Lane.* change of grade,
65th st, from 1st av to Av A.*

## mains.

Anthony, Fleetwood and Morris avs, Washington pl and 176th
Anthony, Summit and Brookfield sts.; Croton.* 95 th st, from 9th to 10thav.; Croton pipes.*
100th st, from 10th av to Riverside Drive.; Croton pipes.*
Kingsbridge road from 155th to 190th st.; Croton.*
131st st, from 6th to 7th av. : Croton. ${ }^{\text {F }}$
99th st, from the Boulevard to West End av.; Croton.*
Southern Boulevard bet St. Anns and Lincoln av. Southern ${ }^{*}$
Croton.
ingsbridge road, from Williamsbridge road to High Bridge; Croton.*
Gerard av, bet Jerome av and 161st st. : Croton.*
St. Anns av, from North 3d av to 156 th st.; Croton.*
Muberry st, from Bleecker st to Chatham
sq.
Bowery, w s, from Bleecker st to Catha- Croton.*
rine st.
l l REPAIRING.


## paving

92 d st, from 4th to 5 th av.*
144th st, from North 3d to St. Anns av.*
145th st, from North 3 d to St. Anns av*
145th st, from North 3d to St. Anns av.*
146 th st, from North 3 d to St. Anns av.
156 th st, from North 3 d to St. Anns av.*
flagging.
59th st, s e cor 4th av, abt 110 feet front.* 79 th st, n s, from 9 th to 10 th av, where not already North 3 d av, e s, bet 161 st and 163 d sts, additional

## ADVERTISED LEGAL SALES

referees' sales to be held at the exchange sales107 th st, No. 220, s s, 266.2 e 3 d av, $21.10 \times 100.11 \mathrm{Ja}$ 107 th st, No. $220, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{s}$,266.2 e 3 c av, $21.10 \times 100.11$,
four-story brick dwell'g, by P. F. Meyer. (Amt due, abt $\$ 8,300$ ).
2d av, $n$ e cor 105th st, $100.11 \times 100$; Nos. $206420 \% 0$ 2 d av , four four-story brick flats; No. 301 E . 105 th
st, one four-story brick flat, by H. Henriques. st, one four-story
(Amt due, $\$ 25,382$ )
71st st, n s, 75 e 2 d av, $250 \times 102.2$, five-story brick
 123d st, s S
Madison av, s e cor 120th st, $100.11 \times 7 \overline{7}$, vacant, by
R. V. Harnett. R. V. Harne

120th st, s s, 75 e Madison av, $100 \times 100.11$, vacant, by R. V. Harnett. (Amt due, $\$ 21,011$ )
story brick building, by P. F. Meyer 815,933 )
125 th st, $n$ s, 175 w 1st av, 75x 100 , three-story brick school, by D. M. Seaman. (Amt due, §S,152;
prior morts. Pleasant av, No. 413, w s, 49.11 in 129d st, $16 \times 66$, three-story stone front dwell'g, by J. L. Wells. (Amt due, $\$ 1,312$ ).
brick dwell'g, by E. F. Raymond. (Amt due, abt
$\$ 5,000)$
119th st, No. 421, n s, 321.4 w Av A, $16.8 \times 100.10$, two-
story brick dwellg, by R V, Harnett story brick dwell'g, by R. V. Harnett. (Amt
due, abt $\$ 4,025)$ )....... Washington st, es
Washington st, es, 25 n Morris st, 50 x 79 ; No. 43 ,
five-story brick building: No. 45, six-story brick five-story brick building; No. 45 , six-story brick
building, by J. F. B. Smyth. (Leasehold.) (Amt due, $\$ 13,105$ )
110th st, Nos. 154160, s s, 50 e Lexington av, 100 x $\mathbf{0 0}$, four four-story brick (stone front) flats, by
J. F. B. Smyth. (Amt due, $\$ 5,140$; prior mort. 51st st, n s, 475 e 12 th av, $25 \times 100.5$, vacant, by R . V. Harnett. (Amt due, $\$ 5,504$; sold Aug. 28 , 23d st, Nos. 339 and $341, \mathrm{n}$ e s, 358 s e 9 th av, runs southeast 58 x northeast 142.4 x northwest 16.3 x
northeast 55 to 24 th st x northwest S x southeast 55 x northwest 33.9 x southeast 142.4 to beginning, two flive-story stone front flats, by J. T Stearns. (Leasehold).

## KINGS COUNTY.

Franklin av, ws, $4 \pi^{*}$ s Van Buren st, $27.9 \times 80$, by Jan. Cole, at 389 Fulton st
Nassau st, n s, 90.10 w Hudson av, $18 \times 94.4$.
Walworth st, w s, 160 n De Kalb av, $23 \times 100$
Walworth st, e s, 235 s Willoughby st, $25 \times 100$
18th st, s w s, 12.5 s e 7th av, $25 \times 100 \ldots .$.
Poplar st, s s, 62.5 w Henry st $23.2 \times 100$.
Poplar st, s s, 62.5 w Henry st 23.2x100.
Johnson av, n s, 100 e Humboldt st, $25 \times 100$, by J Henry st, at 45 Broadway, E. D.
Kerrigan, at 35 Willoughby st... $22 \times 102$, by T. A. 5th av, e s, 19 s Park pl, 19x 78.10 , by J. Cole, at 389
Fulton st... Broadway,
154.7 , by G . W. Lewis, at 9 Boerum pl. (Partition

Van Dyke st, s e cor Richards st, $40 \times 80$, by J. Cole, at $3 s 9$ Fulton st...
South 1 st st, $n$ s, 498 e st. $25 \times 80$, by W. $\mathbf{B}$.

LIS PENDENS, KINGS COUNTY.
20 th st, $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{s}, 375 \mathrm{w}$ 9th av, $25 \times 100$
20th st, s s, 125 e 4 th av, $50 \times 100.0 .2$
William W. Ogden agt Caroline Schaefer et al att'y, Louis J. Grant
arroll st, westerly cor 7 th av, $126.4 \times 78 \times$ - $\times 89.6$, excepting therefrom 7 th av, westerly cor Car roll st, $39.6 \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x} 27.10$ to Carroll st, x 126.4 , also ex-
cepting therefrom strip 27.10 s w Carroll st and cepting therefrom strip 27.10 s w Carroll st and
abt 126.4 n w 7 th av, runs southwest 50.2 x south east $3.2 \times$ northeast $50.2 \times$ northwest 3.2. Abbot L. Dow, trustee, agt Phebe L. Scovil et al.; att'ys, Moore, Low \& Sanford.
Dean st, n e eor Albany av, 8ox 1366.4, James E.
Byrne agt Edward and John E. Conlon: action Byrne agt Edward and John E. Conlon; action
to set aside deed; att'y, John F. McIntyre 49th st, s s, 100 e e d av, $15 \times 100.2$ Alpheus S . Geo. P Denman 49th st, s s, 115 e 3d av, $15 \times 100.2$. Same agt same Nostrand av, n e cor Jefferson st, $100 \times 100$
Henry C. Murphy agt William B. Whiteman, Jr. partition; att y, Henry C. Murphy
Kent av, es, 95 s De Kalb av, $23.3 \times 96$. Robert C Plume agt Michael and Bridget Curran; att'y
3d av, w s, 89.8 s $15 t h$ st, $22 x 60$. Abby Louse agt
Maria Sprague et al.; partition; atty, Henry W. Gashington av, No. 251, e s, 160 s Willoughby av 20x115. The Williamsburgh Savings Bank agt
Harold Dollner et al.; att'ys, S. M. \& D. E.
Saratoga av, w s, b7, 8 s Dean st, $89.6 x 100$. John J, cition; att'y, Henry C. Andrews
Kosciusko st. s s, 2.5 e Sumner av, $25 \times 100$
Annie Heidt agt Hermann and Adeline Vo...
aetion restraining from transferring or mortga
Sackett st, s w s, 200 n w Court st, $16.8 x 400$. Carrie
Haydock, as guard. of C. E. Haydock, agt Erert
Bergen and William Curry
att'y, George R. Haydock.

Imlay st, ses, 25 ne William st, 25 x 90
Sarah N. Eagleton agt The New York Wire and Wire Rope Co.; att' $\mathbf{y}$, L. A. Fuller
Imlay st, se s, 100 s w Ewer st, 100x 90 . Same agt South $2 d$ st, s s, at intersection of old farm line be-
tween land $G$. \& G. C. Furman and land N. Waterbury, runs west $25 \times 75 \times 25 \times$ - The Homoo pathic Mutual Life Ins. Co., New York, ag Frank B. Mayhew and Marion W. his wife; att ys, Arnoux, Ritch \& Woodford Prospect $\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{s}$ s, 283.4 w Vanderbilt av, 20.10 x 131
Alanson W. Adams agt Peter B. and Emeline P Alansors; foreclosure of mechanics' lien; att' 5 Horace Graves................................................. Mary L. Haldane agt George C. Bishop; att'y, John D. Atlantic av, s s, 150 e Utica av, $16.8 \times 100$. Margaret E. Smith agt Thomas Quinn; att y, John H. Hul H. Chapman, exr. S. Maurer, agt Franklin M, Tripp et al.; att ys, Garretson \& Eastman
Broadway, , s. e e snediker av, $50 x 100$. David Madle agt Balley conen, action to dectare fraudEdmund R. Dodge
7 th av, s w cor Union st, $90 \times 92.6$
Union st, $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}, 92.6 \mathrm{w}$ Tth av, 50 x 9 . William Flanagan agt Benjamin C. Hollingsworth and Mathew Ryan; action to restrain deft. fro Warren st, s s, 225 e Smith st, $25 \times 100$ Also propert John W. Taynor agt George G. Woodhull; parti tion; att ys, Edsall, Hart \& Fowler Hamilton av, 1741 to Conover st, $259.1 \times 200$ dia wharf, e s, 200 to Hamilton av, north 75 x west 80 x north 25 x west 120 to wharf x south 100 with buildings and machinery Juan M, Ceballos agt Miguel de Aldama; att ys

## RECORDED LEASES.

Ktorney st, No. 86, New Atlantic Hall. K
Krakauer to The C. M. Rothschild Lodge No. 72 Order Berith Abraham; 1 year from May 1, 1885
, Giovanni Guarino ex st, floor. John Kellermann and Adam Rath May 1 Hebenry Momberger, 2 years, from May 1, 1885 .
Greenwich st, No. 692, five-story factory, and Greenwich st. Charles White to Edes heimer Bros.; 3 years, from May 1, 1885. .
Houston st, No. 32, basement, cellar and top
floor. Julius Sindig to Hugo Heckner; ? floor. Julius Sindig to
Madison st, No. 324. Henry Harrison to E
ward Slevin; 3 years, from May 1, 1s84
Park st, Nos, 58 and 60 Elizabeth L. Bailey
Pittsburg, Pa., to Donaldson Bros.; 10 years. from May 1,1885
Roosevelt st, No. 14, store and rear rooms
with part of cellar. Martha D extrx. W. H. Wood, to Homer Yori an Emilio Dini; $11 / 4$ years, from Feb. $1,1885 .$. vington st, Nos. 359 and 361 , all of; also first
floor and cellar of Nos. 303 and 355 Rivington st; except part of No. 361 used for H. Rapp and George Pieper; 5 7-12 Jears from Oct, 1,1884 ................................ Stanton st, No. 56 . Victoria Benge
Frick; 6 years, from May 1, 1885.
 Portland (English) Burham
Portland, K., B. \& S........
Portland, Hemmoor
Portland, Saylor's American
Roman.
Keene's coars
Keene's fine..
DOORS, WINDOWS AND BLINDS.


Welsh English Silica, Lee-Moor Silica, Dinas..................
White, Enamelled, Eng size, Warm Buff facing domestic size.
 American, No.
$\qquad$ \% bbl \$100 .... 28 bbl $\$ 100$
2
2

2 | 00 @ |
| :--- |
| 40 |
| 70 |
| $\%$ | (13) $\overline{250}$

285
3
300
320
3 .0 x 6.0.
$6 \times 6.6$
$.6 \times 6.8$.
$.8 \times 6.8$. DOORS, MOULDED. Size.
.0 x 6.0
.066 .8
$.6 \times 6.8$
$.6 \times 6.10$
.87 .0.
$.8 \times 6.8$.
$.8 x .0$.

$.0 \times 6.10$ | 114 in. |
| :---: |
| $\$ 1 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| 1 |



Hot Bed Sash Glazed, 3.0x6.0..
Hot Bed Sash Unglazed, $3.0 \times 6$ 242
92

Per lineal foot, up to 210 wide....
Per lineal foot, up to 3.1 wide

| $\$-@ \$ 020$ |
| :---: |
| $-@$ | 020

22
24 Per lineal foot, 4 folds, Pine........
Per lineal foot, 4 folds, Ash or Chestr't
Per lin. ft, 4 folds, Cherry or Butternut
Per lineal foot, 4 folds, Black Walnut —— @ 92 FOREIGN WOODS.

| Sn | 10 | (1) | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| do -Medium | 12 | (a) | 14 |
| do -Large | 15 | (1) |  |
| Mahogany-Small | 9 | (a) | 10 |
| do -Medium | 11 | (a) | 14 |
| do -Large. | 15 | (a) | 17 |
| do - Extra Larg |  | (a) | 24 |
| Rosewood, ordinary to good |  |  |  |
| Rosewood, good |  |  |  |
| Lignumvitæ, $8 \times 12$ in........... $\%$ iton | 4500 | @ 6 | 00 |
| Lignumvitæ, other size | 1500 | @3 |  |
| Satinwood..........7 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ superficial foot | 10 |  | 20 |

GLASS.
Window Glass, Prices Current per Box of 50 feet.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sizes. } \\ & 6-10 \times 15 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\$ 9}^{1 s t} .$ | $\$ 8 \mathrm{~d} .$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{~d} .50 \\ \$ 7 \end{gathered}$ | \$7 $7^{4 t} 00$ |
| 11x14-16x24. | 1050 | 950 | 875 | 800 |
| 18x22-20x30. | 1250 | 1100 | 1025 | 950 |
| 15x36-24x30. | 1400 | 1275 | 1100 |  |
| 26x28-24x36. | 1500 | 1350 | 1175 |  |
| 26x36-26x44. | 1600 | 1450 | 1225 |  |
| 26x46-30x50. | 1750 | 16 | 13 \% |  |
| $30 \leq 52-30 \times 54$. | 1900 | 1700 | 1500 |  |
| $30 \times 56-34 \times 56$. | 2000 | 1800 | 1600 |  |
| $34 \times 58-34 \times 60$. | 2200 | 2000 | 1800 |  |
| 36x60-40x60. | 2400 | 2200 | 2000 |  |
|  | D |  |  |  |
| 6x $8-10 \times 15$. | 1200 | 1075 | 1000 | 900 |
| 11x14-16x24.. | 1400 | 1275 | 1175 | $10 \%$ |
| 18x22-20x3).. | 1760 | 1550 | 1450 |  |
| 15x35-24x3).. | 1850 | 1700 | 1500 |  |
| 26x28-24x36.. | 2000 | 1800 | 1600 |  |
| 26x36-26x44.. | 2125 | 1975 | 1700 |  |
| $26 \times 16-3) \times 50$. | 2350 | 21.5 | 1875 |  |
| $3 \times 552-30 \times 54$. | 2450 | 225 | 2025 |  |
| $3) \times 56-3 \mathrm{x} 56 .$. | 2650 | 2450 | 2225 |  |
| $3 \mathrm{tx} 58-3 \mathrm{x} 60$. | 2900 | 2700 | 2500 |  |
| 36x60-40x60.. | 3200 | 3000 | 2800 |  | ar Burling slip bet, also first floor of No. 39 Burling slip, being the laer to John E. Stow; 5 years, from May 1,

1882 Creamer to John Kriete; 21/3 years, from Jan. 1, 1885.
26 th st, No. 147 E. Mary Smyly to Benry
Av A, No. 43, cor 3 d st, second, third and
fourth floors. George Arend, West Hoboken, to Henry Schoerry; 3 years, from May $1,1884 \ldots .1$............................ 840 and 900 3 d av, s e cor 118th st, stores, 36 feet on av,
with basements; also two stores on s s 118 th st, 87 e 8 d av. James Wood to Croft Bros. 5 years, from May 1, $1885 \ldots \ldots \ldots . .4 .000$ and 4,250 3d av, No. 295, first to fourth floors. Frederick
Meyer to Allyn Stillman; 5 years, from May $1,1885 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. 3 d av, No. 874, Ann S. Young et al., exrs. J. S.
Young, to Julius Laber; 5 years, from May 5th av, No. 361. Julia H. Chad wick to Noah and 3,200 5th Felicie; 10 years, from May 1, 1885. 5,000 and 6,000 5th av, No. 3084. Charles J. Fisk, of Ewing,
N. J., to John Simpson; 3 years, from May

## MARKET QUOTATIONS

Our figures are based upon cargo or wholesale valuations in the main. Due allowance must therefore be parcels.

BRICK


Up River
Haverstraw secovds
Haverstraw firsts
Choice carg
Hollow Fire Clay Brick
\% M. $\$ 300$

FRONTS.
 . Wilmington
Philadelphia, alo -...........
Baltimore, on pier.
Yard prices 50c. per M. higher, or, with delivery
added, $\$ 2$ per M. for Hard and $\$ 3$ per M, for North
River River front Brick. For delivery add $\$ 5$ on Philadel

Cargo afloat

00 @ 350 @ $\frac{600}{587}$ | 5 | $621 / 2 @$ | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 871 |  |
| 6 | 00 | 6 | 650

1100
$(1) 1800$ @ -

## izes above- $\$ 15$ per box extra for every 5 inches.

 An additional 10 per cent. will be charged for all glass length and 40 inches wide. All sizes above 52 inches in charged in the 84 united inches' bracketDiscount 60 and $20 @ 70$ per cent. single thick on French; 70@70 and 5 per cent. on American
Per square foot, net cash.


## IRON


Pig, Scoteh, Eglinton
Pig, American, No 1
Pig, American, No. 2.
Pig, American, Forge .....
bar iron from store.
Common Iron.
 Refined Iron.
34 to 2 in. round and square........... 190 @ 202
1 to $6 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{x}^{3} / 8$ to 1 in ...
1 to $6 \mathrm{in}, \mathrm{x}^{1 / 4}$ and $5-16$.
Rods $5 / 8 @ 11-16$ round and square
Bands 1 to 6x3-16
Norway nail rods
Sheet.
 Com
Amer
270
300
300
305
3250
35
mon
erican
@
@
@

@3 | 300 |
| :--- |
| $=-$ |
| $321 / 2$ |

R. G.
American
$334 @$
139
$13 @$
$4 @$
$4 @ 41 / 4$

## The Record and Guide.



